

INVISIBLES

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs

Miguel Vanvlasselaer miguel.vanvlasselaer@vub.be

VUB, IIHE and COST Action







Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

Universe high-T after inflation:cooling of primordial soup



• $QFT = Iandscape of minima \Rightarrow PT$





- $QFT = Iandscape of minima \Rightarrow PT$
- Potential $V(T, \phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(T, \phi)$





- $QFT = Iandscape of minima \Rightarrow PT$
- Potential $V(T, \phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(T, \phi)$
- High T: $V \supset \phi^2 T^2 \Rightarrow$ sym restoration





- $\bullet \ \mathsf{QFT} = \mathsf{landscape} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{minima} \Rightarrow \mathsf{PT}$
- Potential $V(T, \phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(T, \phi)$
- High T: $V \supset \phi^2 T^2 \implies$ sym restoration
- FOPT feature a barrier between two vacua and cooling $(T_{
 m nuc} < T_{
 m cr})$





- $QFT = Iandscape of minima \Rightarrow PT$
- Potential $V(T, \phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(T, \phi)$
- High T: $V \supset \phi^2 T^2 \Rightarrow$ sym restoration
- FOPT feature a barrier between two vacua and cooling $(T_{\rm nuc} < T_{\rm cr})$
- Nucleation controlled by bounce solution

$$\Gamma \sim T^4 Exp \left[-\frac{S_3}{T} \right]$$



• Energy released $\Delta V \Rightarrow$ Driving energy:

$$E_{\rm driving} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi\Delta V R^3 \ \mathsf{VS} \ \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\rm tension} = 4\pi\sigma R^2$$

Expansion when $R_{\rm initial} > R_c \sim \sigma / \Delta V$



• Energy released $\Delta V \Rightarrow$ Driving energy:

$$E_{\rm driving} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi\Delta V R^3 \ VS \ \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\rm tension} = 4\pi\sigma R^2$$

Expansion when $R_{\rm initial} > R_c \sim \sigma / \Delta V$

• Transition starts approximately when one bubble per Hubble volume, $T=T_{
m nuc}$



• Energy released $\Delta V \Rightarrow$ Driving energy:

$$E_{\rm driving} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi\Delta V R^3 \ VS \ \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\rm tension} = 4\pi\sigma R^2$$

Expansion when $R_{\rm initial} > R_c \sim \sigma / \Delta V$

- Transition starts approximately when one bubble per Hubble volume, $T=T_{
 m nuc}$
- Strength of the FOPT

$$\alpha_N \equiv \frac{\Delta V}{\rho_r(T_{\rm nuc})} \propto 1/T_{\rm nuc}^4$$



• Energy released $\Delta V \Rightarrow$ Driving energy:

$$E_{\rm driving} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi\Delta V R^3 \ VS \ \Delta \mathcal{P}_{\rm tension} = 4\pi\sigma R^2$$

Expansion when $R_{\rm initial} > R_c \sim \sigma / \Delta V$

- Transition starts approximately when one bubble per Hubble volume, $T=T_{
 m nuc}$
- Strength of the FOPT

$$\alpha_N \equiv \frac{\Delta V}{\rho_r(T_{\rm nuc})} \propto 1/T_{\rm nuc}^4$$

• Duration of the FOPT

$$\beta \equiv \frac{t_{\rm exp}}{t_{PT}} = \frac{1}{t_{PT}H} \propto R_{\rm collision}^{-1}$$



FOPT pictorially

Cutting, Hindmarsh and Weir: [1906.00480]: video in

https://vimeo.com/showcase/5968055



The bubble wall in time



FOPT and Gravitational waves

Bubbles can produce a stochastic GW background from

FOPT and Gravitational waves

Bubbles can produce a stochastic GW background from



Primordial GWs could be observed soon: Frequency \Rightarrow information about $T_{\rm reh}$: $f_{\rm peak} \propto T_{\rm reh}$

Observation prospects of GW



Using Bulk flow model: Konstandin, Jinno, Takimoto, ...

