Development of Phenomenological Gravitational Wave Signals from Rotating Core-Collapse Supernovae in a Multi-Messenger Environment

Alessandro Favali, Sapienza University of Rome

17/09/2024

Scientific case

- Core-Collapse Supernova (CCSN) features:
	- \triangleright Type II SNe exceeding the Chandrasekhar limit (1.4 M_{\odot}) of their iron core
	- $▶$ Massive stars ($M \sim 8 100$ M_{\odot}) with a rate of $\sim 1-3$ per century
	- \triangleright Very weak GW signal of ~ $10^{-21} 10^{-23}$
- Multi-messenger sources producing both neutrinos and gravitational waves (along with an electromagnetic counterpart, as in the SN 1987A)
- CCSN waveforms difficult to construct:
	- \triangleright Stochastic nature of the collapse due to nonradial instabilities
	- \triangleright Lack of templates implying a detection efficiency of 50% at 10 kpc
	- \triangleright Exclusion of modes different from the dominant and limited set of simulations used

Neutrino-driven explosions

- Amount of energy released in GWs ($E_{\rm GW} \sim$ 10^{47} erg) not sufficient to explain these explosions
- Neutrinos are good candidates to drive the supernova explosions:
	- $▶$ Cover the energies involved ($E_v \sim 10^{53}$ erg)
	- \triangleright Hundreds of milliseconds after shock stagnation core contraction and heating causes the release of more powerful neutrinos
	- $▶$ Low-mass progenitors ($M \sim 8 10$ M_{\odot} with O-Ne-Mg or iron cores) well explained by the neutrino-driven mechanism
	- \triangleright Massive iron-core progenitors ($M > 10$ M_o) might not be suited to this mechanism

Evolution phases of collapsing stars

1. Collapse of stellar core:

 $e^- + p \rightarrow v_e + n$ $e^- + (A, Z) \rightarrow v_e + (A, Z - 1)$

- 2. Core bounce and shock formation:
	- Stop of the implosion at nuclear density $\rho_0 \approx$ 2.7×10^{14} g/cm³. Inner-core incompressibility $(M \sim 0.5 \, \text{M}_\odot)$ creates a shock front
- 3. Shock stagnation and electron neutrino emission
- 4. Neutrino heating and accretion:
	- Reabsorption of v_e and \bar{v}_e by free neutrons and protons leads to convectively unstable layers (SASI, Rayleigh-Taylor plumes, …)
- 5. Shock front revival and nucleosynthesis:
	- ➢ Non-radial instabilities favor neutrino heating with radioactive nuclei production (e.g. ⁵⁶Ni)
- 6. Explosion and compact remnant with neutrino wind

Rotating and non-rotating CCSNe

Waveforms from non-rotating progenitors

- The waveforms are generated with the *ccphen* code written by Prof. Pablo Cerdá-Durán
- Fundamental equation for time derivatives of the quadrupole moment I'_{lm} ($l = 2$) integrated during numerical analysis:

$$
\ddot{I}'_{lm} + \frac{\omega_{0,l}(t)}{Q_l}\dot{I}'_{lm} + \omega_{0,l}^2(t)I'_{lm} = W_{lm}(t)
$$

- Considered cases:
	- ➢ *Standard neutrino-driven supernovae with SASI*: suited to the most common cases ($M >$ 10 M_O); minimum GW emission set to 0.4 s
	- ➢ *Short neutrino-driven supernovae*: adapted to low-mass progenitors ($M < 10$ M_{\odot}); duration limited to $0.1 - 0.4$ s without SASI (takes longer time to develop)

Waveforms from rotating models

- Two reference systems: co-rotating and laboratory frames
- Assuming constant rotation rate Ω
- Quadrupole moment in the laboratory frame:

$$
\ddot{I}_{lm}=(\ddot{I}^{\rm co}_{lm}-2{\rm i}m\Omega\dot{I}^{\rm co}_{lm}-m^2\Omega^2I^{\rm co}_{lm})e^{-{\rm i}m\Omega t}
$$

• If $I_{lm}^{\rm co} = A e^{{\rm i} \sigma t}$, with A being a constant and σ the GW angular frequency, we have the so-called rotational splitting:

$$
\ddot{I}_{lm} = (-\sigma^2 + 2m\Omega\sigma - m^2\Omega^2)Ae^{i(\sigma - m\Omega)t}
$$

Waveforms from rotating models with deformations

- GW signal $(l = 2, m)$ axisymmetric perturbation from rotation-induced deformations of oscillation modes (l', m')
- Spherical PNS deformed to an oblate ellipsoid: displacement vector $\vec{X} = X^r(r) \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ decomposed in spherical harmonics, $X^r = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l^r(r) Y_{l0}(\theta, \phi)$
- Reference frame adapted to the star (r',θ',ϕ') , so to have isodensity surfaces at constant r'
- Variation of the quadrupole moment from dominant mode contribution $(l' = 2)$:

Conclusions and future perspectives

- Core-Collapse Supernovae (CCSNe) are type II supernovae with masses $M \sim 8 1$ 100 M_{\odot} that have reached the Chandrasekhar limit of 1.4 M $_{\odot}$ of their iron core
- The most prominent scenario is the revival of the stalling shock by neutrino heating, the so-called *neutrino-driven mechanism*: low-mass stars back up this mechanism, but massive progenitors couldn't be suited to this description
- Rotating CCSNe have a different gravitational wave (GW) emission as compared to nonrotating models: rotational splitting according to the value of the angular frequency Ω
- Proto-neutron star spherical shape alteration to an ellipsoidal one induced by rotation: the GW signal is amplified in terms of the ellipticity
- As a future outlook, the parameters controlling the GW emission in presence of rotationinduced perturbations could be related to the angular velocity
- Improvements of 3D simulations could sustain the neutrino-driven mechanism also for massive progenitors

References

- [1] P. Cerdá-Durán et al. "Phenomenological gravitational waveforms for core collapse supernovae". Draft to be presumably published in 2024.
- [2] K. Kotake and T. Kuroda. "Gravitational Waves from Core-Collapse Supernovae". In: *Handbook of Supernovae*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [3] H.-Th. Janka. "Neutrino-driven Explosions". In: *Handbook of Supernovae*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [4] A. Torres-Forné et al. "Towards asteroseismology of core-collapse supernovae with gravitational wave observations – II. Inclusion of space–time perturbations". In: *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 482 (2018).