Short-lived Radioisotopes from Massive Stars and Type Ia Supernovae

Umberto Battino (Keele University, NuGrid Collaboration)

Selected Topics in Nuclear and Atomic Physics 2024

4-8 Oct 2024; Fiera di Primiero (TN)







What is my area of research? STELLAR LIFE CYCLE Supernova Remnant Massive Star (> 8Msun) **Red Supergiant** Type II Supernova Neutron Star Pulsar 1 AMen Molecular Cloud Binary White Dwarf Type la Supernova Protostars Nova Black Dwarf White Dwarf Low-mass Star (< 8Msun)**Red Giant** Planetary Nebula **Open Cluster** Brown Dwarf (< 0.08Msun) Death Birth Old Age **Main Sequence** Remnant

Wider relevance to the field I work in



Siegel et al. Nature 569, 241–244 (2019).

Galactic Chemical Evolution (GCE)



Nuclear impact/ sensitivity studies



—Introduction

• The meticulous examination of meteoric rocks reveals an intriguing aspect of our Solar System: <u>it was</u> rich in **radioactive nuclei** when it formed 4.6 billion years ago.

• Many of these nuclei were shortlived, becoming extinct within a few hundred million years of the Solar System's formation (Dauphas & Chaussidon 2011).

• By analyzing meteorites for the byproducts of their decay, we can deduce the original abundances of these short-lived radionuclides (SLRs), which have half-lives of less than 100 million years.



m-sized CAIs

Figure 1: Representative scanned slabs of CV and CK carbonaceous chondrites used to establish the CAI size distributions in Chaumard et al. (2014) and the present study. (a) Allende, (b) NWA 2900, and (c) TNZ 057. Scale bars are 1 cm. Numerous CAIs are visible as whitish inclusions, with several examples of cm-sized and mm-sized CAIs labeled with arrows. Dark mm-sized grains of pyroxene are visible within coarse-grained CAIs, whereas grains are indistinguishable in fine-grained CAIs.

-Intro

 The meticulo meteoric rocks r aspect of our S rich in radioact formed 4.6 billior

 Many of these lived, becoming hundred million
 System's forma
 Chaussidon 2011

• By analyzing byproducts of tl deduce the orig these short-liv (SLRs), which ha than 100 million y GO DOWNSTAIR AND SEE! (I mean, not right now...)

Dalle Meteoriti ai dinosauri... all'Uomo

Meteorite Carbonacea condritica Messico



Marks et al. 2011 Charnoz et al. 2015

SLRs and the Solar System's history



- SLRs serve as chronometers and fingerprints, shedding light on the **Solar System's history and birth environment** (Lugaro et al. 2018).
- Comparing SLR abundances in the early Solar System (ESS) to those predicted by galactic chemical evolution (hereafter GCE) allows us to calculate the "isolation time" elapsed from the formation of the molecular cloud where the Sun originated and the formation of the Sun itself.
- This isolation time is determined by the exponential decay of SLRs (Côté et al. 2019a,b), providing insights into the separation of molecular cloud material from the rest of the galactic interstellar medium influenced by stellar nucleosynthetic events.

SLRs and the Solar System's history



• SLRs serve as chronometers and fingerprints, shedding light on the **Solar System's history and birth environment** (Lugaro et al. 2018).

• Comparing SLR abundances in the early Solar System (ESS) to those predicted by galactic chemical evolution (hereafter GCE) allows us to calculate the "isolation time" elapsed from the formation of the molecular cloud where the Sun originated and the formation of the Sun itself.

• This isolation time is determined by the exponential decay of SLRs (Côté et al. 2019a,b), providing insights into the separation of molecular cloud material from the rest of the galactic interstellar medium influenced by stellar nucleosynthetic events.

SLRs and habitability

- The dominant process contributing to the very early melting of planetesimals was the decay of ²⁶Al.
- Melt even relatively small planetesimals (Lichtenberg+ 2016), modified the mineral content, melted ice to liquid water producing a variety of molecules (Monteux+ 2017).
- Key heat source in the early solarsystem and central role in the thermal evolution of young planetary bodies in the Solar System.



²⁶Al and Stellar Nucleosynthesis





Why ²⁶Al?

Subject of interest in both γ -ray astrophysics and cosmochemistry

• Live ²⁶Al was highly abundant during the early stages of the Solar System \rightarrow Its understanding is key to unveil birth environment of the Sun

 1809 keV line emission as a direct tracer of ongoing nucleosynthesis processes enriching the interstellar medium, especially from massive stars and related explosions.