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs

But foregrounds ...



$$\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto v_w \left(\kappa_f \frac{\alpha_N}{1+\alpha_N}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\beta^2}$$

Need strong, long PT with fast walls

 \Rightarrow

Where does the energy go ? [1004.4187]: Espinosa, No, Konstandin, Servant, [2406.01596]: Barni, Blasi, MV



Figure: [2406.01596]:Barni,Blasi,MV

[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

 v_w and α_N

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

 v_w and α_N

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



• $\Delta \mathcal{P} \propto T_{
m nuc}^2, T_{
m nuc}^3$

$$\alpha_N \propto \frac{\Delta V}{T_{\rm nuc}^4} \quad \uparrow \quad v_w \quad \uparrow$$

[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

 v_w and α_N

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



• $\Delta \mathcal{P} \propto T_{
m nuc}^2, T_{
m nuc}^3$

$$\alpha_N \propto \frac{\Delta V}{T_{\rm nuc}^4} \quad \uparrow \quad v_w \quad \uparrow$$

• Two regimes: runaway and terminal velocity.

$${\rm Runaway}: \gamma_w \propto R/R_0 \quad \Rightarrow \gamma_w^{\rm collision} \propto M_{\rm pl}/\beta v$$

[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

v_w and α_N

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



• $\Delta \mathcal{P} \propto T_{
m nuc}^2, T_{
m nuc}^3$

$$\alpha_N \uparrow v_w \uparrow$$

• Two regimes: runaway and terminal velocity.

Runaway :
$$\gamma_w \propto R/R_0 \implies \gamma_w^{\text{collision}} \propto M_{\text{pl}}/\beta v$$

eta and $lpha_N$

• In CW models: [2212.08085]:Levi, Opferkuch, Redigolo



[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

v_w and α_N

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



• $\Delta \mathcal{P} \propto T_{
m nuc}^2, T_{
m nuc}^3$

$$\alpha_N \uparrow v_w \uparrow$$

• Two regimes: runaway and terminal velocity.

Runaway :
$$\gamma_w \propto R/R_0 \implies \gamma_w^{\text{collision}} \propto M_{\text{pl}}/\beta v$$

β and α_N

• In CW models: [2212.08085]:Levi, Opferkuch, Redigolo



• In singlet catalised FO EWPT: same trend (example [2207.02230])

[2207.02230]: Azatov, Barni, Chakraborty, MV, Yin

 β and α_N

• In CW models: [2212.08085]:Levi, Opferkuch, Redigolo



• In singlet catalised FO EWPT: same trend (example [2207.02230])

For large GW signal \Rightarrow cooling or supercooling

 v_w and $lpha_N$

• v_w : ΔV against $\Delta \mathcal{P}$



• $\Delta \mathcal{P} \propto T_{
m nuc}^2, T_{
m nuc}^3$

$$\alpha_N \uparrow v_w \uparrow$$

FOPT and Baryogenesis

Bubbles can create baryon anti-baryon asymmetry

FOPT and Baryogenesis

Bubbles can create baryon anti-baryon asymmetry



Figure: Credit:T.Konstandin [1302.6713]



Traditional EWBG: $v_w \lesssim c_s$



Yin, Azatov, MV 21': $\gamma_w \equiv 1/\sqrt{1-v_w^2} \gtrsim M_B^2/vT_{
m nuc}$ Baldes, Blasi, Mariotti, Sevrin, Turbang 21' $\gamma_w \gtrsim M_\Delta^2/vT_{
m nuc}$



Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV, 23': $\gamma_w\gtrsim M_{
m RHN}/T_{
m nuc}$

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



•
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} y_{I} \Phi \bar{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \sum_{\alpha, I} Y_{D,\alpha I} H \bar{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} + h.c. + V(\Phi)$$



Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} y_{I} \Phi \bar{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \sum_{\alpha, I} Y_{D,\alpha I} H \bar{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} + h.c. + V(\Phi)$$

• Assume fast wall: $\gamma_w \gg 1$



.

