



## First Results from a CYGNUS-10 scale SF<sub>6</sub> TPC Vessel with a Coupled MMThGEM-Micromegas

### Alasdair Gregor McLean<sup>1</sup> - Speaker

N.J.C. Spooner<sup>1</sup>, K. Miuchi<sup>2</sup>, S. Higashino<sup>2</sup>, R.R. Marcelo Gregorio<sup>3</sup>

The University of Sheffield<sup>1</sup>, Kobe University<sup>2</sup>, Queen Mary University of London<sup>3</sup>

Contents

- Directional dark matter detection with the CYGNUS collaboration
- MMThGEM as a charge amplification stage device in SF<sub>6</sub>
- Large 10<sup>5</sup> effective gas gain
- Multi-channel tracking with alpha source
- Demonstration in a 1 m<sup>3</sup> vessel
- Ongoing efforts to scale the readout

### IDM 2024 - L'Aquila

11/07/2024

# Directional Dark Matter Detection and the CYGNUS Collaboration

- CYGNUS proposes a modular and multi site nuclear recoil observatory
- Time Projection Chambers (TPCs) capable of reconstructing recoils
- Capable of directional sensitivity to dark matter and neutrinos



The Multi-stage MMThGEM for Charge Amplification in Negative Ion Gases

# The Multi-stage Multi-Mesh ThGEM for Charge Amplification in Negative Ion Gases

#### **MMThGEM**

- Multiple amplification stages are beneficial for use with SF<sub>6</sub>
- Mesh layers make the amplification fields uniform
- Improved avalanche characteristics
- Reduction in +ve Ion Back Flow (IBF)









SF<sub>6</sub> - 40 Torr

# MMThGEM-Micromegas Experimental Setup -Kobe Test Vessel

### **Coupled MMThGEM-Micromegas - Kobe Test Vessel**

#### **Micromegas Device -**

(MMThGEM is used as a gain stage device)

- Perpendicular x-y strip readout plane
- Resolution/strip pitch: 250 μm
- Strip width: 100  $\mu$ m (y) and 220  $\mu$ m (x)
- Active area: 10 x 10 cm
- Amplification gap: 256 μm
- Diamond Like Carbon (DLC) layer: 50 M $\Omega/\Box$





- Resistor chain soldered to electrode contacts
- Resistor values dictated by previous optimisation
- Reduces the number of HV feedthroughs required for operation



Starting in the Kobe test vessel





### LTARS2018 - "NEWAGE 2018 RO" Boards

#### The Low Temperature Analog Readout System (LTARS) designed specifically for NID gases

- Designed by researchers at Kobe University and KEK
- LTARS2018 chips (x2) mounted on the NEWAGE
  2018 RO board
- Board provides the charge sensitive readout electronics for 32 channels
- Each channel is split into low electronic gain and high electronic gain for large dynamic range

32 y-strips on the Micromegas were instrumented with LTARS2018 charge sensitive electronics...



### Low gain and high gain channels provide large dynamic range



### **Charge Calibration**

Charge calibration was performed by injecting charge into LTARS ASIC via 32 parallel test capacitors on a custom PCB

## Two methods of charge calibration were employed:

- 1. Signal Amplitude standard
- 2. **Signal Integration** used to compensate for slow arrival of negative ions



# Measurements in Kobe Test Vessel

### **Source Positioning Around Test Vessel**



### <sup>55</sup>Fe x-ray source

 ~10 cm above instrumented strips (z-axis exposure)

## <sup>241</sup>Am alpha source

- ~8 cm above instrumented strips (z-axis exposure)
- A distance of ~20cm perpendicular to y-strips (y-axis exposure)

## <sup>55</sup>Fe X-ray Exposure - Signal Preprocessing



### **Channel Interpolation**

97% of channels were fully operational

Ch #16 was found to have a loose connection during measurements

Instantaneous voltage of Ch 16 was determined via the linear interpolation of Ch 15 and 17

### Edge Event Cut

Due to charge spreading in resistive layer, many channels are found to be above threshold per event...

