**Estimating the Diffusion around pulsars through gamma-ray observations**

# **Mattia Di Mauro**

**Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nuclei** SEZIONE DI TORINO

**NOW 2-8 September 2024, Otranto**

INEN

 $\mathbf{J}% _{0}=\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{c}}\times\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{c}}$ 

# **Talk based on the following papers:**

- 1. *Detection of a γ-ray halo around Geminga with the Fermi-LAT data and implications for the positron flux,* MDM, S. Manconi, F. Donato, PRD 100, 123015 (2019)
- 2. *Evidences of low-diffusion bubbles around Galactic pulsars,* MDM, S. Manconi, F. Donato PRD 101, 103035 2020.
- 3. *Does the Geminga, Monogem and PSR J0622+3749 γ-ray halos imply slow diffusion around pulsars?*, S. Recchia, MDM, F.A. Aharonian, S. Gabici, F. Donato, S. Manconi *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021) 12, 123017.
- 4. *Constraining positron emission from pulsar populations with AMS-02 data*, L. Orusa, MDM, S. Manconi, F. Donato. JCAP 12 (2021) 12, 014.
- 5. Geminga's pulsar halo: an X-ray view, S. Manconi, F. Donato, MDM and others. ArXiv: [2403.10902](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10902)

T. Linden, D. Hooper, P. Martin, T. Sudoh, E. Pinetti, C. Evoli, Morlino…

### **What is the positron excess?**



# **Possible Origin of Positron excess**



### **γ rays produced by inverse Compton scattering**

Incident Photon

Scattered Photon

may.

Electron





**HAWC**



### **HAWC results for Geminga and Monogem PWNe**

- HAWC detected an extended emission from Geminga and Monogem PWNe for **E>5 TeV**.
- *• In the vicinity of the PWN, the diffusion coefficient D must be about 500 times smaller than the average in the Galaxy.*



#### **Predictions for the e<sup>+</sup> flux from Geminga using HAWC data**

- Tuning the model with HAWC data (above 10 TeV) is not possible to have a precise prediction for the AMS-02 positron excess.
- We should use γ-ray data between 10 GeV to 1 TeV.



Di Mauro, Manconi, Donato PRD 100, 123015 (2019) 7

# **Geminga proper motion**

- Geminga has a proper motion of **211 km/s** which implies this pulsar moved about **70 pc** across its age.
- Our analysis is unique in γ-ray astronomy because we search for a source that is moving across the sky in γ rays.<br>
Posselt et al. 2008





#### Di Mauro, Manconi, Donato PRD 100, 123015 (2019)

### **Analysis of Fermi-LAT data**

- We have performed an analysis of 115 months of Fermi-LAT data for **E>8 GeV**.
- Our model with the pulsar proper motion is preferred at least at **4σ significance**.
- We find a **7.8-11.8 σ significance emission from Geminga with a diffusion D(1 GeV)= 2.3 1026 cm2/s with δ=0.33.**



Di Mauro, Manconi, Donato PRD 100, 123015 (2019) 9

## **Search of a Synchrotron halo**

- We performed the most comprehensive X-ray study of the Geminga pulsar halo to date, utilising archival data from XMM– Newton and NuSTAR (0.5−79 keV).
- We find no significant emission and set robust constraints on the ambient magnetic field strength and the diffusion coefficient.



### **Contribution of Geminga to the positron excess**

- Geminga alone can contribute to the entire positron excess around 1 TeV.
- The exact contribution depends on the size of the lowdiffusion halo.
- Several other pulsars will contribute as well.



Di Mauro, Manconi, Donato PRD 100, 123015 (2019)

### **Results for the diffusion coefficient around PWNe**

- 27 source detected by HESS and classified in TeVCat as PWN or Unid.
- We use HESS flux maps in HGPS.
- We find a diffusion coefficient around the PWNe of our sample of **8 1026 cm2/s at 1 TeV**.
- We find that the size of the ICS halo is at least **35 pc**.



## **Possible theoretical interpretation**

- Theoretical interpretation for inhibited diffusion is related to "*cosmic-ray gradient produced by the central source that induces a streaming stability that "self-confines" the cosmic-ray population*" (P. Mukhopadhyay, T. Linden 2022, C. Evoli, T. Linden, G. Morlino *PRD* <sup>98</sup> (2018) 6, 063017).
- *• The effect seems to be relevant for middle-age pulsars BUT not for TeV energies!!*



P. Mukhopadhyay, T. Linden 2022 13

#### **Transition between ballistic and diffusive propagation**





 $\tau_c = 3D(E)/c^2$  $\lambda_c(E_{\rm GeV}) \approx 0.3 D_{0.28} E_{\rm GeV}^{\delta}$  pc

*For D=1028 cm2/s,* **λ***c is tens of pc, i.e. of the order of Geminga halo extension in HAWC.*

### **Fit to Geminga, Monogem and PSR J0622+3749 data**

- The model works well for the three pulsars.
- Best-fit of D is of the order of 10<sup>28</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/s.
- *• No new phenomenon or suppressed diffusion is needed.*
- *• However, large efficiency (even larger than 100%) are required for Geminga.*



### **Contributions of pulsars to the positron excess**





Orusa et al. JCAP 12 (2021) 12, 014.