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} y_{I} \Phi \bar{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \sum_{\alpha, I} Y_{D,\alpha I} H \bar{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} + h.c. + V(\Phi)$$

- Assume fast wall: $\gamma_w \gg 1$
- $\gamma_w T_{
 m nuc} \gtrsim M_N \quad \Rightarrow \text{ all particle enter}$



a

Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I} y_{I} \Phi \bar{N}_{I}^{c} N_{I} + \sum_{\alpha, I} Y_{D,\alpha I} H \bar{L}_{\alpha} N_{I} + h.c. + V(\Phi)$$

- Assume fast wall: $\gamma_w \gg 1$
- $\gamma_w T_{
 m nuc} \gtrsim M_N \quad \Rightarrow$ all particle enter
- Heavy neutrinos are strongly out-of-equilibrium is $M_N/T_{\rm nuc} \gg 1. \label{eq:main}$



What are we hoping for ?

•

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV





Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

What are we hoping for ?

•

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



• $\kappa_{\text{wash-out}} \approx 0.01 - 0.001$ (Limit $\kappa_{\text{wash-out}} \rightarrow 1$ requires tuning of seesaw parameters.)



What are we hoping for ?



- $\kappa_{\text{wash-out}} \approx 0.01 0.001$ (Limit $\kappa_{\text{wash-out}} \rightarrow 1$ requires tuning of seesaw parameters.)
- bubble assisted leptogenesis has naturally $\kappa_{wash-out} \sim 1$.



Phase transition sector

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



What is the final effect ?

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs

What is the final effect ?

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



$$\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(50), \alpha_N \sim \mathcal{O}(2-5)$$

Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

• $\kappa_{\rm pen} \sim 1$

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs

July 3, 2024

What is the final effect ?

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV

$$Y_B \sim Y_N^{
m eq} \epsilon_{
m CP} \, \kappa_{
m sph} \, imes \kappa_{
m pen} \, \kappa_{
m dep} \, \kappa_{
m wash} \, \left(rac{T_{
m nuc}}{T_{
m reh}}
ight)^3$$

Competing effects

- $\kappa_{\rm pen} \sim 1$
- $\kappa_{\mathrm{dep}} \in [0.5, 0.01]$ inside the bubble $NN \to \phi \phi, ff$



Results: Peaks structure with maximal enhancement at

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(50), \alpha_N \sim \mathcal{O}(2-5)$$

Interactions of plasma and bubbles during FOPTs
What is the final effect ?

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV

$$Y_B \sim Y_N^{\rm eq} \epsilon_{\rm CP} \, \kappa_{\rm sph} \, \times \kappa_{\rm pen} \, \kappa_{\rm dep} \, \kappa_{\rm wash} \, \left(\frac{T_{\rm nuc}}{T_{\rm reh}}\right)^3$$

Competing effects

- $\kappa_{\rm pen} \sim 1$
- $\kappa_{\rm dep} \in [0.5, 0.01]$ inside the bubble $NN \to \phi \phi, ff$
- $\kappa_{\text{wash}} \in [0.5, 0.1]$: inverse decay.



Results: Peaks structure with maximal enhancement at

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(50), \alpha_N \sim \mathcal{O}(2-5)$$

What is the final effect ?

