

The University of Manchester



#### Acceptance and Signal/Background Rates from MDC2020 Dataset

Claudia Alvarez Garcia.

claudia.alvarezgarcia@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

May - 2024







#### Mu2e - Overview

- Captured muons normalize the cLFV measurement.
- Captured muons can emit characteristic AI X-rays.
- Captured muons are measured by reconstructing the <sup>27</sup>Al X-ray energy spectrum.
- Captured muons = 60.9% of Stopped muons

- SINDRUM-II limit: 7×10<sup>-13</sup> (90% C.L).
- Mu2e requires a high intensity, pulsed proton beam.
- Total of 3.6 x 10<sup>20</sup> protons on target (POT) over 3 years of data taking.

$$BR_{Mu2e}(\mu^- \to e^-) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- + N \to e^- + N)}{\Gamma(\text{nuclear } \mu^- \text{ captures})} < 8 \cdot 10^{-17} (90\% \text{C.L})$$



STM: Reconstructs <sup>27</sup>Al energy spectrum.

High Purity Germanium (HPGe) Detector.



 Study ST-STM acceptance for X-rays and photons from the flash.





#### **MDC2020** Dataset

See DocDB-44084 : this simulates 2x10<sup>8</sup> POT but simulation stops at end of TS (VD8) before ST.

Average Run-1 intensity is ~  $2 \times 10^7$  protons per microbunch so MDC2020 simulates ~ 10 microbunches i.e 17  $\mu$ s of data.



Extrapolating this sample from VD8 with Art-geometry yields zero hits in the STM. But we can re-sample it to estimate acceptances and hence rates in STM using distributions in the virtual detectors.



#### Input particles

#### VD8 : end of TS / beginning of DS : 50M $e^{-}$



VD8 ST VD10 VD15



#### **Stopped Muon X-rays**







- 1. Purely geometric acceptance : solid angle + collimator
- 2. Attenuation in poly absorbers

End-end ART simulation :  $(8.03 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-10}$ 

#### Generated 10<sup>9</sup> 347 keV X-Ray in a limited solid angle range in ART.





## Generated positions of 347 keV X rays in ST (Art)





Not generated the < 0.1% from the ST support structure which in any case have a much lower acceptance.

#### Acceptance of 347 keV muon X rays







#### **Flash Photon Acceptance**

Flash photon acceptance will be higher since mostly forward compared to 347 keV X-rays







#### Use the VD10 distributions for |r| < 75 mm







## Forward going Flash Photons at VD10



0-5 MeV t ~ 800 ns nuclear captures



Only 1.86 x  $10^{-4}$  of the MDC2020 flash photons are in the solid angle range that can reach the STM. So the MDC2020 sample produces zero in the STM.

Thus we generate  $10^7$  at VD10 but only in a restricted solid-angle  $(d\Omega = 10^{-5})$  to have equivalent of 7.6 x10<sup>12</sup> POT (~ 15 spills) vs 2x10<sup>8</sup> (4 x 10<sup>-4</sup> spill)



# Muze

## Acceptance of stopped muon X rays



We generate at VD10 : acceptance of last ST plane.

Acceptance from 1st ST plane is lower by  $\sim$  10% due to attenuation in ST-foils (and is energy dependent).





#### VD10-VD101 acceptance



VD10-VD15 acceptance ~ 1



#### **Effect of collimator**





In restricted solid angle : which is  $(1.86 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$  of total sample

VD10-VD89/90 Acceptance = Acc(VD10-15) x Acc(VD15-101) x Acc (VD101-89/90)



=  $Acc(VD10-15) \times Acc(VD15-101) \times Acc(VD101-89/90)$ =  $0.9999 \times Acc(E) \times 0.0032$ = 0.082 @ 347 keVAt 347: Acceptance =  $1.86 \times 10^{-4} \times 0.999 \times 0.082 \times 0.0032 = (4.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-8}$ cf 347 X-ray acceptance is =  $(8.03 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-10}$ 

**347 keV X-rays at STM / POT** = (1 POT) x (0.0016 stopped muons/POT) x (0.8 X-rays(347)/stopped muon) x (8.03 x 10<sup>-10</sup> X-ray at STM/X-ray generated at sT) = (10.2 ± 0.1) x 10<sup>-13</sup>  $\rightarrow$  for 2x10<sup>7</sup> protons/µbunch  $\rightarrow$  12 Hz (on-spill) **347 ± 3 keV Flash photons at STM / POT** = (1 POT) x (3684/2x10<sup>8</sup> counts at VD10/POT) x (4.9 x 10<sup>-8</sup> acceptance) = (9.0 ± 0.6) x 10<sup>-13</sup>

Ratio of 347 X-ray to 347 flash (per POT) =  $1.13 \pm 0.08$ 



#### **Integrated Flash Rate**

At 347 keV - on-spill rate: 12 Hz for X-rays & 10 Hz for Flash Acceptance for 1.8 MeV X-ray higher but production rate lower  $\rightarrow$  8 Hz.



