Neutrino oscillation experiments will be entering the precision era in the next decade with the advent of high statistics experiments like DUNE, HK, and JUNO. Correctly estimating the confidence intervals from data for the oscillation parameters requires very large Monte Carlo data sets involving calculating the oscillation probabilities in matter many, many times. In this paper, we leverage past work to present a new, fast, precise technique for calculating neutrino oscillation probabilities in matter optimized for long-baseline neutrino oscillations in the Earth's crust including both accelerator and reactor experiments. For ease of use by theorists and experimentalists, we provide fast c++ and fortran codes.

NuFast: Fast and Accurate Algorithm for Calculating Long-Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Probabilities with Matter Effects

Peter B. Denton

NOW

September 3, 2024

2405.02400 with S. Parke github.com/PeterDenton/NuFast

Brookhaven⁻ National Laboratory





Peter B. Denton (BNL)

2405.02400

NOW: September 3, 2024 2/22

The problem



Solve the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|\nu\rangle = H(t)|\nu\rangle$$

If H(t) = H (constant density)

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} = \left[e^{-iHL} \right]_{\beta\alpha} \qquad \qquad P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = |\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta}|^2$$

Exponential requires computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H

Many approaches

Modify vacuum probabilities

• Get the eigenvalues by solving the cubic

Cardano 1545 V. Barger, et al. PRD 22 (1980) 2718

► Get the eigenvectors

H. Zaglauer, K. Schwarzer Z.Phys. C40 (1988) 273 K. Kimura, A. Takamura, H. Yokomakura hep-ph/0205295 PBD, S. Parke, X. Zhang 1907.02534 A. Abdulahi, S. Parke 2212.12565

Advantage: can use existing intuition about the parameters

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

2405.02400

Other approaches? Are approximations useful? Optimal hybrids? What is the goal?

Fermilab computing experts bolster NOvA evidence, 1 million cores consumed

July 3, 2018 | Marcia Teckenbrock



Array

How do you arrive at the physical laws of the universe when you're given experimental data on a renegade particle that interacts so rarely with matter, it can cruise through light-years of lead? You call on the power of advanced computing.

The NOvA neutrine experiment, in collaboration with the Department of Energy's Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC-4) program and the HEPCloud program at DOE's Ferni National Accelerator Laboratory, was able to perform the largest-scale analysis ever to support the recent evidence of antineutrino scillation, a phenomenon that may hold dues to how our universe evolved.

Using Cori, the newest supercomputer at the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), located at Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory, NOA used over 1 million computing cores, or CPLs between May 14 and 15 and over a short limitance environment and the superstructure of CPUs ever used concurrently over this duration — about 54 hours — of a single highenergy physics experiment. This unprecedented amount of computing enabled scientists to carry out some of the most complicated techniques used in neutrino physics, allowing them to dig deeper into the seldom seen interactions of neutrinos. This Cori allocation was more than 400 times the amount of Fermilab computing allocated to the NOA experiment and 50 times the total computing capacity at Fermilab allocated for all of its arc-physics experiments. A continuation of the analysis was performed on NERSC's Cori and Edision supercomputers one week later. In total, <u>maxity els million conclustra were constructed by NevyX</u> in the 54-hour period. Executing the same analysis on a single desktor, computer would take A.000 years.

FNAL Newsroom



Monte-Carlo estimates of statistical significances

Wilks' theorem is often wrong

At each point in parameter space, simulate the experiment many times

"many" means $\gg 1/p$ for a desired p-value

This is sometimes called Feldman-Cousins



G. Feldman, R. Cousins physics/9711021 This isn't actually what was novel in the FC paper

Study found most of the time was spent computing probabilities

NOvA is a $\sim 3\sigma$ experiment, but DUNE will be a $\gtrsim 5\sigma$ experiment!

Start at the end

What is needed for experiments?

