Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.

International MUON Collider Collaboration

MuCol

CERN

Muon Collider MDI - Challenges and Plan



Daniele Calzolari*
on behalf of the IMCC & MuCol MDI WG

6-7 May 2024

*CERN (SY-STI-BMI)
INFN sezione di Padova

International MUON Collider Collaboration

Introduction



- **Machine-Detector Interface (MDI) objectives:**
  - Study the **beam-induced background (BIB)** and identify mitigation strategies for the **3** and **10(+) TeV** collider options.
  - Develop a credible **interaction region (IR) design** with background levels compatible with detector operations
- Could profit from previous **US MAP** studies (N. Mokhov et al): **MAP design served as starting point.**
- **This presentation:**
  - General introduction to Muon Collider IR and MDI
  - Status and Achievements
  - Future plans in view of **ESPPU** stategy update (deadline: 31 March 2025)

Sources of beam-induced background

	Description	Relevance as background
Muon decay	**Decay of stored muons around the collider ring**	**Dominating source**
Synchrotron radiation by stored muons	Synchrotron radiation emission by the beams in magnets near the IP (including IR quads → large transverse beam tails)	**Small**
Muon beam losses on the aperture	Halo losses on the machine aperture, can have multiple sources, e.g.: • Beam instabilities • Machine imperfections (e.g. magnet misalignment) • Elastic (Bhabha) μμ scattering • Beam-gas scattering (Coulomb scattering or Bremsstrahlung emission) • Beamstrahlung (deflection of muon in field of opposite bunch)	**Can be significant** (although some of the listed source terms are expected to yield a small contribution like elastic μμ scattering, beam-gas, Beamstrahlung)
Coherent e^-e^+ pair production	Pair creation by real* or virtual photons of the field of the counter-rotating bunch	**Expected to be small** (but should nevertheless be quantified)
Incoherent e^-e^+ pair production	Pair creation through the collision of two real* or virtual photons emitted by muons of counter-rotating bunches	**Significant**

*There are hardly any real photons produced through beamstrahlung

How to deal with the beam-induced background?



Conical absorber inside detector (nozzle)
Shield the detector from high-energy decay products and halo losses (requires also an optimization of the beam aperture)

Detector
Handle background by suitable choice of detector technologies and reconstruction techniques (time gates, directional suppression, etc.)

Many concepts from MAP!

Interaction region (IR) lattice
Customized IR lattice to reduce the loss of decay products near the IP

IR masks/liners and shielding
Shield the detector from particles lost in final focus region (requires also an optimization of the beam aperture)

Solenoid
Capture secondaries produced near the IP (e.g. incoherent e-e+ pairs)

Transverse halo cleaning
Clean the transverse beam halo far from the IP to avoid halo losses on the aperture near the detector (IR is an aperture bottleneck)

International MUON Collider Collaboration

Lattices presently used for IMCC &MuCol MDI design studies

	=3 TeV	=10 TeV
Version	US MAP [1]	IMCC (present vers 0.7) [2]
FF scheme	Quadruplet (with dipolar component)	Triplet (with dipolar component)
ß*	5 mm	1.5 mm
L*	6 m	6 m
Max. field at inner bore	12 T	20 T

[1] Y. Alexahin, E. Gianfelice-Wendt, V. Kapin (Fermilab), Y. Alexahin et al 2018 JINST 13 P11002
[2] K. Skoufaris, C. Carli (CERN)

- **Some of the challenges:**
  - Large ßs in FF magnets, hence large aperture
  - High-fields and strong chromatic effects → local chromatic correction scheme

=3 TeV MAP lattice (quadruplet version):



=10 TeV IMCC lattice v 0.7:



Strong dipoles for background reduction

Long drift section for a smoother reduction of the beta values at the end of the FF scheme.

Anatomy of decay-induced background



Nozzle shape and material: Determines spectra, entry positions and directions of secondaries entering detector

Nozzle → Background reduction by orders of magnitude

Lattice and beam aperture: Determine how many decay products are lost near the IP*, but little influence on secondary spectra and entry positions in detector

Lattice → Background reduction by a factor of a few

* Decay products lost on the inside of nozzle are the most relevant for background

Anatomy of decay-induced background

Background particles (from decay) entering detector per bunch crossing (with time cut [-1:15]ns):

- $O(10^8)$ **γ** (>100 keV),
- $O(10^7)$ **n** (>10^{-5} eV)
- $O(10^6)$ **e+ & e-** (>100 keV)



Time distribution of particles entering detector wrt bunch crossing:



Lethargy distribution of particles entering detector (within -1:15 ns time window):



Neutron spectrum extends to thermal energies (not shown)

Still need to study **Bethe-Heitler muon background** with high statistics samples!