Main production/destruction nuclear reaction







²⁵Mg(p,γ)²⁶Al

- ²⁶Al in CCSNe is usually produced between explosive Ne and C zones. In our models, this happens at temperatures 1.74 < T/GK < 2.60.
- We extended the rate from Laird+2023 beyond 0.7 GK including resonance information from Iliadis+ 2010 (same high T inputs used by JUNA)
- Difference at low T mainly due to the shifted resonance energy computed taking into account the difference in electron binding energies before and after the reaction (see Laird+2023).
- Very similar reaction rates at high T (of interest for ²⁶Al)
- New extended rate available in Battino+2024

²⁶Al(n,p/a)

²⁶Al(n,p/a)

Collective impact on explosive nucleosynthesis

- Abundances in mass fraction of key nuclear species as a function of the internal mass coordinate in the CCSN models exploding with 1.2 and 3×10⁵¹ erg.
- The gray shaded areas represent each explosive burning stage; the vertical dotted line identifies the location of the mass-cut.
- STANDARD: ²⁵Mg(p,γ)²⁶AI and ²⁶AI (p,γ)²⁷Si from Iliadis+2010, ²⁶AI (n,p)²⁶Mg and ²⁶AI(n,a)²³Na from Caughlan & Folwler 1988 and NACRE respectively
- LA-BA: ²⁵Mg(p,γ)²⁶AI and ²⁶AI (p,γ)²⁷Si from Laird+2023, ²⁶AI (n,p)²⁶Mg and ²⁶AI(n,a)²³Na from Battino+2023
- JU-LA-BA: Same as LA-BA, but ²⁵Mg(p,γ)²⁶Al from Zhang+2023 (JUNA)

	Species	STANDARD	JU-LA-BA	LA-BA
		$1.2 imes 10^{51} m erg$		
	²⁰ Ne	5.60×10^{-1}	5.60×10^{-1}	5.60×10^{-1}
	²³ Na	1.07×10^{-2}	1.07×10^{-2}	1.07×10^{-2}
	²⁴ Mg	9.32×10^{-2}	9.32×10^{-2}	9.33×10^{-2}
	²⁵ Mg	1.66×10^{-2}	1.66×10^{-2}	1.66×10^{-2}
	²⁶ Mg	1.65×10^{-2}	1.65×10^{-2}	1.65×10^{-2}
	²⁶ Al	7.02×10^{-5}	2.75×10^{-5}	2.65×10^{-5}
mpact of a factor etween 2 and 3 epending on the	²⁷ Al	1.14×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.14×10^{-2}
	²⁸ Si	2.13×10^{-2}	$2.14 imes 10^{-2}$	2.13×10^{-2}
	²⁹ Si	3.17×10^{-3}	$3.17 imes 10^{-3}$	3.18×10^{-3}
	³⁰ Si	1.96×10^{-3}	1.94×10^{-3}	1.95×10^{-3}
xplosion energy	⁶⁰ Fe	1.19×10^{-5}	$1.19 imes 10^{-5}$	1.17×10^{-5}
		$3 imes 10^{51} \ \mathrm{erg}$		
Consistent with he impact of new ⁶ Al+n found by Battino+2023)	²⁰ Ne	$4.79 imes 10^{-1}$	$4.79 imes10^{-1}$	$4.79 imes10^{-1}$
	²³ Na	8.80×10^{-3}	8.79×10^{-3}	8.79×10^{-3}
	²⁴ Mg	$9.81 imes 10^{-2}$	9.78×10^{-2}	9.82×10^{-2}
	²⁵ Mg	1.44×10^{-2}	1.44×10^{-2}	1.44×10^{-2}
	²⁶ Mg	1.44×10^{-2}	1.44×10^{-2}	1.44×10^{-2}
	²⁶ Al	9.68×10^{-5}	3.36×10^{-5}	3.26×10^{-5}
	²⁷ Al	1.20×10^{-2}	$1.19 imes 10^{-2}$	1.20×10^{-2}
	²⁸ Si	2.82×10^{-1}	2.82×10^{-1}	2.82×10^{-1}
	²⁹ Si	4.99×10^{-3}	5.03×10^{-3}	5.03×10^{-3}
	³⁰ Si	6.94×10^{-3}	6.88×10^{-3}	6.89×10^{-3}
	⁶⁰ Fe	1.14×10^{-5}	$1.14 imes 10^{-5}$	1.12×10^{-5}

SRLs comparison to ESS

Only 5 out of the 14 SLRs considered here are consistent with their observed ESS values.

Two potential solutions:

1) A different astrophysical scenario able to perform better against observations;

2) An additional event producing more ²⁶Al and less of the overproduced SLRs (such as ⁶⁰Fe) that happened close in time and space to a CCSN.

