16<sup>th</sup> of September 2024, GEMMA2

## The low-frequency gravitational wave sky: Pulsar Timing Arrays







C. Tiburzi

INAF-OAC





# **Contents**

- Millisecond pulsars and Pulsar timing
- Pulsar Timing Arrays and the GW background
- The European PTA, and the 3σ GWB detection
	- $\cdot$  The future EPTA-DR2+ and IPTA DR3

## Pulsars in a nutshell

- **Pulsars** are highly-magnetized  $(-10^{12-14})$ G), fast-rotating (up to  $\sim$ 10<sup>-3</sup> sec) **neutron stars**;
- They produce **two beams of emission**, radiating their rotational kinetic energy, mostly visible at radio wavelengths;
- Under particular geometric conditions, the observer collects the radio beam radiation as a periodic signal (**"Lighthouse Effect"**)



### The detectors: (milli)second pulsars



## Pulsar timing

It is possible to predict the arrival times of a pulsar's radiation on the Earth once that its ephemeris are known. Millisecond pulsars are characterized by the smallest "timing residuals", and hence are the most precise sources among pulsars



## Pulsar timing

Long-term, non-modeled effects can perturb the ToAs and appear as 'red noise' in the timing residuals, an excess of power at low frequencies in the power spectrum



Red noise can be caused by turbulent ionised **interstellar medium**, **spin noise**, **instrumentation** issues, incorrect **planetary ephemeris**, incorrect **time standards**, **gravitational waves** or **unknown effects**

## The Gravitational Wave spectrum



## The Gravitational Wave spectrum



Most likely source of nHz GWs are **coalescing supermassive blackhole binaries** (SMBHB), whose energy loss at separations <1pc is driven by GW emission. Such GW emission perturbs the space-time around the Earth and the pulsars, and **induce deviations in the expected arrival times of the radiation pulses**

NASA

## Expected functional shape of timing residuals affected by GW emission from a SMBHB

Expected timing-residuals shape induced by GW emission from a SMBHB (Jenet et al. 2004):

$$
R(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos(\mu)) [r_{+}(t) \cos(2\psi) + r_{x}(t) \sin(2\psi)]
$$
  

$$
r_{+,x}(t) = r_{+,x}^{E}(t) - r_{+,x}^{P}(t)
$$

 $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

*t*

 $r_{+,x}^{E}(t) = \int$ 

**Earth term Pulsar term** 

$$
h_{+,x}^{E}(\tau) d\tau \qquad r_{+,x}^{p}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} h_{+,x}^{p} [\tau - \frac{d}{c} (1 - \cos(\mu))] d\tau
$$

## PTAs: the nanoHertz window for GWs

Most likely, the first form in which nHz GWs will be detected by PTAs will be that of a **gravitational wave background (GWB)**, created by the incoherent superposition of GW emission from the cosmic population of merging SMHBHs



### PTAs: the nanoHertz window for GWs

#### In **Pulsar timing arrays**, the timing residuals from an ensemble of very stable millisecond pulsars are **spatially correlated** to detect the nanoHertz GWB

$$
\begin{cases} \zeta(\theta_{ij}) = \frac{3}{2} x \log(x) - \frac{x}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \\ x = [1 - \cos(\theta_{ij})] \end{cases}
$$

[Hellings & Downs, 1983]





## First indication of a GWB signature in the EPTA data



**25 pulsars, ~3σ signal**, lower than the targeted 5σ detection threshold, using the most recent **10.3 years of the EPTA+InPTA dataset**

## First indication of a GWB signature in the EPTA data

**[Antoniadis+2023 III]**



The **strain amplitude** for the expected GWB spectrum at the GW freq of  $1/1$ yr  $\sim$  2.5<sup> $\pm$ 0.7 $\times$ 10<sup>-15</sup> when the spectral index is fixed to -13/3. However,</sup> by leaving the GWB index free we derive a much **flatter spectrum and louder signal, Log(A)=−13.94+0.23−0.48** and **γ=2.71+1.18−0.73**, than what expected for circularly inspiralling SMBHBs

## Consistency with the other PTA collaborations



## **Implications**

To date, **the origin of the observed signal is still unaddressed** for all of the PTAs due to the poor constraints offered by both the signal's spectrum and HD curve.

One of the first accessible considerations will be probably offered by the continuity of the spectrum, and the directionality of the signal:

All of the potential **cosmological sources (cosmic strings, inflation, primordial magnetic fields, ultra-light dark matter)** give **stationary, isotropic and Gaussian** backgrounds, with **continuous** spectra

**The SMBHBs**, i.e. the astrophysical sources, may give **deviations from isotropy and continuous spectra** due to the influence of individual, loud and close SMBHBs (the resulting spectrum would broadly follow a broken powerlaw)

## Implications, SMBHBs

The expected signal for circularly inspiralling SMBHB without environmental coupling has a spectrum of -13/3, while the recovered one is much flatter. This is compatible with the potential SMBHBs being eccentric and coupled with the gaseous and stellar surroundings



The non-Gaussianity of the model's violins is induced by the sparse SMBHB distribution, that can sometimes produce exceptionally loud signals

## Implications, SMBHBs

To constraint the properties of the potential SMBHB population, we use both an agnostic model, with minimal minimal assumptions about the underlying population, and an astrophysically-informed one, capturing the environment interaction and eccentric orbits



[EPTA IV]



### EPTA DR2+



LOFAR, the LOw Frequency Array

Pulsar observations ongoing since 2013

>100 pulsars

Weekly or monthly cadence

NenuFAR



The Future

#### IPTA, DR3 **115 pulsars**



Thank you for your attention