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV

$$Y_B \sim Y_N^{
m eq} \epsilon_{
m CP} \, \kappa_{
m sph} \, imes \kappa_{
m pen} \, \kappa_{
m dep} \, \kappa_{
m wash} \, \left(rac{T_{
m nuc}}{T_{
m reh}}
ight)^3$$

Competing effects

- $\kappa_{\rm pen} \sim 1$
- $\kappa_{\rm dep} \in [0.5, 0.01]$ inside the bubble $NN \to \phi \phi, ff$
- $\kappa_{\text{wash}} \in [0.5, 0.1]$: inverse decay.
- Final injection of entropy: $\left(\frac{T_{\text{nuc}}}{T_{\text{reh}}}\right)^3$



Results: Peaks structure with maximal enhancement at

$$\beta \sim \mathcal{O}(50), \alpha_N \sim \mathcal{O}(2-5)$$

The peak properties

[2305.10759]: Eung, Dutka, Jung, Nagels, MV



Confining PT: $M_{RHN}^{SC} \approx 4\pi v_{\phi} \gg M_{RHN}^{WC} = yv_{\phi}$: [2312.09282]: Dichtl, Nava, Pascoli, Sala

FOPT and DM

Supercool DM: Hambye, Strumia, Tesi 18'



Azatov, Yin, MV 21' , Baldes, Sala, Gouttenoire, 22' Azatov, Yin, Nagels, MV 24' $\gamma_w \gtrsim M_{\rm DM}^2/vT_{\rm nuc}$



Baldes,Gouttenoire, Sala, Servant, 22' : $\gamma_w \gg 1$



Falkowski, No, 12' Giudice, Pomarol, Lee, Shakya 24' : Runaway walls: $\gamma_w \sim M_{\rm pl}/v \to 10^{15}$

[2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

• Portal Dark Matter: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\lambda}{2}h^2\phi^2 - M_\phi^2\phi^2 - V(h)$



[2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

- Portal Dark Matter: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\lambda}{2}h^2\phi^2 M_\phi^2\phi^2 V(h)$
- Non-vanishing VEV:

$$h \rightarrow h + v$$
 trilinear coupling $\mathcal{L} \supset -\lambda v h \phi^2$



- \bullet Portal Dark Matter: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\lambda}{2}h^2\phi^2 M_\phi^2\phi^2 V(h)$
- Non-vanishing VEV:
 - h
 ightarrow h + v trilinear coupling $\mathcal{L} \supset -\lambda v h \phi^2$

• In the wall frame: $E_h \sim p_{z,h} \sim \gamma_{wp} T \gg T$

$$P_{h \to \phi \phi} \sim \frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{M_{\phi}^2} \Theta(1 - \Delta p_z L_w)$$

 $p_{h,p} \approx \gamma_w T(1,0,0,1), \quad p_{h,w} \approx (0,0,0,1/L_w), \quad s > M_\phi^2$ $\Rightarrow \boxed{\gamma_w Tv > M_\phi^2}$



- Portal Dark Matter: $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\lambda}{2}h^2\phi^2 M_\phi^2\phi^2 V(h)$
- Non-vanishing VEV:
 - h
 ightarrow h + v trilinear coupling $\left| \mathcal{L} \supset -\lambda v h \phi^2 \right|$

• In the wall frame:
$$E_h \sim p_{z,h} \sim \gamma_{wp} T \gg T$$

$$P_{h \to \phi \phi} \sim \frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{M_{\phi}^2} \Theta(1 - \Delta p_z L_w)$$

$$p_{h,p} \approx \gamma_w T(1,0,0,1), \quad p_{h,w} \approx (0,0,0,1/L_w), \quad s > M_\phi^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\gamma_w Tv > M_\phi^2}$$

• Behind the wall, accumulation of relics

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_{\phi,\mathsf{BE}}^{\mathsf{today}} h^2 \approx 5.4 \times 10^3 \bigg(\frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{M_\phi^2}\bigg) \bigg(\frac{M_\phi}{\mathsf{GeV}}\bigg) \bigg(\frac{T_{\mathsf{nuc}}}{T_{\mathsf{reh}}}\bigg)^3 e^{-\frac{M_\phi^2}{vT\gamma_{wp}}}$$

Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)

July 3

The DM is Heavy ...

[2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

h is a dark Higgs

h is a the Higgs



... and fast !