Decay background: impact of lattice choices

Number of background particles entering the detector as a function of the muon decay position:

Latest 10 TeV lattice version (v0.7)



Decays in drift upstream of FF would yield a non-negligible contribution but can be strongly reduced by a dipole chicane
Nevertheless, the contribution remains non-zero

Decays inside nozzle (between IP and L*) contribute very little to the background
But: increasing L* from 6m to 10m yields only small improvement – O(few 10%) – at the expense of a more complex lattice design

Decays inside triplet dominate background
Can only be partially mitigate by lattice choice (e.g. dipolar component)

- **IMCC plans for ESPPU report:**
  - Further optimization of the nozzle and the lattice for the BIB mitigation

Decay background: towards an optimized nozzle for 3 TeV and 10 TeV



Particle fluence into detector (per bunch crossing) vs longitudinal coordinate:





- **Nozzle design**
  - Most results obtained so far were with 1.5 TeV MAP nozzle
  - Preliminary studies show potential to improve nozzle for 3/10 TeV
- **IMCC plans for final ESPPU report:**
  - Optimization of the conceptual nozzle design (shape, material, beam aperture) for 3 TeV and 10 TeV is one of the key priorities
  - Refine the required solenoid field strength

Incoherent e-/e+ pair production

- **Performed a first-order evaluation of incoherent pair production at 10 TeV**
  - Within +/-40 cm from IP, the pair production background contributes a few 10% of the background multiplicity (compared to decay), but the pairs are on average more energetic
- **IMCC plans for final ESPPU report:**
  - Improve description of pair production by muon beams in the GUINEA-PIG event generator





Particle fluence into detector (per bunch crossing) vs longitudinal coordinate:

Pair production: source distribution from GUINEA-PIG

Muon decay (both beams), with time cut 15 ns



4 m

Incoherent e+/e- pair production



1 m

Muon halo losses on the aperture

- **Muon losses on the aperture are unavoidable**
  - Many processes can contribute to muon losses
  - Liners in final focus and nozzle follow 5σ envelope → aperture bottleneck
  - **Transverse beam cleaning system will be fundamental** to reduce halo-induced background in detector (like in all other high-energy circular colliders)
  - Muon beam halo cleaning is a challenge → need novel ideas (halo extraction instead of collimation)
- **IMCC plans for final ESPPU report:**
  - Refine shower simulations for (generic) halo losses in IR
  - Derive the max. allowed halo loss rate in IR (should stay below decay-background) → **provide specs for halo cleaning system**

  ***But:* studying a halo removal system until report is not feasibly with the present resources**

Previous concepts of halo extraction developed at Fermilab:



Figure 1: Schematic view of a $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider beam halo extraction.

A. Drozhdin et al., "Scraping beam halo in μ+μ- colliders", AIP Conf. Proc. 441, 242–248 (1998) link

First IMCC halo-induced background studies for 10 TeV:





Secondary neutrons, photons and electrons (mainly) surround the primary muon lost.

Radiation damage in detector (10 TeV)

For IMCC lattice version v0.4

*Radiation damage estimates for 10 TeV (**MAP nozzle, CLIC-like detector**)*
Includes only contribution of decay-induced background!





Per year of operation (140d)	Ionizing dose	Si 1 MeV neutron-equiv. fluence
Vertex detector	200 kGy	3×10^{14} n/cm^2
Inner tracker	10 kGy	1×10^{15} n/cm^2
ECAL	2 kGy	1×10^{14} n/cm^2

- **IMCC plans for final ESPPU report:**
  - Redo radiation damage calculations with optimized 10 TeV nozzle and lattice (and new detector design)
  - Calculate contribution of other source terms (e.g. incoherent pairs, halo losses)

Summary of MDI studies and plans for ESPPU



Achievements (selection):

- Development of a 10 TeV IR lattice → impact of lattice design choices on the decay background
- IR design ready for a 3 TeV collider
- First comparison of decay background for 3 TeV and 10 TeV + first BIB samples for detector studies
- First study of the incoherent pair production background and halo background (10 TeV)
- First estimates of the cumulative radiation damage in the detector (3 TeV and 10 TeV)
- First study of the nozzle optimization potential
- First study of forward muons (10 TeV)

Main goals until 2025:

- Optimization of the nozzle, absorbers, shielding for 3 TeV and 10 TeV, respectively
- Continue 10 TeV IR lattice development
- Engineering considerations for nozzle and integration with detector and solenoid
- Study the permissible halo-induced background in the IR (derive specs for halo cleaning)
- Refinement of incoherent pair production background
- Study radiation damage in IR magnets & detector

International
MUON Collider
Collaboration

CERN

Thank you
for your attention!

