# <span id="page-0-0"></span>PROBING ULTRA-DENSE MATTER WITH gravitational waves

Micaela Oertel

micaela.oertel@astro.unistra.fr

[O](https://astro.unistra.fr)bservatoire astronomique de Strasbourg CNRS / Université de Strasbourg

TEONGRAV workshop, Sapienza University, Rome, September 16-20, 2024

Based on work with many collaborators, special thanks to P. Char, A. Fantina, C. Mondal, F. Gulminelli, J. Novak, A.R. Raduta, L. Suleiman

## Strongly interacting matter



Neutron star matter is strongly interacting matter under extreme conditions not accessible in terrestrial laboratories (density, asymmetry) and non-perturbative many-body problem from the theory side Observatoire astronomique

4日 8

de Strasbourg | ObAS

## MATTER COMPOSITION AND EQUATION OF STATE

CCSN, binary mergers and neutron stars

 $\rightarrow$  Domains in density, temperature and asymetry :



#### Different regimes (strongly interacting !) :

- Very low densities and temperatures :
	- $\triangleright$  dilute gas of non-interacting nuclei  $\rightarrow$  nuclear statistical equilibrium (NSE)
- Intermediate densities and low temperatures :
	- ► gas (crystal) of interacting nuclei surrounded by free nucleons  $\rightarrow$  beyond NSE
- High densities and temperatures :
	- $\blacktriangleright$  nuclei dissolve
		- $\rightarrow$  strongly interacting (homogeneous) hadronic matter
	- $\triangleright$  potentially transition to a quark gluon plasma
- Matter not necessarily in chemical equilibrium (nuclei/neutrinos) observatoire astronomique

イロト イ押 トイヨト イヨ

de Strasbourg | ObAS

# EQUATION OF STATE

Different philosophy

#### MICROSCOPIC MODELLING

- Phenomenological or ab intio modelling
- **.** Direct connection with nuclear physics information
- Predicts EoS and composition, access to reaction rates
- Many sources of (systematic) uncertainties
- Meta-modelling approaches allow for larger flexibility/incorporation of constraints

#### (NON-)PARAMETRIC EOS

- Piecwise polytropes, sound speed representation, Gaussian processes etc
- **•** Large flexibility, fast evaluation and easy to handle
- No ad hoc assumptions on any underlying physics
- No access to composition
- No relation to non-equilibrium processes



# Composition at high densities/temperatures

Hadronic degrees of freedom

- Example : Hyperons can appear if the chemical potential is high enough to make conversion  $N \to Y$ energetically favorable
- At onset density : smooth transition or first order phase transition
- **•** Enhanced production at finite temperature in merger remnant/CCSN
- There can be others :  $\Delta$ -resonances, remnant/CCSN  $\overline{O_0^{\text{th}}}$ <br>There can be others :  $\Delta$ -resonances, pion/kaon condensates



[MO+2016]

4 伺 ▶

4 0 8



## Composition at high densities/temperatures Quark matter

- Hadron-quark phase transition possible in the NS core/PNS/merger remnant
- Possibly additional superconducting phase transitions in quark matter core
- Possible quarkyonic phase
- New degrees of freedom  $\rightarrow$  impact on EoS
- Cold matter in  $\beta$ -equilibrium : phase transition  $\rightarrow$  jump in (energy) density







# Constraints from nuclear physics

**EXPERIMENTS** 

• Symmetric nuclear matter (i.e.  $n_n = n_p$ ) : minimum at saturation density  $\rightarrow$ Taylor expansion

$$
E(n_B, \beta) = E_b + \frac{1}{18}Kx^2 + \frac{1}{162}K'x^3
$$

$$
+\beta^2(J + \frac{1}{3}Lx + \frac{1}{18}K_{sym}x^2)
$$



Coefficients extracted from nuclear experiments



(Lattimer & Lim 2013, MO+ 2017, . . .)