- 1. All 9 channels $(\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta})$
 - ▶ DUNE will certainly do ν_{τ} appearance

See e.g. P. Machado, H. Schulz, J. Turner 2007.00015

▶ $\nu_{\tau} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}$ channels are not needed, but come from free from unitarity

- 2. Different energies, baselines, and densities
- 3. ν and $\bar{\nu}$
- 4. NO and IO
- 5. Oscillation parameters are mostly known
 - ▶ Don't need to consider e.g. $\Delta m_{21}^2 > |\Delta m_{31}^2|$ or $\theta_{23} \sim 10^\circ$

How to achieve speed

- 1. Avoid costly operations
 - ▶ sin, cos (and inverse functions) are very slow
 - sqrt is quite slow, but not as bad as trigs
 - Division is slower than multiplication (0.2x may be faster than x/5)
- 2. Reduce repeated calculations
 - Compute $\frac{L}{4E}$ in the correct units once
 - Compute each of the three $\sin \frac{\Delta m_{ij}^2 L}{4E}$ once

Optimal structure of the probability

- 1. Amplitude requires four trig functions of kinematic variables $(\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/4E) \times$
- 2. Writing the probabilities out requires three trig functions \checkmark
- 3. Disappearance structure is straightforward:

$$P_{\alpha\alpha} = 1 - 4\sum_{i>j} |V_{\alpha i}|^2 |V_{\alpha j}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta\lambda_{ij}L}{4E}$$

H in matter has eigenvalues λ_i and eigenvectors $V_{\alpha i}$

Optimal structure of the probability

4. Appearance structure:

T conserving:

$$P_{\mu e}^{TC} = 2 \sum_{i>j} (|V_{\tau k}|^2 - |V_{\mu i}|^2 |V_{ej}|^2 - |V_{\mu j}|^2 |V_{ei}|^2) \sin^2 \frac{\Delta \lambda_{ij} L}{4E}$$

Fun fact:

$$2\Re(V_{\alpha i}V_{\beta j}^{*}V_{\alpha j}^{*}V_{\beta i})$$

$$= |V_{\alpha k}|^{2}|V_{\beta k}|^{2} - |V_{\alpha i}|^{2}|V_{\beta i}|^{2} - |V_{\alpha j}|^{2}|V_{\beta j}|^{2}$$

$$= |V_{\gamma k}|^{2} - |V_{\alpha i}|^{2}|V_{\beta j}|^{2} - |V_{\alpha j}|^{2}|V_{\beta i}|^{2}$$

T violating:

$$P_{\mu e}^{TV} = -8J \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 \Delta m_{31}^2 \Delta m_{32}^2}{\Delta \lambda_{21} \Delta \lambda_{31} \Delta \lambda_{32}} \sin \frac{\Delta \lambda_{21} L}{4E} \sin \frac{\Delta \lambda_{31} L}{4E} \sin \frac{\Delta \lambda_{32} L}{4E}$$

Leverages NHS identity: V. Naumov IJMP 1992 P. Harrison, W. Scott hep-ph/9912435

NOW: September 3, 2024 12/22

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

2405.02400

C. Jarlskog PRL 55, 1039 (1985)

Account for matter

- 1. Need the eigenvalues λ_i
- 2. For eigenvectors, naively need $\Re(V_{\alpha i}V^*_{\beta j}V^*_{\alpha j}V_{\beta i})$
- 3. Given our form, need only the $|V_{\alpha i}|^2$ and J in vacuum
 - ▶ Don't need any phase information of the eigenvectors!

4. Can compute the $|V_{\alpha i}|^2$ from the λ_i and submatrix eigenvalues (requires only a square root) using Eigenvector-Eigenvalue Identity

$$|V_{\alpha i}|^2 = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - \xi_k^{\alpha})}{\prod_{k=1; k \neq i}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)}$$

See e.g. PBD, S. Parke, T. Tao, X. Zhang 1908.03795 Can actually avoid the $\sqrt{}$ in practice

Leverages PBD, S. Parke, X. Zhang 1907.02534

Eigenvalues are hard

The eigenvalues in matter λ_i depend on S (and other things):

$$S = \cos\left\{\frac{1}{3}\cos^{-1}\left[\frac{2A^3 - 9AB + 27C}{2(A^2 - 3B)^{3/2}}\right]\right\}$$

where

$$A = \sum \lambda_i = \Delta m_{21}^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2 + a$$

$$B = \sum_{i>j} \lambda_i \lambda_j = \Delta m_{21}^2 \Delta m_{31}^2 + a [\Delta m_{21}^2 (1 - |U_{e2}|^2) + \Delta m_{31}^2 (1 - |U_{e3}|^2)]$$

$$C = \prod \lambda_i = a \Delta m_{21}^2 \Delta m_{31}^2 |U_{e1}|^2$$

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

NOW: September 3, 2024 14/22

Approximate eigenvalues

1. Instead, approximate one eigenvalue

 \triangleright λ_3 is best because is never parametrically small and easy to approximate

