New Paradigm and Scenarios for the Dark Matter Phenomenon

Paolo Salucci (SISSA, INFN)



IDM, Aquila, 8/7/2024

This talk is about

-proposal a new approach the DM mystery, motivated by the observational properties emerged in the past 20 years.

Given the Dark Matter Phenomenon(2024) we need, in the search for the actual explaining Scenario, to adopt a new and suitable generating Paradigm.

The results, I will show, published (30-2 years ago) have been used to test scenarios.



Dark Matter emerges to account for effects that appear to be the result of invisible mass





DM **IS:**

-a phenomenon. Multiple evidence at different scales of the Universe unexplained without postulating the existence of a dark massive BSM component.

-DMP(year): it has rapidly increased with time in quantity and in complexity.

Dark Matter

The (true) theorethical scenario emerges from a suitable Paradigm

Since 1990: the Apollonian Paradigm for DM The true scenario for the DM particles the most beautiful one It does this:

- 1) it connects the **new** Dark Matter physics with the **known** physics of the Early Universe.
- 2) it sheds light on open issues of the Standard Model particle physics or, even long standing big issues of Physics
- 3) it has a (unique) underlying dark particle, detectable by experiments and observations with near future technology
- 4) the dark particle is introduced in a natural and simple way and its interactions with the Standard model particles are related with the cosmological matter density.
- 5) it is mathematically described by a very small number of parameters and has unique and known initial conditions
- 6) It has a strong predictive power on the evolution of the structures of the Universe, that can be fully followed by suitable numerical simulations.

BEAUTY = SIMPLICITY, NATURALNESS, USEFULNESS, ACHIEVING EXPECTATIONS, HARMONICALLY EXTENDING OUR KNOWLEDGE

From the Apollonian DM Paradigm:

a specific scenario emerged that was also the reverse engineering of the DMP(1990)

Cold, collisionless WIMP (Weakly interacting massive dark particle)

ΛCDM Scenario is fully falsiable by observations, experiments and theorethical arguments - To set the dark particle nature only DMP(1990) is sufficient.

- is set to reproduce any DMP(XXXX) with the help of "dirty" baryonic physics

35000 published papers based on CDM

N-body simulations

The outcome: a family of halos of very different masses, but similar density profiles, arranged in hierarchical way.





The simple and direct ACDM scenario: the density profile

$$\rho_{NFW}(r) = \delta \rho_c \frac{r_s}{r} \frac{1}{(1 + r/r_s)^2}$$
$$c = \frac{R_{vir}}{r_s} \qquad R_{vir} = 260 \left(\frac{M_{vir}}{10^{12} M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/3} kpc$$

$$c(M_{vir}) = 9.35 \left(\frac{M_{vir}}{10^{12} M_{\odot}}\right)^{-0.09}$$

25 YEARS LATER:

Universal structure of dark matter haloes over a mass range of 20 orders of magnitude

J. Wang ^C, <u>S. Bose</u>, <u>C. S. Frenk</u> ^C, <u>L. Gao</u>, <u>A. Jenkins</u>, <u>V. Springel</u> & <u>S. D. M. White</u> ^C

<u>Nature</u> **585**, 39–42 (2020) <u>Cite this article</u>

Density Profiles N-body simulations (1996)







The Distribution of stars in galaxies

R_D lenght scale of the 2D disk R_e lenght scale of the 3D spheroid

The distribution of baryons in galaxies E,dwE,Bu



Sersic

From the Gravitational Potential to the mass distribution

$$\phi_{tot} = \phi_b + \phi_H + \phi_{disk} + \phi_{HI}$$
 Gravitational Potential
 $abla^2 \Phi_i = 4\pi G \rho_i$ Poisson Equation

$$V_{\text{tot}}^2(r) = r \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \phi_{\text{tot}} = V_b^2 + V_{\text{H}}^2 + V_{\text{disk}}^2 + V_{\text{HI}}^2.$$

$$\sigma_r^2(r) = \frac{1}{\nu_\star(r)} \int_r^\infty \nu_\star(r') \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{2\beta} \frac{GM(r')}{r'^2} \,\mathrm{d}r'.$$

$$\Sigma_{\rm c} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{D_{\rm s}}{D_{\rm l} D_{\rm ls}} \quad \gamma_t(R) = (\bar{\Sigma}(R) - \Sigma(R)) / \Sigma_{\rm c}$$

$$M(< r) = \frac{kT_{g}(r)r}{G\mu m_{p}} \left(\frac{d \log \rho_{g}(r)}{d \log r} + \frac{d \log T_{g}(r)}{d \log r}\right)$$