### **A few pulsars dominate the positron flux**



#### Efficiencies between 1-10% are sufficient to fit the data



Orusa et al. JCAP 12 (2021) 12, 014. 17

# **Open problems**





#### **• ICS halos:**

- Are inhibited diffusion halos around pulsars really needed to fit gamma-ray halos?
- If low-diffusion halos are presented, what's their size and are they a general feature?
- What is the theoretical interpretation for lowdiffusion halos?
	- Future observations (LHAASO-HAWC-SWGO-CTA)

#### **• Positron excess:**

- Since a few pulsars contribute to most of the excess, what are these objects?
- What is the physical process that produce positrons from pulsars (efficiency, injection of positrons)?

# **Backup slide**

# **Gamma rays from ICS**

### **ICS power**

$$
\mathcal{P}^{IC}(E, E_{\gamma}) = \frac{3\sigma_T c m_e^2 c^4}{4E^2} \int_{\frac{m_e c^2}{4E}}^1 dq \frac{d\mathcal{N}}{d\epsilon}(\epsilon(q)) \times \left(3\atop 1 - \frac{m_e^2 c^4}{4qE^2(1-\tilde{\epsilon})}\right) \left[2q \log q + q + 1 - 2q^2 + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}(1-q)}{2-2\tilde{\epsilon}}\right]
$$

### **Flux of photons for Sync.**

$$
\mathcal{M}(E,\theta) = \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_0^\infty dr \, \mathcal{N}_e(E,r)
$$

$$
\phi^{\rm IC, {\rm Sync}}(E_\gamma, \Delta\Omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{m_ec^2}^\infty dE \mathcal{M}(E, \Delta\Omega) \mathcal{P}^{\rm IC, {\rm Symc}}(E, E_\gamma)
$$

#### **HAWC results for Geminga and Monogem PWNe**

- HAWC detected an extended emission from Geminga and Monogem PWNe for **E>5 TeV**.
- *• In the vicinity of the PWN, the diffusion coefficient D must be about 500 times smaller than the average in the Galaxy.*



# **ICS halo extension**



$$
\Phi_{\gamma}^{68\%} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\theta_{\rm{EXT}}} \frac{d\Phi_{\gamma}}{d\theta} \sin \theta d\theta
$$

- D=10<sup>26</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/s  $\rightarrow$  Most of ICS halos at GeV energies would be several of degrees extended.
- $\cdot$  If D=10<sup>26</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/s IACTs and HAWC-LHAASO should detect several halos.

# **Pulsar proper motion**

- The average pulsar proper motion is around 200 km/s (Faherty et al. 2007).
- At GeV the proper motion is not relevant for d>a few kpc and T< few hundreds kyr.
- At TeV the effect is much smaller.



Di Mauro, Manconi, Donato *PRD* 101 (2020) 10, 103035

### **Are pulsars TeV Pevatron?**



### **Cosmic-ray e± accelerated by PWNe**

- The engine of a PWN is a pulsar, i.e. a rapidly spinning neutral star (NS).
- Introducing a late visit of pulsar, i.e. a rapidly spirining riedular start.<br>• A NS has huge magnetic fields (10<sup>9</sup>-10<sup>12</sup> G) which produce wind of particles extracted from the NS surface.
- This wind shines from radio to gamma rays and after a few kyrs interact with the SNR reverse shock.
- The pulsar proper motion and the interaction with the SNR reverse shock generate a relic PWN and a bow shock.





### **Contribution of Geminga to the positron excess**



# **HESS flux maps**

- We selected sources detected mainly by HESS because they released flux maps.
- The flux is provided for a correlation radius of 0.1 and 0.2 deg and in maps with a pixel size of 0.02 deg.
- We removed sources close to our sources of interests.

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Surface brightness data**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Is HESS detecting ICS halos?**

- Source detected by HESS and classified in TeVCat as PWN or Unid.
	- We have a list of 27 sources.
- We use HESS flux maps in HGPS\*.
- $\cdot$  We extract the source surface brightness that we use to calculate  $D_0$ .

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

deg and maps with a pixel size of

## **Extension of Geminga sync. halo**

- For sources within a few kpc, sync. halos are at least of the size of one degree at radio and X-ray energies.
	- This makes the detection of these halos very challenging with current X-ray and radio telescopes.

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Current observations of pulsars and PWNe in X rays**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **Possible theoretical interpretation**

- Theoretical interpretation for inhibited diffusion is related to "*cosmic-ray gradient produced by the central source that induces a streaming stability that "self-confines" the cosmic-ray population*" (P. Mukhopadhyay, T. Linden 2022, C. Evoli, T. Linden, G. Morlino *PRD* <sup>98</sup> (2018) 6, 063017).
- *• The effect seems to be relevant for middle-age pulsars BUT not for TeV energies!!*

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **X-ray observations compared to halo size and HAWC data**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Spatial distribution of propagated leptons**

- Accounting properly for the transition between ballistic and diffusive propagation is important above TeV energies.
- For lower energies the diffusive propagation dominates the ballistic one.

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Fit to Geminga, Monogem and PSR J0622+3749 data**

- The model works well for the three pulsars.
- Our model has the advantage of being very simple (Occam's Razor).
	- *• No new phenomenon or suppressed diffusion is needed.*

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Geminga SED from MeV to TeV**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)