Average acceptance (E < 80 MeV) =  $(6.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-8}$ Integrated rate =  $(5.8 \pm 0.1) \text{ kHz}$ 

Rate below 5 MeV ~ 4 kHz





Using S/B = 1.13

#### Signal / Background



Binned likelihood fits to flash background shape (- 511 keV) to determine  $\sigma$  (S=347)/S and thus the running (clock) time for this to be < 10% at different rates.

At 4 kHz : time to get to 10% for  $\sigma$ (S=347)/S is 12 sec





Time to achieve 10% precision clearly also depends on resolution (as well as rate)





#### **Photopeak Efficiency**

Measured (ADC) energy determined by energy-loss processes in detector We've developed standalone GEANT4 simulation firing particles from collimator





#### **Detector Angle Orientation**

#### The photopeak efficiency depends on orientation (& offset) with respect to collimator





#### Have used the MDC2020 data to determine

- Ratio of the 347 X-rays to the flash background at 347 keV: 1.13 ± 0.08
- Absolute rate in detector : 5.8 kHz : ~ x10 lower compared to 2016 estimate
- Time to get 10% statistical uncertainty on 347 line versus flash rate & resolution

Having determined times to get 10% statistical uncertainty, will move onto evaluate some systematic uncertainties.

- Effect of varying fitting region to determine signal
- Effect of different fit shapes for background
- Uncertainty / non-linearities in ADC to energy scale calibration
- Uncertainties / non-linearities in energy resolution
- Uncertainty in rate-dependent correction factor (inefficiency) due to overlapping pulses
- Uncertainty in correction to get from measured signal to true signal number
- Uncertainty in X-ray geometric acceptance
- Uncertainty in fraction of stopped muons giving 347 line



#### **Conferences and Workshops**

#### • I've attended the following Workshops:

1. "Fermilab – C++ / Standard Template Library Course", held online (Fermilab, August 17th – September 14th, 2021).

2. Intense Training Program: Cosmic Ray Muography (Ghent, Belgium, November 2021).

3. "Advanced Graduate Lectures on practical Tools, Applications and Techniques in HEP", (Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Oxfordshire, June 13 – 17th, 2022, https://indico.stfc.ac.uk/event/461/timetable/20220614).

CLFV2023: The 4th International Conference on Charged Lepton Flavor Violation (Heidelberg University, Physics Institute, June 20 – 22nd 2023, <u>https://indico.desy.de/event/37920</u>).
IOP Joint APP and HEPP Annual Conference (Liverpool).

#### • I have given talks or presented posters at the following events:

1. "High Energy Physics Forum", Talk title: "Search for Charged Lepton Flavour Violation at Mu2e" (Cosener's House, Abingdon, Oxford, November 23 – 24th, 2021).

2. Mu2e STM Collaboration meeting, Talk title: "MWD and gELBE data analysis" (17th June, 2022).

3. Mu2e STM Collaboration meeting, Talk title: "Zero Suppression Algorithm for STM" (25th August, 2022).

4. Mu2e STM Collaboration meeting, Talk title: "New HPGe Pulse Simulation" (27th October, 2022).

5. "STFC High Energy Physics Summer School", Lectures covering Quantum Field Theory, Quantum Electrodynamics and Quantum Chromodynamics, the Standard Model and non-collider phenomenological topics (neutrino, dark matter, cosmology), Poster title: "Mu2e experiment: STM detector data analysis" (Oxford Lady Margaret Hall, September 4 – 16th, 2022).

6. New Physics Signals (NePSi) Workshop, Talk Title: "Development of the data acquisition system for the Mu2e STM detector" (University of Pisa, Italy, February 15 – 17th, 2023, <a href="https://agenda.infn.it/event/32931/">https://agenda.infn.it/event/32931/</a>).

7. IOP Joint APP and HEPP Annual Conference, presented a poster (King's College London, London, UK, 3 – 5th April 2023, https://iop.eventsair.com/hepp2023).

8. Mu2e STM Collaboration meeting, Talk title: "Acceptance and Signal/Background Rates from MDC2020 Dataset" (10th May, 2024).