Recap of collider parameters

	=3 TeV	=10 TeV
Beam parameters		
Muon energy	1.5 TeV	5 TeV
Bunches/beam	1	
Bunch intensity (at injection)	2.2×10^{12}	1.8×10^{12}
Norm. transverse emittance	25 µm	
Repetition rate (inj. rate)	5 Hz	
Collider ring specs		
Circumference	4.5 km	10 km
Revolution time	15.0 µs	33.4 µs
Luminosity		
Target integrated luminosity	1 ab^{-1}	10 ab^{-1}
Average instantaneous luminosity (5/10 yrs of op.)	2 x 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ / 1 x 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$	2 x 10^{35} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ / 1 x 10^{35} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

$\tau = 2.2\times10^{-6}$ s

Muon decay	=3 TeV	=10 TeV
Mean muon lifetime in lab system ($\gamma\tau$)	0.031 s	0.104 s
Luminosity lifetime	1039 turns	1558 turns



See also parameter doc: https://cernbox.cern.ch/s/NraNbczzBSXctQ9

Workflow in the IMCC

Machine-Detector Interface: MDI

1. Lattice design

The magnet optics is computed via dedicated codes (e.g. MAD-X).

The output is a twiss file, containing the machine elements in a sequence

2. FLUKA geometry model

Via LineBuilder (LB), complex geometries are assembled in a FLUKA input file



Example of a LB application: LHC IR7

3. BIB simulation

With the built geometry, a FLUKA simulation is run.

The position and momentum of the decay muons are sampled from the matched phase-space

Iteration with lattice design experts to mitigate the BIB

BIB data to detector experts

CERN STI/BMI is currently responsible for the geometry built at √s = 3 and 10 TeV

MDI in the IMCC and MuCol (EU study) structure

IMCC:



Detector, MDI and collider design are represented in IMCC coord. committee

MuCol:



- **WP2** (Physics and Detector Requirements)
  - **MDI – detector studies**
- **WP5** (High-energy complex), **Task 5.1** “Collider design” and **Task 5.4** “MDI design & background to experiments”
  - **MDI – machine studies, IR lattice design, background simulations as input for WP2**

Close collaboration with other WPs (e.g. WP7 magnets)

WG meetings for IMCC and MuCol MDI studies

- **MDI WG (since Nov 2021)** – ***machine studies for MDI***
  - Shall bring together expertise from different areas (interaction region design, particle-matter interactions, detector etc.)
  - Meetings every few weeks, usually on Fridays (17h00 CET), see Indico category
  - CERN e-group: **muoncollider-mdi@cern.ch**
- **Physics & Detector WG (since Nov 2020)** – ***detector studies for MDI***
  - Meetings on Physics and Detector simulation & Detector performance and MDI
  - Meetings usually on Tuesdays (16h00 CET), see Indico category
  - CERN e-group: **muoncollider-detector-physics@cern.ch**

These meetings are open to everyone who is interested to join!



From a conceptual to a technical nozzle design

- **Many questions to be addressed for technical nozzle design, for example:**
  - Integration and support inside detector
  - Shielding segmentation and assembly
  - Selection of specific material (tungsten heavy alloy) → machining is an important aspect
  - Heat extraction (cooling)
  - Alignment, vibrations, tolerances, etc.
  - Dedicated vacuum chamber inside nozzle
- **IMCC for final ESPPU report:**
  - First considerations about the nozzle integration inside detector and general technical aspects

    <u>But:</u> do not have resources for detailed technical design studies

*Pictures/info from https://atlas-shielding.web.cern.ch

Can learn from existing shielding projects, for example ATLAS shielding*:









ATLAS forward shielding:
775 tonnes of cast iron,
50 tonnes of steel plates
11 tonnes of borated polyethylene

ATLAS toroid shielding:
110 tonnes of cast iron,
2.6 tonnes of borated polyethylene