# Performance studies of RPC detectors operated with eco-friendly gas mixtures

# **EP-DT-FS** Gas Team

Authors : Giannandrea G., Mandelli B., Guida R., Rigoletti G.







EP-DT Detector Technologies

1

# **Resistive Plate Chamber Detectors (RPCs)**

Widely employed **gaseous detectors** at **CERN LHC** experiments as muon trigger for the excellent time-spatial resolution

CMS





ALICE







Responsible for an important amount of CERN's experiments GHG emissions due to leaks at detector level



EP-DT Detector Technologies 2

# **RPCs GHG emissions: why bother?**

CERN's greenhouse gas emissions (scope 1) are mostly related to the use of various fluorinated gases (F-gases) for particle detection and detector cooling in large LHC experiments. During Run 2 ~85% of GHG emission from particle detectors came from RPCs







EP-DT Detector Technologies **3** 

# **RPCs GHG emissions: how to reduce them?**

GHGs are used because of their outstanding properties for good detector operation.

CERN strategies to reduce GHG emissions in particle detection experiments:



# **Resistive Plate Chamber Detectors**



#### Main detector parameters

Efficiency, currents, cluster size, time resolution, streamers, charge





RPCs exploit **Townsend's** avalanche mechanism due to gas ionization





#### **Resistive Plate Chamber Detectors**



![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Standard Gas Mixture (STD)**

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Standard Gas Mixture (STD)**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Research lines**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nuclear

DI PAVIA

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **European Union Regulations**

Starting from 1st January 2015

- Limiting the total amount of the F-gases that can be sold in the EU from 2015 onwards and phasing them down in steps to one-fifth of 2014 sales in 2030.
- Banning the use of F-gases in many new types of equipment where less harmful alternatives are widely available.
- Require regular and certified check controls on leaks for existing equipments.
- Require a recovery of the gases at the end of the equipments life.

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Laboratory experimental Set Up

#### **Cosmic Muons**

- Gas Mixing Unit : up to 6 different gases
- **3 RPCs** : single gap 2 mm, read out strips 2 cm
- **DAQ** : CAEN digitizer 1730, resolution 0.122 mV/ADC, sampling 500 MS/s
- **Data Analysis**
- Gas Analysis : Gas Chromatograph and Mass Spectrometer

NFN

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Experimental Set Up Gamma Irradiation Facility (GIF ++)

SPS Muon Beam

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Performance studies under LHC-like conditions

- Gas System
- 3 RPCs of 2 mm single gap
- 2 Scintillators
- 12.5 TBq 137Cs provides gamma irradiation background
- Small replica of the background expected at HL-LHC
- PB filters allow different attenuation factors (ABS)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **Experimental Set Up - Gas system**

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Scheme of the Set up and Data Analysis

UNIVERSITÀ

DI PAVIA

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

EP-DT Detector Technologies <sup>14</sup>

### **Data Analysis**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Main detector parameters**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Avalanche signal : < 10^8 electrons (< 19 pC)
- Streamer signal : > 10^8 electrons (> 19 pC)
- **Cluster size** : the maximum number of adjacent strip hit by the same particle
- **Time resolution** : computed as the difference of the arrival time with respect to the external trigger

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Event** 

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Main detector parameters**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Efficiency : the probability of a particle to be detected when hitting the detector
- Streamer Probability : number of detected streamers/number of all detected particles
  - Maximum Efficiency
  - **Knee** of the efficiency curve : voltage value corresponding to 95% of the efficiency max
  - Working Point : knee + 150V
- Cluster Size and Time resolution evaluated at WP

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Fit efficiency curve

For each run about 10 voltage point are collected.