[2207.05096]: Baldes, Gouttenoire, Sala

• Warm Dark Matter





... and fast !

[2207.05096]: Baldes, Gouttenoire, Sala

• Warm Dark Matter

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm eq} \lesssim 4.2 \times 10^{-5} & \mbox{From Lyman-} \alpha \\ \Rightarrow M_{\rm WDM} \sim \mbox{keV} \\ \bullet \mbox{ At production } \\ \bar{E}_{\phi, \rm plasma} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{T_{\rm nuc}} \end{split}$$



... and fast !

[2207.05096]: Baldes, Gouttenoire, Sala

• Warm Dark Matter

$$V_{
m eq} \lesssim 4.2 imes 10^{-5}$$
 From Lyman- $lpha$
 $\Rightarrow M_{
m WDM} \sim
m keV$
• At production
 $ar{E}_{\phi,
m plasma} pprox rac{1}{2} rac{M_{\phi}^2}{T_{
m nuc}}$
• If free streaming

$$V_{\rm eq} \approx 0.3 \frac{T_{\rm eq} E_{\phi}}{T_{\rm reh} M_{\phi}} \approx 10^{-10} \frac{{\rm GeV} \times M_{\phi}}{T_{\rm reh} T_{\rm nuc}}$$



• Warm Dark Matter

$$\begin{split} V_{\rm eq} \lesssim 4.2 \times 10^{-5} & \mbox{From Lyman-} \alpha \\ \Rightarrow M_{\rm WDM} \sim \mbox{keV} \\ \bullet \mbox{ At production} \\ & \bar{E}_{\phi, {\rm plasma}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{\phi}^2}{T_{\rm nuc}} \\ \bullet \mbox{ If free streaming} \\ & V_{\rm eq} \approx 0.3 \frac{T_{\rm eq} \bar{E}_{\phi}}{T_{\rm eq} \bar{E}_{\phi}} \approx 10^{-10} \frac{\mbox{GeV} \times M_{\phi}}{T_{\rm eq} T_{\rm eq}} \end{split}$$

$$V_{\rm eq} \approx 0.3 \frac{eq}{T_{\rm reh}M_{\phi}} \approx 10^{-10} \frac{T_{\rm reh}T_{\rm nuc}}{T_{\rm reh}T_{\rm nuc}}$$

• Heavy WDM for

$$V_{\rm eq} \sim 10^{-5}, \qquad v \sim 10^2 {\rm GeV}, \qquad M_{\phi} \sim 10^8 {\rm GeV}$$

Miguel Vanvlasselaer (VUB, IIHE and COST Action)



July 3, 2024

Secluded sectors ?

[2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV, [2406.12554]:Azatov, Yin, Nagels, MV





- Free-streaming regime
- Thermalised regime
- Transition between the regimes

Secluded sectors ?

$$\begin{split} \gamma_w(R) &\approx \frac{2R}{3R_{\rm nuc}} \quad \rightarrow \qquad \gamma_w^{\rm coll} \approx 0.06 \frac{M_{\rm pl} T_{\rm nuc}}{\beta v^2} \\ \text{EFT bound} : s &\approx \gamma_w T v < \Lambda^2, \qquad \text{adiabaticity} : s > M^2 \end{split}$$





 $hh\psiar\psi$







• Observable GW \Rightarrow Need strong, long PT with fast walls

Thank you ;)



- Observable GW \Rightarrow Need strong, long PT with fast walls
- Relevant question is: what phenomena are impacted by such FOPTs ?

Thank you ;)



- \bullet Observable GW \Rightarrow Need strong, long PT with fast walls
- Relevant question is: what phenomena are impacted by such FOPTs ?
- bubble assisted leptogenesis (baryogenesis)

Thank you ;)



- \bullet Observable GW \Rightarrow Need strong, long PT with fast walls
- Relevant question is: what phenomena are impacted by such FOPTs ?
- bubble assisted leptogenesis (baryogenesis)
- Production of heavy and boosted DM

Thank you ;)



- \bullet Observable GW \Rightarrow Need strong, long PT with fast walls
- Relevant question is: what phenomena are impacted by such FOPTs ?
- bubble assisted leptogenesis (baryogenesis)
- Production of heavy and boosted DM
- But also: IGMF, primordial black holes, ...