Edge event threshold cut applied:

13 < Centre Ch# < 17





SF<sub>c</sub> - 40 Torr

### <sup>55</sup>Fe X-ray Exposure - Gain Measurements

Biasing settings: -2900 V, -1900 V, 100 V, and -530 V for the cathode,  $V_{in}$ ,  $V_{out}$  and Micromegas mesh respectively

A photopeak can be observed in both the signal amplitude and signal integral spectrum

A gaussian distribution was fitted to the spectrum and the amount of charge was determined via the charge calibration

The gas gain was determined via the w-value of  $SF_6$  (34 eV)

#### Gas gain was found to be as high as 1.24 x10<sup>5</sup>!

with an energy resolution of 1.28



SF<sub>c</sub> - 40 Torr

## <sup>241</sup>Am Alpha Exposure - Event Structure

#### SF<sub>c</sub> - 40 Torr

The voltages applied to the cathode, V<sub>in</sub>, V<sub>out</sub>, and the Micromegas mesh were -2800 V, -1800 V, 100 V, and -500 V respectively.

Example alpha particle track shows a structure caused by the MMThGEM hole pitch

MMThGEM hole pitch: 1.2 mm



#### Inspection of 100 typical events



60

50

40

30

20

10

-10

-20

### <sup>241</sup>Am Alpha Exposure - Event Structure

#### SF<sub>6</sub> - 40 Torr

The voltages applied to the cathode, V  $_{\rm in}$  , V  $_{\rm out}$  , and the Micromegas mesh were -2800 V, -1800 V, 100 V, and -500 V respectively.

Example alpha particle track shows a structure caused by the MMThGEM hole pitch

MMThGEM hole pitch: 1.2 mm





#### Inspection of 100 typical events



### <sup>241</sup>Am Alpha Exposure - Track Reconstruction

#### Total linear regression algorithm applied for track reconstruction:

- 1. Isolate points above threshold
- 2. Convert both axes into spatial units via strip pitch and drift velocity
- 3. Perform total linear regression minimisation of residual on both axes



140

120

100

80

60

SF<sub>2</sub> - 40 Torr



# Kobe "BENTO" Vessel

### **CYGNUS-10 Scale Kobe "BENTO" Vessel**

Kentaro and Satoshi welcome your detector modules for testing!

### The "BENTO" vessel at Kobe University

Large CYGNUS-10 scale vessel - 50 cm drift length

Modular design which can support up to 18 readout detector planes

<sup>252</sup>Cf source \_\_\_\_\_ positioned externally 10 cm behind micromegas plane

0.5 m 0.5 m

Q

MMThGEM-Micromegas was transferred to the central panel on the BENTO vessel

Detector mounting conveniently fits test vessel dimensions



#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations



With trigger threshold = 40 mV (LG), the effective recoil threshold ~ 1.8 keVee





#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations





#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations





#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations



21

Recoil Energy (keVee)



#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations



With trigger threshold = 40 mV (LG), the effective recoil threshold ~ 1.8 keVee





#### Comparison to SRIM/SREM recoil simulations





### **Inspection of Individual Events**





#### **Events inside NR band:**

Symmetrical - charge amplification likely confined to single MMThGEM hole

Classic "V" shape caused by the charge dissipation in DLC layer

#### **Events outside NR band:**

Possible evidence of SF<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup> minority peaks

#### Further work required:

- Relatively short exposure time so far
- Gamma exposure for ER/NR



# Ongoing and Future Work...

#### **Strip Instrumentation with CERN SRS Electronics** CF - 40 Torr

\* Strip #

250

200

150

100

**Thermal Management of VMM Hybrids at** Low Pressure - crucial for long term operation



#### No mitigation



#### First time SRS has been used at low pressure!

90

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

Some preliminary results in 40 Torr of CF<sub>4</sub>!

Evidence of response to x-ray source







Thermal coupling to vessel with heat sink compound



# Conclusions

### Conclusions

- Next generation directional dark matter searches will likely utilise a NID gas like SF<sub>6</sub>
  MMThGEM is a promising amplification stage design
- 2. Coupled MMThGEM-Micromegas detector has been demonstrated with 32 channels in low pressure SF<sub>6</sub>
- 3. Exposure to various radioactive sources has highlighted successes (10<sup>5</sup> gas amplification) and room for improvement (coarse hole pitch and charge spreading)
- 4. First exposure of the detector in a large CYGNUS-10 scale vessel has provided first look at potential nuclear recoil events and evidence of possible SF<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup> minority peaks
- 5. Ongoing and future work is expanding to full scale strip instrumentation first light operation of CERN SRS electronics at low pressure has been achieved! 2

### Thank you for your attention

Discussion and questions are welcomed!

Please email me if you have further queries: ali.mclean@sheffield.ac.uk





#### A huge thank you to:

Kentaro Miuchi for your kind invitation and hospitality both in and outside the lab which made this work possible

Satoshi Higashino for your assistance during the data collection and analysis

We would like to acknowledge the funding provided by a DDP scholarship awarded to A.G.McLean



## **Additional Slides**

## Why use SF<sub>6</sub> gas?

### **Pros!**

- Electronegative/Negative Ion Drift (NID) gas high fidelity
- Fluorine content possible improvement in WIMP cross section
- Not toxic! previously CS<sub>2</sub> was used as a NID gas but it is toxic



### Con...

Very difficult to produce significant gas gains with...

Electron must first be stripped from the NI before amplification can occur

Limits sensitivity of detector to low energy recoils

### **Electron vs Negative Ion Pulse Shapes - MMThGEM**



Typical  $^{55}{\rm Fe}$  x-ray induced event in 40 Torr  ${\rm CF}_{\rm 4}$  with the MMThGEM

Charge arrives at electrode within shaping time of electronics



## Typical $^{55}{\rm Fe}$ x-ray induced event in 40 Torr ${\rm SF}_{\rm 6}$ with the MMThGEM

Charge arrives at electrode slower than the shaping time of electronics

### **Difference in Preamp Rise Time**



### **Rise Time (z-range calculations/simulations)**



Simulations (adjusted for diffusion) agree with measured z-range



### **Electronic Gain - Max Voltage of Shaper Signal**



<u>We see this here!</u> The shaper begins integrating the signal before the preamp stops rising!

- The electronic gain (amplitude of shaper/amplitude of preamplifier) is not the same for both gasses.
- Smaller for SF<sub>6</sub> but still approximately linear.
- Gradient for CF  $_4$  is 20.95 and 4.69 for SF  $_6$ .
- This is because the rise time is longer than the shaper time.
   35

### **Electronic Gain - Integrated Shaper Signal**



- Using the integral method - the electronic gain between the two gases is much more comparable
- Linear regression gives a gradient of 0.0003 s and 0.0004 s - much better agreement
- Still a bit of a discrepancy and larger spread at higher preamp voltages
- Likely an artifact of the decay time of the preamp

### **Integrated Shaper Signal vs Preamp Deconvolution Signal**



By accounting for the decay time of the preamplifier the agreement at larger preamp signals improves.

Gradient CF<sub>4</sub>= 0.00025

Gradient  $SF_6 = 0.00023$ 

#### **Deconvolution Algorithm**

$$V_i^{rec} = \begin{cases} V_i^{av}, & i = 1, \\ V_{i-1}^{rec} + V_i^{av} - V_{i-1}^{av} \times \exp(-\Delta t/\tau), i > 1. \end{cases}$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1508.04295.pdf

The deconvolution algorithm calculates cumulative charge from the preamp signal.

It essentially removes the losses due to the decay time of the preamp.



### <sup>252</sup>Cf Directed Neutron Runs

#### SF<sub>6</sub> - 40 Torr

### Preliminary evidence for possible nuclear recoil head-tail asymmetries

The HV detector settings were set to be -2900 V, -1900 V, 100 V, and -530 V for the cathode, V in , V out and Micromegas mesh respectively - identical to  $^{55}{\rm Fe}$  measurements



Head-tail determination is important for directional dark matter searches

This can significantly reduce the number of events required for the identification of a galactic signature

Head-tail  $\alpha$  parameter defined as:

 $\alpha = \eta_1/\eta_2$ 

A value close to 1 indicates no asymmetries

Full study is ongoing...

### <sup>55</sup>Fe X-ray Exposure - Gain Measurements

A photopeak can be observed in both the signal amplitude and signal integral spectrum

A gaussian distribution was fitted to the spectrum and the amount of charge was determined via the charge calibration

The gas gain was determined via the w-value of  $SF_6$  (34 eV)

Gas gain was found to be as high as  $1.24 \times 10^5$ !



### **MMThGEM + NID Preamp Rise Times vs Simulations**



<sup>55</sup>Fe x-rays simulated in DEGRAD

Z-axis range from simulations is consistent with preamplifier rise times



### **Integral Method for Slow NI Compensation**