The **fit** of the **efficiency curve** is realized with the formula :

$$\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_{max}}{1 + e^{-\lambda(HV_{eff} - HV_{50})}}$$

- HVeff is the applied voltage
- HV50 is the voltage at 50% efficiency

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Investigation of inert gases

DI PAVIA

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

The aim : check if adding an inert gas could affect detector performance and until what concentration

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Investigation of inert gases

- **He** : could be a good alternative, but it can't be used at LHC because it's a problem for PMT in the cavern
- Ne : WP 900 V lower than STD WP, but it has a restricted availability and high market price
- **O2** : good results for WP and SP, but is a comburant. A slow drift trends for current was observed, it could be related to the high number of oxidation reactions when the detector operates at full efficiency

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Investigation of inert gases

- N2 : 10% concentration results in 35% of streamers at WP
- N2O : stable performance but WP 300 V higher than STD WP. Difficult to use in higher concentrations
- **CO2** : quencher gas, but it shows a different energy range of photon absorption when compared to i-C4H10 in the RPCs

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Tested alternatives to R-134a**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

Raise CO2 concentration lowering R-134a percentage

Lower Working Point Similar properties to STD gas mixture

Higher currents

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Tested alternative to SF6**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Different concentrations of

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Sulfur hexafluoride $(SF_6)$

NF

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

**NOVEC 4710** 

Highly electronegative

GWP : 2100

May react with water

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

EP-DT Detector Technologies 23

![](_page_22_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Test Beam Results and Analysis R-134a VS HFO

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

WP : Working Point SP : Streamer Probability

| Ī | Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022<br>WP: 9660, SP: 0.03, EffMax: 0.98       |  |
|---|---|--|
| Ť | 25% R134a, 69% CO2, 5% iC4H10, 1% SF6<br>WP: 8710, SP: 0.19, EffMax: 0.96 |  |
| ¥ | 25% HFO. 69% CO2. 5% iC4H10. 1% SF6<br>WP: 10100, SP: 0.23, EffMax: 0.96  |  |

HFO WP 400V higher than STD WP R134a WP 950V lower than STD WP

HFO SP 20% higher than STD SPR134a SP 16% higher than STD SP

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Test Beam Results and Analysis** R-134a VS HFO

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

DI PAVIA

- Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022
- 25% R134a, 69% CO2, 5% iC4H10, 1% SF6
- 25% HFO, 69% CO2, 5% iC4H10, 1% SF6

At ~500 Hz/cm2 :

HFO current is ~50% higher than **STD** current

R134a current is ~30% higher than STD current

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

R-134a VS HFO

UNIVERSITÀ

DI PAVIA

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022

25% R134a, 69% CO2, 5% iC4H10, 1% SF6

25% HFO, 69% CO2, 5% iC4H10, 1% SF6

HFO cluster size higher than STD one No good tricking properties at low rate

| Gas mixture                              | Time resolution |
|--|-----------------|
| Standard                                 | 2.05 ns         |
| $25\% \text{ R}134a + 69\% \text{ CO}_2$ | 1.80 ns         |
| 25% HFO + $69%$ CO <sub>2</sub>          | 1.86 ns         |

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

# CO2 as alternative to R134a

30% VS 40% Addition of CO2 + 1%SF6

Previous studies conducted by the gas group showed that an increased amount of SF6 up to 0.6% and 0.9% in the CO2 based gas mixture could help to suppress the streamer signals

Here the link of the studies : https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2023.168088

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

30% VS 40% Addition of CO2

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

 Standard Gas Mixture 20-10-2022 WP: 9650, SP: 0.0, EffMax: 0.99
 64.5% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1% SF6 WP: 9490, SP: 0.01, EffMax: 0.98
 54.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1% SF6 WP: 9300, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98

# At ~ 400 Hz/cm2 currents are ~ 15% higher than STD current

A reduced amount of CO2 reduces the currents

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

30% VS 40% Addition of CO2

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Test Beam Results and Analysis 30% VS 40% Addition of CO2

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

| Ŧ | Standard Gas Mixture<br>WP: 9650, SP: 0.0, EffMax: 0.99                       |
|---|---|
| ¥ | 54.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1% SF6<br>WP: 9300, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |
| ě | 54% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1.5% SF6<br>WP: 9490, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |
| Ŧ | 53.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 2% SF6<br>WP: 9670, SP: 0.01, EffMax: 0.98 |

Similar performances than **STD** 

# Increasing the SF6 concentrations the WP increase

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

| Ŧ | Standard Gas Mixture<br>WP: 9650, SP: 0.0, EffMax: 0.99                       |  |
|---|---|--|
| 4 | 54.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1% SF6<br>WP: 9300, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |  |
| • | 54% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1.5% SF6<br>WP: 9490, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |  |
| ÷ | 53.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 2% SF6<br>WP: 9670, SP: 0.01, EffMax: 0.98 |  |