# Backup





### **Backgrounds and momentum selection**



- Muon decay in orbit (DIO)
- Radiative muon capture (RMC)
- Cosmic Rays
- Radiative pion capture (RPC)

- "S shape": removes neutral particles to enter the detector solenoid (unaffected by B and do not travel the S-shape)
- Particles with large momentum hit the wall of the solenoid and are not transmitted:

 $r = p_{\perp}/(0.3B)$ 

- μ<sup>-</sup> and μ<sup>+</sup> drift vertically in opposite directions. A central collimator covering half the aperture, blocks the μ<sup>+</sup> and transmits the μ<sup>-</sup>
- The second half of the S-shaped transport solenoid brings the beam back to the nominal axis and provides additional length for pions to decay, suppressing the RPC background



- X-rays are detected 35 m away from the target at the STM detector (reduces background).
- Need a detector with a good resolution:
- Better resolution for Ge detector (higher photon interaction cross section).



#### Pure **GEANT4** Simulation



- Captured muons = 60.9% of Stopped muons.
- Stop rate can be determined by measuring the X-rays:
  - 80% of stops emit 347 keV X-rays 2p-1s (1s orbit lifetime= 864 ns).
  - 31% of stops emit 1809 keV gammas.
  - 5.7% of stops emit 844 keV gammas.



# Mu2e

## STM Geometry and pulse analysis

#### X-rays







- X-rays reach the detector, the electrons ionise the material creating e-h pairs that drift in the detector creating the pulses that are then shaped.
- The signal is sent to the readout board and an ADC samples these values in 16-bit words.
- Energy of pulses is related to pulse heights.
- Calibration: 1 ADC = 0.57 keV.



## Moving Window Deconvolution (MWD) algorithm

Finding pulse heights : MWD algorithm .

- Signal.
- Deconvolution.
- Differentiation (M window).
- Averaging (L values).



Efficiency strongly affected by MWD parameters at high rates (~200 kHz)









## MWD reconstructed energy spectrum: ELBE Test-Beam and radioactive sources

Data:

#### **MWD** parameters:

#### **Reconstructed energy spectrums:**



MWD + STM simulation developed: Resolution and efficiency

High M values give a better algorithm resolution but worse efficiency at high rates.

MANCHESTER

1824

However difference in efficiency is more significant.

Resolution differences from M-value are small: O(0.1 keV) from an overall resolution of 1-2 keV arising from noise, ADC resolution, charge collection and finite energy resolution (# of eh pairs): so prefer low-M value.





Baseline noise is reduced after applying MWD, 0.11mV (~ 1.8 keV) Optimised MWD parameters : M=400, L=200 with ADC frequency = 300MHz.



- After accounting for prescales: Salt source data ~ 430 secs. Cosmic data ~220 secs.
- Calibrated data with:
  - <sup>40</sup>K peak.

MANCHESTER

1824

- 511 keV Annihilation peak.
- Last Thorium chain peak (<sup>208</sup>Pb decay).

Accounting for Ge binding energy (11.103 keV).









#### Calibration

Counts / (427.0787s)

140

120

100

80

60

40

20-

0



Calibration fit is sensitive to weighting of the 3 peaks. If increase <sup>40</sup>K weight so it has no residual then get 15 keV offsets in the other 2 lines.



E [keV] 32



Calibration weighted to <sup>40</sup>K



![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Cosmics + <sup>40</sup>K and Cosmics**

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Get # <sup>40</sup>K (source) after cosmic subtraction.

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **GEANT4** Prediction

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

~ 150g of K with 0.012% of  $^{40}$ K producing 503 (1.461 MeV) X-rays/sec.

Developed a GEANT4 simulation to account for attenuation in salt and HPGe and the acceptance of the detector.

Simulation based on 4 x 0.5M generated X-rays.

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **GEANT4** prediction for <sup>40</sup>K line

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

GEANT4 prediction (30 cm displacement).

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

• This algorithm is based on the calculation of the gradient of the signal over a window of ADC values:

gradient[i]=ADC[i+window]-ADC[i];

- Window = 100 ADC (~ 0.3 μs) : so in principle can distinguish peaks to rates well above the rates required (5 μs = 200 kHz)
- Gradient threshold = -100 ADC Counts.
- The trigger is then established in the first point where the gradient is below the threshold chosen and store t<sub>before</sub> [µs] of data before the trigger and t<sub>after</sub> [µs] of data after the trigger.

![](_page_36_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Backup : TS B field

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Mu2e Weighted average for run I

$\times 10^3$	
e sweighted by run time 8000 8000 6000 6000 6000	Weighted mean POT= 19315315.315315 +- 7011340.499569
لللل 3000 2000 1000 0	$ \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & \\$
10 1	Bun-I POT

Running mode	Mean proton pulse intensity	Running time (s)	N(POT)	N(stopped muons)
Low intensity	$1.6  imes 10^7$	$9.5 imes10^6$	$2.9\times10^{19}$	$4.6 imes10^{16}$
High intensity	$3.9  imes 10^7$	$1.6 imes10^6$	$9.0 imes10^{18}$	$1.4 imes 10^{16}$
Total		$11.1  imes 10^6$	$3.8\times10^{19}$	$6.0 imes10^{16}$