- Nuclear masses (binding energies) for many nuclei close to stability
- Data from heavy ion collisions (flow constraint, meson production, . . .)
- Data on nucleon-nucleon interaction fixing startpoint of many-body calculations

**← ロ ▶ → イ 何 →** 

 $QQ$ 

## CONSTRAINTS FROM NUCLEAR PHYSICS **THEORY**

- Low density neutron matter : different ab initio approaches (Monte-Carlo simulations, EFT approaches) the different results agree fairly well
- Uncertainty quantification within  $\chi$ EFT approaches [Hebeler+2010, Drischler+2016,Huth+2021,. . .]







[Koehn+2024]

- Symmetric nuclear matter : difficult for ab initio calculations and large uncertainties
- A few ab initio calculations at finite temperature
- Perturbative QCD at ultra-high densities

 $\leftarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$   $\equiv$   $\rightarrow$ 

[Komoltsev+2021]



# On the astrophysical side

PULSAR OBSERVATIONS

- Observed masses in binary systems (NS-NS, NS-WD,  $X$ -ray binaries)
	- $\triangleright$  Most precise ones from NS-NS binaries
	- $\triangleright$  Massive ones  $\rightarrow$  constraints on core composition/EoS
- Prospects for asteroseismology, moment of interia, rotation frequencies, cooling,



[ComPOSE[, courtesy L. Suleiman\]](https://compose.onspm.fr/resources)



. . .

- Radius estimates from  $x$ -ray observations
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Radii from different types of objects, consensus on radius of a fiducial  $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$  star 10-15 km
	- $\triangleright$  NICER results gave for the first time mass and radius of the same star

イロト イ押ト イヨト イ



<sup>[</sup>Miller+ 2021]

# On the astrophysical side

GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

- GW170817 : first detection of a NS-NS merger with LIGO/Virgo detectors
- **o** Information from different phases
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Inspiral  $\rightarrow$  masses of objects
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Late inspiral  $\rightarrow$  tidal deformability  $\Lambda$



[Metzger 2019]

- Post merger GW emission not yet detected but in reach for 3rd generation detectors
- $\blacktriangleright$  Electromagnetic counterpart with information about ejecta properties, kilonova, . . .
- What can we learn from future observations?



## POST-MERGER PHASE

THERMAL AND OUT OF EQUILIBIUM EFFECTS?

- Thermal effects in the EoS dominated by effective mass [Constantinou+2014,2015,Raduta+2024]
- Impact of effective mass on PNS [Schneider+2019,2020,Yasin+2020] and merger remnant

[Fields+2023,Miravet-Tenes+2023]

• In BNS remnant potentially detectable with 3rd generation detectors [Raithel+2024]



[Raduta+2024]





- Shift in peak frequency due to different treatment of weak reactions [Hammond+2023]
- $\bullet$  Impact of muons  $[Gieg+2024, Loffredo+2022]$  and pions on the dynamics [Vijayan+2023,Pajkos+2024]

**← ロ ▶ → イ 何 →** 



## POST-MERGER PHASE

CAN WE DETECT A PHASE TRANSITION?

- **Q** Onset with smooth transition
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Reduced thermal pressure in presence of additional degrees of freedom  $\rightarrow$  shift in postmerger frequencies [Blacker+2023]
- First order phase transition
	- $\triangleright$  Very strong phase transition with no stable hybrid NS [Most+2018, Ecker+2019, ...]
		- $\rightarrow$  almost immediate collapse to BH at onset of phase transition
		- $\rightarrow$  almost no identifiable signal



- $\triangleright$  Strong phase transition with stable hybrid NS and considerable quark core in merger remnant [Bauswein+2019,Most+2019,Weih+2020]
	- $\rightarrow$  Oscillations frequencies show imprint
	- $\rightarrow$  Clear signal of phase transition
- $\triangleright$  Smooth transition leads to softening of EoS, potentially distinguishable
- $\blacktriangleright$  Presence of hyperons impact thermal effects and peak frequency [Blaskenvired] astronomique

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

de Strasbourg | ObAS

### Nuclear meta-modelling appoach

#### Idea :

use a flexible parameterisation which allows to incorporate nuclear and astrophysics information

- Original version based on Taylor expansion of symmetric NM Margueron+2018] varying NMPs
- Consistent crust-core matching DinhThi+2021,Davis+2024]
- Filters from  $\chi$ EFT, nuclear masses,  $M_{max}$ , GW170817
- **Many versions developed, similar predictions** [Chatterjee+,Beznogov&

Raduta,Char+2023,Scurto+2024,Somasundaram+ . . .]