#### At ~ 400 Hz/cm2 currents are :

- For 1.5% SF6 ~ 10% higher than 1% SF6 current
- For 2% SF6 ~ 15% higher than
   1% SF6 current

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

EP-DT Detector Technologies <sup>34</sup>

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Similar performances to the ones of the STD gas mixture

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

| ł | Standard Gas Mixture<br>WP: 9650, SP: 0.0, EffMax: 0.99                       |  |
|---|---|--|
| ¥ | 54.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1% SF6<br>WP: 9300, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |  |
| Ŧ | 54% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 1.5% SF6<br>WP: 9490, SP: 0.02, EffMax: 0.98 |  |
| Ŧ | 53.5% R134a, 40% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 2% SF6<br>WP: 9670, SP: 0.01, EffMax: 0.98 |  |

No significant variation in the charge distribution and streamer signal increasing the SF6 concentration more than 1%

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

Avalanche streamer separation : difference in voltage between the WP and the voltage at which the SP is 10%

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Different SF6 concentrations**

An **increased** amount of **SF6** could help **suppress the streamer signals** 

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Replacing SF6 with Novec 4710**

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

| Ŧ | Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022<br>WP: 9660, SP: 0.03, EffMax: 0.98                 |
|---|---|
| Ŧ | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% SF6<br>WP: 9330, SP: 0.06, EffMax: 0.98     |
| ļ | 65.3% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.2% NOVEC4710 WP: 9420, SP: 0.21, EffMax: 0.98  |
| ÷ | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% NOVEC4710 WP: 10230, SP: 0.17, EffMax: 0.99 |

#### 0.2% Novec WP 90V higher than 0.6% SF6 WP

# 0.6% Novec WP 900V higher than 0.6% SF6 WP

# The presence of **Novec increase** the **SP** than **0.6% SF6** SP

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Replacing SF6 with Novec 4710**

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

| Ŧ | Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022<br>WP: 9660, SP: 0.03, EffMax: 0.98                 |
|---|---|
| Ŧ | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% SF6<br>WP: 9330, SP: 0.06, EffMax: 0.98     |
| ļ | 65.3% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.2% NOVEC4710 WP: 9420, SP: 0.21, EffMax: 0.98  |
| ÷ | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% NOVEC4710 WP: 10230, SP: 0.17, EffMax: 0.99 |

#### At ~400 Hz/cm2 :

# 0.2% Novec similar behaviour than 0.6% SF6

0.6% Novec current is ~25% higher
than 0.6% SF6 current

![](_page_39_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Replacing SF6 with Novec 4710**

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

| Ŧ | Standard Gas Mixture 16-07-2022<br>WP: 9660, SP: 0.03, EffMax: 0.98                 |
|---|---|
| Ŧ | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% SF6<br>WP: 9330, SP: 0.06, EffMax: 0.98     |
|   | 65.3% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.2% NOVEC4710 WP: 9420, SP: 0.21, EffMax: 0.98  |
| ē | 64.9% R134a, 30% CO2, 4.5% iC4H10, 0.6% NOVEC4710 WP: 10230, SP: 0.17, EffMax: 0.99 |

Novec 4710 based gas mixtures show a higher cluster size for this particular RPC tested

| Gas mixture                                   | Time resolution |
|---|-----------------|
| Standard                                      | 2.01 ns         |
| $30\% \text{ CO}_2 + 0.6\% SF_6$              | 1.90 ns         |
| $30\% CO_2 + 0.2\% Novec4710$                 | 2.29 ns         |
| $30\% \text{ CO}_2 + 0.6\% \text{ Novec}4710$ | 2.14 ns         |

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- HFO + CO2 shows higher Working Point and Currents than R134a + CO2
- 0.2% Novec has good performances and similar to the STD ones
- The increase of Novec concentration leads to the increase of currents
- Novec 4710 may react with water, further investigations are needed

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- Lower CO2 concentration shows good performances, allowing to reduce the R134a amount
- More tests were performed with 30% CO2 + 1% SF6 gas mixture and it was selected from the Gas Team and ATLAS group for the aging tests
- Since last month **30% CO2 and 1% SF6 Gas Mixture** has started to be used in **ATLAS RPCs at LHC experiment**

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Thank you for your attention

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)