Thank you ;)



Back-up slides

Back up

What about the pressure on the wall? [2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

• Pressure on the wall in large γ_w regime



What about the pressure on the wall ? [2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

• Pressure on the wall in large γ_w regime

$$\mathcal{P}^{\gamma_w \to \infty} \approx \sum_{ij} \underbrace{\frac{p_z}{p_0} n_i}_{\propto \gamma_w T^3} \times \underbrace{P_{i \to j}}_{\text{probability } i \to j} \times \underbrace{\Delta p_{i \to j}}_{\text{exchange of momentum } i \to j}$$

• Typical magnitude of the pressure

$$P_{1 \to 1} \to 1$$
 $\Delta p_{1 \to 1} \approx \frac{m^2}{2\gamma_w T}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\text{mass gain}} \approx \frac{T^2 m^2}{24}$



What about the pressure on the wall ? [2101.05721]: Azatov, Yin, MV

• Pressure on the wall in large γ_w regime



• Typical magnitude of the pressure

$$\begin{split} P_{1 \rightarrow 1} \rightarrow 1 \qquad \Delta p_{1 \rightarrow 1} \approx \frac{m^2}{2\gamma_w T} \qquad \mathcal{P}_{\text{mass gain}} \approx \frac{T^2 m^2}{24} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{h} \qquad \underbrace{\lambda v}_{\text{M}} \\ \text{Pressure from production} \\ P_{1 \rightarrow 2} \rightarrow \frac{v^2}{16\pi^2 M^2} \qquad \Delta p \approx \frac{M^2}{2\gamma_w T} \qquad \mathcal{P}_{1 \rightarrow 2} \approx \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{T^2 v^2}{24} \log \gamma_w \\ \text{Symmetric} \\ \text{v=v_0} \\ \text{Broken} \\ \end{array}$$

.

T

T_{reh}

DM

Pressure from Secluded sectors ?



$$\Delta p \approx \frac{\gamma_w T v}{\gamma_w T} \rightarrow v$$

saturates the non-adiabaticity bound

• From dimension five:



Pressure from Secluded sectors ?



$$\Delta p \approx \frac{\gamma_w T v}{\gamma_w T} \to v$$

saturates the non-adiabaticity bound

• From dimension five:



$$\mathcal{P}_{h \to \gamma \gamma} pprox n_h rac{v^4}{\Lambda^4} rac{\gamma_w^2 T}{2\pi^2}$$

•

Pressure from Secluded sectors ?



$$\Delta p \approx \frac{\gamma_w T v}{\gamma_w T} \to v$$

saturates the non-adiabaticity bound

• From dimension five:



 $\mathcal{P}_{h \to \gamma \gamma} pprox n_h rac{v^4}{\Lambda^4} rac{\gamma_w^2 T}{2\pi^2}$

Maximal pressure:

$$s \approx \gamma_w T v \to \Lambda^2$$
:

$$\mathcal{P}_{h \to \psi \psi} \approx \mathcal{P}_{h \to \gamma \gamma} \to \frac{v^2 T_{\text{nuc}}^2}{16\pi^4} < \frac{T^2 v^2}{24}$$

•

Production of heavy states via mixing[2010.02590]:Idea

Scale of the transition and particles involved

CLAIM: transition is dictated by fields $M \lesssim T_{\rm nuc} \sim v_{\phi}$ because $n_{\rm heavy} \propto e^{-M/T_{\rm nuc}}$