Rotating systems

Pressure dominated systems

Weak lensing

X-Ray emitting gas

Dark and luminous mass profiles in galaxies

Successful galaxy mass model has 3 free parameters and one free Function:

1p -stellar mass (+ bulge)2p -halo central density3p -halo core radius (length-scale)

1f - ϱ_H(r, 2 p)

N-body simulations Λ CDM



- Observations 📂

Two new structural parameters, not existing in CDM But present if matter interacts via EM or Strong forces



MASS MODELLING





Low Surface Brightness

Low Surface Brightness







MASS MODELLING-2







The structural DM and LM parameters are related among themselves and with luminosity.

Baryonic feedback: cores maybe, relations not.
 -no explanation (inside the Apollonian DM Paradigm)





Central DM halo density vs. core radius

-8

ΛCDM

-8



Stellar (disk) length scales vs halo core radii



The log derivative of the stellar surface density vs. that of the dark halo 3D density Not Adiabatic Exchange of 4-momentum

DM and LM compactnesses

 $M_{D}(R_{D}), R_{D}; M_{H}(r_{0}), r_{0}$

 $C_{\star} = \frac{(M_D(R_D)/R_D^2)}{\langle (M_D(R_D)/R_D^2) \rangle}$

same $M_D(R_D)$

Formation of the stellar disks

same M_H(R₀)

 $C_{DM} = \frac{(M_H(r_0)/r_0^2)}{\langle (M_H(r_0)/r_0^2) \rangle}$

Formation of the const density region in dark halos

 $Log C_* = 0.00 + 0.90 Log C_{DM}$









ACDM scenario today suffers by:

Emergence of a strong DM-LM entanglement
 Inconsistencies on scales < 0.1 Mpc

The disagreement is now so deep and wide that concerns also the paradigm that has generated this scenario

-The failure of the scenario stems from its a-priori adhesion to criteria of scientific beauty in all its various acceptations

The philosopher Nietzsche, not the first, proposed the idea:

NIETZSCHEAN Paradigm for the actual Scenario of DM



The paradigm remains agnostic with respect to the canon of scientific beauty of a scenario.

- It allows scenarios that appear to our "scientific senses" ugly, ad hoc and anti-Occam and that are not helpful in making progresses on presently open issues of Physics.
- -The DM scenario is primarily built by iteratively reverse engineering the DMP as this plays out with time.
- -This paradigm applies for the DM (DE?) (e.g. not for BSM physics)



Salucci et al Universe, 2020, 6, 118



A new physical interaction creates the DM cores and the fascinating aspects of the DMP(2024). At macroscopic Level 1 kpc³:

 $d
ho_{DM}(r,t)/dt = k < \sigma V_r >
ho_{DM}(r,t)
ho_{LM}(r); \;\;
ho_{DM}(r,0) =
ho_{NFW}(r)$

explains naturally the formation of cores and the above relationships

Direct DM-SM particle interaction. Scattering, absorption and emission, capture, resonance. Multiple location of the interactions. DM-DM interaction enhanced by local baryonic excess

Neutrinos?

Can be the DM particle. Degenerate ~1 kev WDM fermionic neutrino (+self interaction)

Can push out of the DM 'standard particle'. Neutrino flux from supernovae on DM halo particles.

Can be the witness of the interaction between DM and SM particles (in stars, neutron stars, ecc

Are some neutrino anomalies already suggesting that?

Presently favoured DM Scenarios are structurally unable to account for the complex characterization of the Dark Matter Phenomenon that has emerged *after* its original proposal in the 90'.

We need to adopt a **NEW** Paradigm that allows also to 'ugly' scenarios to describe the dark component of the Universe. We need to discuss such paradigm shift, in that Cosmologists tend to favour the perceived beauty of a scenario.