2. From DMP:

$$\Delta_3 \approx \Delta m_{31}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta m_{ee}^2 \left(x - 1 + \sqrt{(1 - x)^2 + 4x s_{13}^2} \right)$$

$$x \equiv \frac{a}{\Delta m_{ee}^2} \qquad \Delta m_{ee}^2 \equiv \Delta m_{31}^2 - s_{12}^2 \Delta m_{21}^2$$

H. Minakata, S. Parke 1505.01826 PBD, H. Minakata, S. Parke 1604.08167 H. Nunokawa, S. Parke, R. Funchal hep-ph/0503283

3. Get other two eigenvalues by picking two of A, B, C conditions

Requires one more $\sqrt{}$

The approximation

- ▶ This is the only approximation used in the entire approach
- ▶ In vacuum the approximation returns to the correct value

Many approximations in the literature are not correct in vacuum limit See G. Barenboim, PBD, S. Parke, C. Ternes 1902.00517

▶ In fact can iteratively improve λ_3 with rapid convergence via Newton's method:

$$\lambda_3 \to \lambda_3 - \frac{X(\lambda_3)}{X'(\lambda_3)}$$
$$X(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - A\lambda^2 + B\lambda - C = 0$$

- Precision improvement starts at 10⁻⁵ for the first step
 The improvement is quadratic thereafter
- One line of code, just loop as many times as desired

All 9 channels

Given P_{ee} , $P_{\mu\mu}$, $P_{\mu e}^{TC}$, and $P_{\mu e}^{TV}$:



Total approach

- 1. Inputs: 6 oscillation parameters, experimental details (L, E, ρ, Y_e)
- 2. Calculate λ_3 approximately
 - ▶ Iteratively improve with Newton's method, if desired
- 3. Calculate the $|V_{\alpha i}|^2$'s with the Eigenvector-Eigenvalue Identity
- 4. Calculate the sines of the kinematic terms
- 5. Calculate the T violating term with the NHS identity
- 6. Calculate key probabilities: P_{ee} , $P_{\mu\mu}$, and $P_{\mu e}$
- 7. Calculate remaining probabilities

Precision



2405.02400

NOW: September 3, 2024 19/22

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

Speed

Is this algorithm fast? How does it compare?



- "Conservative" = default, -00
- "Aggressive" = -Ofast and -ffast-math
- ▶ Some variation expected due to architecture

See also J. Page 2309.06900 and P. Huber, et al. hep-ph/0701187

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

NOW: September 3, 2024 20/22

NuFast: the code

- ► Code is on github: github.com/PeterDenton/NuFast
- Implementations in c++ and f90

Easy to use and there are comments!

```
// NuFast.cpp
// Calculate the probabilities:
Probability_Matter_LBL(s12sq, s13sq, s23sq, delta,
Dmsq21, Dmsq31, L, E, rho, Ye, N_Newton,
&probs_returned);
// Print out the probabilities to terminal
for (int alpha = 0; alpha < 3; alpha++)
{
    for (int beta = 0; beta < 3; beta++)
    {
        printf("%d %d %g\n", alpha, beta,
            probs_returned[alpha][beta]);
    } // beta, 3
} // alpha, 3</pre>
```

• $\bar{\nu}$: E < 0; IO: $\Delta m_{31}^2 < 0$

▶ Folder called Benchmarks to make the plots in the paper

Summary

▶ Computing neutrino oscillations fast is important

- ▶ New algorithm contains several innovations:
 - ▶ Approximate λ_3 and rapid convergence, if desired
 - ▶ Get all eigenvalues from λ_3 via A, B, C
 - ▶ Get TV part from NHS identity
 - ▶ Structure TC parts to not require phase information
 - ▶ Get $|V_{\alpha i}|^2$ from Eigenvalue-Eigenvector Identity
 - > Careful implementation in code to reduce expensive and repeated computations
- ▶ People are already trying out the code!
- ▶ Atmospherics and nighttime solar in the works!

Backups

References



SK hep-ex/9807003

M. Gonzalez-Garcia, et al. hep-ph/0009350

M. Maltoni, et al. hep-ph/0207227

SK hep-ex/0501064

SK hep-ex/0604011

T. Schwetz, M. Tortola, J. Valle 0808.2016

M. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado 1001.4524

T2K 1106.2822

D. Forero, M. Tortola, J. Valle 1205.4018

D. Forero, M. Tortola, J. Valle 1405.7540

P. de Salas, et al. 1708.01186

F. Capozzi et al. 2003.08511

Peter B. Denton (BNL)

Precision



Comparison to DMP



DMP: PBD, H. Minakata, S. Parke 1604.08167