[Char+2023]

◂<del>◻</del>▸ ◂<del>⁄</del>⊓ ▸



# NS EoS from inspiral phase ?

• Matter not considerably heated up before merger

 $\rightarrow$  NS radius and cold  $\beta$ -equilibrated EoS

- Meta-modelling approach to nuclear matter  $+$  simulated events with  $FT$
- NS EoS can be determined very precisely with 3rd generation detectors
- Combined MM analysis frameworks constructed [Breschi+2024,Pang+2022]



[Iacovelli+2023]

B

- CUTER tool for crust reconstruction available : <https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.10781538>
- Uncertainties from waveform modelling and degeneracies with modified gravity/BSM Observatoire astronomique

de Strasbourg | ObAS

# NS EoS from inspiral phase ?

• Matter not considerably heated up before merger

 $\rightarrow$  NS radius and cold  $\beta$ -equilibrated EoS

- NS EoS can be determined very precisely with 3rd generation detectors
- Unique vs unified crust uncertainties of same order [Gamba+202,Davis+2024]
- Combined MM analysis frameworks constructed [Breschi+2024,Pang+2022]
	- CUTER tool for crust reconstruction available : <https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.10781538>
	- Uncertainties from waveform modelling and degeneracies with modified gravity/BSM



[Davis+2024]

Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg | ObAS

# Nuclear properties and matter composition ?

• But : no information a priori about composition in absence of a phase transition

[Mondal& Gulminelli 2021, Iacovelli+2023, Imam+2023]

Reason is that only  $\beta$ -equilibrated EoS determined Additional information on symmetric matter needed

Can we detect a phase transition with 3rd generation detectors ? Depends on onset density, masses, distance, ...

[Sieniawska+2018, Tews+2018, Montana+2018, Han+2018, Christian+2018. . .]



[Iacovelli+2023]



# DETECTABILITY OF A PT DURING BNS INSPIRAL

SETUP OF THE STUDY

- Metamodel approach to nuclear matter (function of NMPs+ consistent CLDM crust) [Dinh Thi+2021] and quark matter (constant sound speed) [Mondal+2023]
- Injected EoS chosen within the ranges covered
- Three possible PT onset densities  $\blacksquare$
- Simulate observations with 3rd generation detector network ( $ET + 2CE$ )
	- $\triangleright$  Detector response estimated using Fisher matrix formalism within GWBENCH [Borhanian2021]
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Fixing spins and inclination, varying distance and two component masses
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $\tilde{\Lambda}$  computed from injected EoS and  $m_i$





# DETECTABILITY DURING BNS INSPIRAL

Bayesian analysis with one loud event

- $\bullet$  450 simulated events (distance, component masses, injected EoS)
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Mass ratio has little effect
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Higher chirp mass can make it easier to distinguish
	- $\blacktriangleright$  The smaller the distance the easier
	- $\triangleright$  A high-density PT difficult to distinguish



- Possible to identify a strong PT with an early (low density) onset, high density onset masked [see also Tan+2022,Mroczek+2023]
- Analysis with cumulation of events to be done



# Summary and outlook

#### COLD AND  $\beta$ -EQUILIBRATED **MATTER**

- Advanced and 3rd generation GW decetors together with other observational projects underway or planned (NICER, SKA and precursors, . . .) will pin down precisely the NS EoS
- Low density PT probably identifiable, but  $\beta$ -equilbrated EoS alone not sufficient to pin down composition and nuclear model



[European project for a ground-based 3rd generation GW detector]



# <span id="page-19-0"></span>Summary and outlook

#### (Hot) matter with different compositions

- GW from BNS post-merger phase in reach for 3rd generation detectors
- PNS oscillations from next galactic supernova combined with neutrinos (Super/Hyper-Kamiokande, . . .)
- Nuclear physics experiments (HIC, . . .) for more symmetric matter

 $\rightarrow$  need to combine all this information to understand the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter

