



#### SABRE South Status

Elisabetta Barberio The University of Melbourne





╓╫┧

## WIMP Wind Modulation Signal



Period of 1 year, peaking June 2<sup>nd</sup> (t<sub>0</sub>=152.5 days)

Rare and low energy events Rate < 1 count/day/kg (few % of which modulates)

$$R(t) = B + R_0 + R_m \cos(\omega(t - t_0))$$
Modulating component ~ 2-10% of R(t)

Expect very low modulation amplitude ~0.01 cpd/kg/keV

## **Results from DAMA/LIBRA**

- ~20 years of operations: 12.8  $\sigma$  CL significance
- Period: (0.998±0.002) y
- Phase: (145±5) days (2-6 keV) and (145±7) (1-3 keV)
- Amplitude: (0.0103±0.0008) cdp/kg/keV
- Observed annual modulation ~ 0.01 cpd/kg/keV (dru) in ROI [1,6]keV





2-6 keV

ATTER PARTICLE PHYSICS



# Conclusive Test of DAMA/LIBRA



#### Strategy

- Higher signal-to-background ratio by ultra-high purity Nal(TI) crystals
- Proof-of-Principle at LNGS
  - In-situ measurements of crystals radio-purity
- North-South «twin» experiments at LNGS(Italy) and SUPL(Australia)
  - Rule out seasonal effects
- Control PMT noise for low threshold (~1 keV)
  - Pre-calibration

# Induced Modulation from Analysis Technique?

- DAMA/LIBRA analysis relied on subtracting average rate over ~ annual cycles
- Is this procedure inducing a modulation effect consistent with their signal?
- Using SABRE crystal simulation and DAMA <sup>210</sup>Pb and reassess <sup>3</sup>H estimations (R .Saldanha et al PRD107, 2023) no sizeable induced modulation was observed with DAMA background subtraction



0.85

0.80

0.75

0.70

0.65

0.60

0.55

R<sub>i</sub> [cpd / kg / keV]

#### SABRE



- SABRE North at Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS) in Italy
- SABRE South at Stawell Underground Physics Laboratory (SUPL) in Australia



## SUPL

**Operating since January 2024** 

- First deep underground lab in the Southern Hemisphere
  - $\circ~$  1025 m deep (2900 m w.e.) in an active gold mine (SGM)
  - Flat overburden
  - $\circ\,$  Helical drive access: 10 km tunnel, max 5 m diameter, up to 10% slope





https://www.supl.org.au



#### SABRE South detector

**Southern hemisphere location** 

Large Active background veto: Particle ID, some position reconstruction capabilities

In-situ optical (in LS) and radioactive calibration possible

1 keV energy threshold for 1-6 keV ROI in Nal(TI) >18 R5912 PMTs (14 more from Daya Bay decommissioning in testing)

> Steel and Polyethylene shielding

High QE and low radioactivity R11065 PMTs + pure Nal(TI) crystals

SABRE South TDR, DOI: https://doi.org/10.26188/14618172.v3





#### SABRE South Collaboration



Veto vessel at Wantrina Swinburne Uni





# High Purity NaI(TI) Crystals

Simulated total experimental background Using the veto the expected overall background is 0.72 cpd/kg/keVee.

Experiment designed so that < 10% of background is external to crystals.

Component	Rate (cpd/kg/keV)	Veto efficiency (%)	
Crystal intrinsic	<5.2 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	13	
Crystal cosmogenic	1.6 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	45	
Crystal PMTs	3.8 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	57	
Crystal wrap	4.5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	11	
Enclosures	3.2 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	85	
Conduits	1.9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	96	
Steel vessel	1.4 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	>99	
Veto PMTs	1.9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	>99	
Shielding	3.9 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	>99	
Liquid scintillator	4.9 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	>99	
External	5.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	>93	
Total	0.72	27	





## SABRE South Nal(TI) Crystals Production



See also Sabre N talk & F. Dastgiri poster Nal ultra-pure powder from R&D of Princeton-Sigma-Aldrich (now Merck) in hand in Australia

arXiv: 1909.11692

Goal ~50 kg mass, 7 crystal modules

SIC

Nal-35

Crystals R&D via SICCAS and RMD with Sabre North

Future characterisation in a lead castle in SUPL

Since 1928

Nal-SICCAS



hand





Crystals encapsulated in copper/PTFE enclosures, directly coupled to two R11065 PMTs. Purged with high purity dry  $N_{2}$ .

Assembly process is being finalised using glove box.



## **PMT Pre-Calibration**

Optimise PE detection efficiency and noise rejection vs number of SPEs detected

**Single photoelectron response (SPE) and gain Dark rate, and temperature dependent dark rate** Relative quantum efficiency, **linearity of response** 





# **PMT** Noise Rejection

BDT classifier has improved performance over linear cuts on traditional pulse shape variables

Improved noise rejection in low energy region—> energy threshold to  ${\sim}1~keV_{ee}$ 









# Active Veto System

12 kL of linear alkyl benzene (LAB) procured via JUNO production line, doped with PPO and bisMSB

Photon attenuation > 20m

18 R5912 PMTs oil proof, sampled at 500 MS/s +16 from Daya Bay decommissioning (donated by IHEP)

~ threshold of 50 keV (~10 PE) – ~ 0.20 PE/keV detectable by single PMT

Any radioactive decay with gamma > 100 keV can be detected





# Muon Veto System

First detectors commissioned early 2024 in SUPL

SABRE South muon veto assembled in "telescope mode" for measurement of muon flux and angular spectrum Currently collecting data and analysis is underway

Also providing the first test of the remote data acquisition system (DAQ) and processing pipelines



## Insertion System and Gas Handling











#### **Other Achievements**





# SABRE Impact

SABRE South Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 878 (2023)

- Crystal background from NaI-33
- Cosmogenic background <sup>3</sup>H (half life 12.4 yrs), <sup>109</sup>Cd (half life 463 days) and <sup>113</sup>Sn (half life 115 days) after 180 days



# **Physics Program**

Preliminary sensitivity studies performed on

- Migdal effect
- Bosonic super-WIMPs

Veto (LAB): Sensitivity to supernova neutrinos – possibility to join SNEWS





- Excellent progress on crystal production and crystal handling equipment.
- Access to SUPL commenced: first major equipment (muon detectors) in SUPL since February.
- Continued progress on Software/DAQ/Computing/Database. Stress tested with muon plastic scintillator system.
- Gas handling system and insertion system ready to be deployed.
- Shielding fabrication is starting soon -> SABRE South deployment in 2025
- Nal(TI) experiments (ANAIS, COSINE, SABRE South and North) signed an agreement to collaborate and exchange knowledge to solve the mystery posed by DAMA/LIBRA



SABRE South TDR, DOI: https://doi.org/10.26188/14618172.v3

https://darkmatteraustralia.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/SABREPUBLIC/pages/973209623/Publications





#### Crystal requirements

#### • SICCAS and/or RMD could provide suitable crystals that meet requirements.

5



Table 12. Breakdown of contribution limits to the intrinsic crystal radioactivity for the two different total mass scenarios. Note that the 50 kg scenario assumes the use of the Bridgman growth method for the crystals, while the 35 kg uses zone refining, and so can achieve lower background levels.

Isotope	$50~{\rm kg}$ limit (mBq/kg)	35  kg limit (mBq/kg)
<sup>210</sup> Pb	0.36	0.32
$^{40}$ K	0.34	0.30
$^{87}\mathrm{Rb}$	0.36	0.04
$^{238}U$	0.01	0.01
$^{85}\mathrm{Kr}$	0.01	0.01
$^{232}$ Th	0.041	0.041

ARCCENTIES OF BUELLENCE FOR DARKEN PARTICLE PHYSICS

**Table 16**. Expected background rate for intrinsic crystal contamination for the SABRE South MC [12], the SABRE PoP run [38], and the SABRE PoP run with reduction due to zone refining and veto application. Note that we assume (based on the simulation results from SABRE South) that the "other" category is dominated by <sup>87</sup>Rb, which sees a significant reduction from zone refining [37].

1				
	Contaminant	SABRE South MC	SABRE PoP	SABRE PoP with
				ZR and veto
	<sup>40</sup> K	$1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.6 \times 10^{-2}$
	$^{210}\mathrm{Pb}$	$2.8  imes 10^{-1}$	$3.3  imes 10^{-1}$	$1.7  imes 10^{-1}$
	238 <sub>11</sub>	$5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.0 \times 10^{-3}$
	$^{232}$ Th	$3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \times 10^{-4}$
	Other	$2.2 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.3  imes 10^{-1}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-2}$
	Total	$5.2 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.0 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-1}$

Table 13. Background requirements for SABRE South, based on the requirement that benchmark sensitivity is achieved within 3 years.

Crystal mass [kg]	External+cosmogenic	Intrinsic background $[cpd/kg/keV_{ee}]$	
	background $[\rm cpd/kg/keV_{ee}]$	$3\sigma$ Exclusion	$5\sigma$ Discovery
35	0.23	0.53	0.27
50	0.21	1.18	0.52