Production of heavy states via mixing[2010.02590]:Idea

Scale of the transition and particles involved

CLAIM: transition is dictated by fields $M \lesssim T_{
m nuc} \sim v_{\phi}$ because $n_{
m heavy} \propto e^{-M/T_{
m nuc}}$



- ϕ scalar, χ light, N heavy: $\mathcal{L}_{int} = Y\phi\bar{\chi}N + M\bar{N}N, \quad M \gg T_{nuc}$
- $\chi \to N$ transition: $p_{\chi} = (E, 0, 0, E)$ $p_N = (E, 0, 0, \sqrt{E^2 M^2})$

Production of heavy states via mixing[2010.02590]:Idea

Scale of the transition and particles involved

CLAIM: transition is dictated by fields $M \lesssim T_{\rm nuc} \sim v_{\phi}$ because $n_{\rm heavy} \propto e^{-M/T_{\rm nuc}}$



Production of heavy states via mixing[2010.02590]:Idea

Scale of the transition and particles involved

CLAIM: transition is dictated by fields $M \lesssim T_{
m nuc} \sim v_{\phi}$ because $n_{
m heavy} \propto e^{-M/T_{
m nuc}}$



Production of heavy states via mixing[2010.02590]:Idea

Scale of the transition and particles involved

CLAIM: transition is dictated by fields $M \lesssim T_{
m nuc} \sim v_{\phi}$ because $n_{
m heavy} \propto e^{-M/T_{
m nuc}}$



Boosted glueballs DM



- [2101.05721]:Azatov, Yin, Nagels, MV
- Energy pumped up in DS: if

$$\Gamma_{GGG...} \gg H \qquad \rho_{BE}^{DS} \gg \Lambda_{conf}^{4}$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \text{Thermalisation}$$

$$\rho_{\rm BE}^{\rm DS} \sim n_g \bar{E}_g \approx (N^2-1) C_g \frac{2 \gamma_w^2 v^4 T_{\rm nuc}^4}{(\pi \Lambda)^4}$$

Boosted glueballs DM



- Energy pumped up in DS: if
 - $\Gamma_{GGG...} \gg H \qquad \rho_{\rm BE}^{\rm DS} \gg \Lambda_{\rm conf}^4$
 - $\Rightarrow \qquad \text{Thermalisation} \\ \rho_{\rm BE}^{\rm DS} \sim n_g \bar{E}_g \approx (N^2 1) C_g \frac{2 \gamma_w^2 v^4 T_{\rm nuc}^4}{(\pi \Lambda)^4}$
- Thermalisation of the DS

$$B \equiv \frac{T_g^4}{T_{\rm SM}^4} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad$$

$$\frac{(\Omega h^2)_G}{(\Omega h^2)_{\rm DM}} \approx 0.056 (N^2 - 1) \left(\frac{B}{10^{-12}}\right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm conf}}{\rm GeV}\right) \ , \label{eq:alpha}$$

Boosted glueballs DM



• Energy pumped up in DS: if

$$\Gamma_{GGG...} \gg H \qquad \rho_{\rm BE}^{\rm DS} \gg \Lambda_{\rm conf}^4$$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad \text{Thermalisation} \\ \rho_{\rm BE}^{\rm DS} \sim n_g \bar{E}_g \approx (N^2 - 1) C_g \frac{2 \gamma_w^2 v^4 T_{\rm nuc}^4}{(\pi \Lambda)^4}$

• Thermalisation of the DS

$$B \equiv \frac{T_g^4}{T_{\rm SM}^4} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad$$

$$\frac{(\Omega h^2)_G}{(\Omega h^2)_{\rm DM}} \approx 0.056 (N^2 - 1) \left(\frac{B}{10^{-12}}\right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm conf}}{\rm GeV}\right) ,$$

• $\Lambda_{\rm conf} \gtrsim 0.3 \text{GeV}$ Bullet cluster bound

July 3, 2024
Glueball DM parameter space

[2101.05721]:Azatov, Yin, Nagels, MV





Back up