Type Ia Supernova and habitability

- 2/3 of the iron content in the Milky Way was produced by the explosion of white dwarfs in binary systems as type la supernovae.
- Iron is a crucial ingredient for planetary magnetic-field generation, the formation of proteins and enzyme systems (Wade et al. 2021) → central role played in the origin of the Solar System and in the emergence of life as we know it on Earth.
- Additionally, due to their characteristic lightcurves, SNe Ia are standardizable candles for cosmic-distance measurements → evidence for the accelerated expansion of the Universe (Nobel Prize Physics, 2011)

NASA/ESA, The Hubble Key Project Team The High-Z Supernova Search Team

SNe la progenitors

Near-Chandrasekhar mass events

<u>H-accretor</u> \rightarrow But only ~6% of SN Ia from there (see e.g. Johansson et al. (2016))

Slow WD merger → Accretion disk formation

- → Final outcome depends on accretion rate and WD mass ratio (see e.g. Piersanti+2003)
- Violent merger → Prompt detonation during the merger process (if mass ratio q > ~0.8; see Pakmor et al. 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013)

Sub-Chandrasekhar mass events

 $\frac{\text{He-accretor}}{(\text{see Fink+2010; Magee+2021})}$

Slow WD merger

Trans-Fe element nucleosynthesis

²⁸Si and ⁴⁴Ti nucleosynthesis

- Due to its half-life of 59 years, ⁴⁴Ti decay radiation has the capability to sustain late-time supernova light curves and can be observed in young supernova remnants.
- Produced during the explosion by alphacapture chains starting from ¹²C/¹⁶O/²⁰Ne
- The detection of ⁴⁴Ti can uniquely constrain the nature of SNe Ia progenitors (Kosakowski et al. 2023)
- E.g., by comparing the predictions of stellar models relying on up-to-date (nuclear) physics inputs to observations of young supernova remnants by satellite-based gamma spectrometers, such as the Compton Spectrometer and Imager (COSI, launch scheduled for 2027).

SURFACE ²⁸SI ENHANCEMENT MUST BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT WHEN SETTING INITIAL ABUNDANCES TO SIMULATE NEAR-CHANDRASEKHAR EXPLOSIONS!

(Here enriched by 20 to 30 times compared to solar)

Summary and future perspectives

- We computed the evolution of a high-mass star (20 Msun, Z=0.01345) and the nucleosynthetic yields ejected by its explosion at 1.2 and 3×10⁵¹ erg. We included all the updated rates of the relevant nuclear reactions for ²⁶Al nucleosynthesis, i.e. ²⁶Al(n, p)²⁶Mg and ²⁶Al(n, α)²³Na, ²⁶Al(p, γ)²⁷Si and ²⁵Mg(p, γ) ²⁶Al.
- We noticed a substantial decrease in the ejected amount of ²⁶Al, <u>between a factor of two and three</u> (depending on the explosion energy) when the newest rates are adopted → Consistent with the impacts of the new nTOF ²⁶Al+n reaction rates found by Battino+2023.
- Only 5 out of the 14 SLRs considered here are consistent with their observed ESS values, but different progenitors need to be explored... rotating WR stars? (Rotationally enhanced mass-loss → Less ¹H and ¹⁴N to form ²²Ne → Possibly less ⁶⁰Fe and ¹³⁵Cs?) → How critical was the progenitor nature for life on Earth?
- Relevant uncertainties still affect ²⁶Al production, both stellar and nuclear (e.g. the ²²Ne+alpha rates adopted)
- Full results in **Battino et al. 2023** (MNRAS **520**,2436–2444) and **Battino et al. 2024** (Universe 2024, 10, 204.)

Summary and future perspectives

- Large production of trans-Fe elements on slow white dwarf mergers → First, preliminary, results in Battino et al. 2022 (NIC-XVI proceedings)
- What impact on GCE? A new observable 'smoking gun' of a Chandrasekhar explosion?
- Great deep and all-sky surveys are about to start!! (LSST, 4MOST-TiDES etc...)
- Surface C-burning also leeds to high production (20 to 30 times compare to the initial solar abundances) of ²⁸Si → Possible relevant impact of ⁴⁴Ti production

Grazie!

Thank you!!

New rates available on ChANUREPS

(http://chanureps.chetec-infra.eu/)

²⁶Al_g(n,p)²⁶Mg

Battino et al. 2023

This ²⁶Al(n,p)²⁶Mg nuclear reaction rate has been obtained by combining experimental results and theoretical predictions of the respective ground state reaction cross-sections. Its evaluation is primarily based on the recent high-precision measurement at the nTOF-CERN facility and is supplemented by theoretical calculations and a previous experiment (Trautvetter et al. 1986) at higher neutron energies.

Link to the paper

Massive star trajectory available on OrCHESTRA

excellence only. In addition, dedicated work packages (WP) improve the usability and

accessibility of the several types of infrastructures

Uploaded on May 25, 2023

4 more version(s) exist for this record

Impact of ²²Ne+alpha rates

Wiescher et al.; 2023

Explosive CCSN nucleosynthesis

~Factor of two impact on ²⁶Al abundance
 → Impact on ⁶⁰Fe/²⁶Al

(to be compared with INTEGRAL data!)