Multimessenger observations, status and future perspectives



Irene Di Palma

University of Rome La Sapienza and INFN Irene.DiPalma@uniroma1.it

Multimessenger observations: ✓ SN1987A ✓ GW170817 ✓ IC170922

• The role of Core Collapse Supernovae

• The future challenges



- 20% of the Universe is opaque to the EM spectrum
- non-thermal Universe powered by cosmic accelerators
- probed by gravitational waves and neutrinos

MeV Neutrinos from SN1987A



February 23, 1987.

K. Hirata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1490 (1987)

FIRST COSMIC EVENT OBSERVED IN GRAVITATIONAL WAVES AND LIGHT

Colliding Neutron Stars Mark New Beginning of Discoveries

Collision creates light across the entire electromagnetic spectrum.

Joint observations independently confirm Einstein's General Theory of Relativity, help measure the age of the Universe, and provide clues to the origins of heavy elements like gold and platinum

ZLIGO

Gravitational wave lasted over 100 seconds

On August 17, 2017, 12:41 UTC, LIGO (US) and Virgo (Europe) detect gravitational waves from the merger of two neutron stars, each around 1.5 times the mass of our Sun. This is the first detection of spacetime ripples from neutron stars. Within two seconds, NASA's Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope detects a short gamma-ray burst from a region of the sky overlapping the LIGO/Virgo position. Optical telescope observations pinpoint the origin of this signal to NGC 4993, a galaxy located 130 million light years distant.

Astrophys.J.Lett. 848 (2017) 2, L12; Astrophys.J.Lett. 848 (2017) 2, L13; Nature volume 551, pages 85-88 (2017)



IceCube 170922

Muon track; radius ~ number of photons time ~ red \square purple



IceCube 170922

Fermi detects a flaring blazar within 0.1°



Observational spectrum of TSX 0506+056



TSX 0506+056



3.5 o evidence (a-priori following predefined tests procedures)



Multimessenger observations: ✓ SN1987A ✓ GW170817 ✓ IC170922

• The role of Core Collapse Supernovae

• The future challenges

SNEWS 2.0

The SuperNova Early Warning System (SNEWS) is a global network of neutrino experiments sensitive to supernova neutrinos. The goal of SNEWS is to provide the astronomical community with a prompt alert of an imminent Galactic core-collapse event. This will allow for complete multi-messenger observations of the supernova across the electromagnetic spectrum, in gravitational waves, and in neutrinos.



- Caused by runaway thermonuclear burning of white dwarf fuel to Nickel
- Roughly of 10⁵¹ ergs released
- Very bright, used as standard candles
- No remnant

ullet

• Core Collapse Supernovae: Type II, Ib, Ic

- Result from the collapse of an iron core in an evolved massive star ($M_{ZAMS} > 8-10 M_{SUN}$)
- Few x 10⁵³ ergs released in gravitational collapse, most (99%) radiated in neutrinos
- Spread stellar evolution elemental products throughout galaxy
- Neutron star or black hole remnant

Onion shell structure of pre-collapse star

He

Shells of progressively heavier elements contain the ashes of a sequence of nuclear burning stages, which finally build up a degenerate core of oxygen, neon and magnesium or iron-group elements at the center.

Convective burning can lead to large scale velocity and density perturbations in the oxygen and silicon layers (as indicated for the Oshell).

H.-Th Janka, arXiv:1702.08825

(layers not drawn to scale)

Fe

Dynamical phases of stellar core collapse and explosion



- When the radiation pressure doesn't balance gravity anymore the collapse starts.
- The implosion of the inner core is stopped abruptly when nuclear saturation density is reached at the center.
- The inner core bounces back and its expansion creates pressure waves.
- The newly formed shock begins to propagate outwards in radius as well as in mass.
- Shortly after core bounce neutrino emission carries away energy from the postshock layer.
- If the heating by neutrinos is strong enough, the shock can be pushed outwards and the SN explosion can be launched.

A NEW GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE SIGNATURE FROM STANDING ACCRETION SHOCK INSTABILITIES IN SUPERNOVAE



FIG. 1.— In each set of panels, we plot, top; gravitational wave amplitude of plus mode A_+ [cm], bottom; the characteristic wave strain in frequency-time domain \tilde{h} in a logarithmic scale which is over plotted by the expected peak frequency F_{peak} (black line denoted by "A"). "B" indicates the low frequency component. The component "A" is originated from the PNS g-mode oscillation (Marek & Janka 2009; Müller et al. 2013). The component "B" is considered to be associated with the SASI activities (see Sec. 3). Left and right panels are for TM1 and SFHx, respectively. We mention that SFHx (left) and TM1 (right) are softer and stiffer EoS models, respectively. 16

T. Kuroda et al.,Astrophys.J. 829 (2016) no.1, L14



<u>Correlation of v and GW signals from a rapidly rotating 3D model</u>

Neutrino event rate (27 M_{sun} , Ω_0 = 2rad/s)

Takiwaki, KK, Foglizzo, (2021)



Gravitational waveform







Courtesy of K. Kotake

<u>Correlation of v and GW signals from a rapidly rotating 3D model</u>



Peak frequency of GW signals (f_{gw}) is twice of the neutrino modulation freq (f_{neutrino})! Due to the quadrupole GW emission

✓ Also the case for non-rotating progenitor, $f_{neutrino, SASI}$ ~80Hz, f_{gw} ~160Hz

Coincident detection between GW and v: smoking gun signature of rapid core rotation!

Different scenarios



Multimessenger observations: ✓ SN1987A ✓ GW170817 ✓ IC170922

• The role of Core Collapse Supernovae

• The future challenges

Phenomenological Waveforms



Strategy

ð

While the neutrino information are used as an external trigger, it is necessary the generation of a data set of CCSN waveforms through a phenomenological approach.



Creation of the time-frequency plots that are the input for the deep learning algorithm.



Analysis of these images through the neural network.

Classification of images as signal or noise.

RGB time-frequency plane

Coincidences among detectors



Additive colour synthesis



LIGO Hanford = red LIGO Livingston = green Virgo = blue

RGB time-frequency plane Coincidences among detectors



Additive colour synthesis



LIGO Hanford = red LIGO Livingston = green Virgo = blue

Measuring and constraining the learning



- The output of the network is a probability vector θ , which contains the probabilities of the template belonging to one class or another.
- The classification task is performed according to a threshold θ^* , the template will be classified as event class only if its probability overcomes θ^* .

Confusion matrix

		Actual class	
		Event	Noise
Predicted class	Event	True	False
		positive (TP)	positive (FP)
	Noise	False	True
		negative (FN)	negative (TN)

Efficiency:

 $\eta_{CNN} = \frac{\text{correctly classified signals}}{\text{all the signals at CNN input}} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$

False Alarm Rate:

$$FAR_{CNN} = \frac{\text{misclassified noise}}{\text{all classified events}} = \frac{FP}{FP + TP}$$

False Positive Rate:
$$FPR = \frac{FP}{FP + TN}$$

General results



Einstein Telescope [see Punturo's talk]

 \sim 1165 km



Gaussian noise: 10^5 images for each value of Network SNR \in [1,100]

Training set – phenomenological waveforms: $7 \ge 10^5$ images for each distance $\in [0.1, 100]$ kpc and random sky localisation.

Test set - numerical simulations from the literature: 10^6 images with distances $\in [0.1, 100]$ kpc



M. Branchesi et al. JCAP 07 (2023) 068

ET-2L 15km 45° vs ET triangle



ET-2L 15km 45° vs ET triangle









Waveforms for the test set

TABLE II: List of models of the test set used in the injections. M_{ZAMS} corresponds to the progenitor mass at zero-age in the main sequence (ZAMS). Unless commented, all progenitors have solar metallicity, result in explosions and their GW signal do not show signatures of the standing-shock accretion instability (SASI).

Model name	reference	$M_{\rm ZAMS}$	comments
s 9	[47]	$9M_{\odot}$	Low mass progenitor, low GW amplitude.
s25	[47]	$25 M_{\odot}$	Develops SASI.
s13	[47]	$13 M_{\odot}$	Non-exploding model.
s18	[48]	$18 M_{\odot}$	Higher GW amplitude.
he3.5	[48]	-	Ultra-stripped progenitor ($3.5M_{\odot}$ He core).
SFHx	[49]	$15 M_{\odot}$	Non-exploding model. Develops SASI.
mesa20	[50]	$20 M_{\odot}$	
$mesa20_pert$	[50]	$20 M_{\odot}$	Same as mesa20, but including perturbations.
s11.2	[31]	$11.2 M_{\odot}$	
L15	[28]	$15 M_{\odot}$	Simplified neutrino treatment.

[28] T. Kuroda et al., 851(1):62, 2017.
[31] H. Andresen et al., MNRAS 468(2):2032-2051, 03 2017.
[47] D. Radice et al., ApJ 876(1):L9, 4 2019.
[48] J. Powell et al., MNRAS 487(1):1178-1190, 05 2019.
[49] T. Kuroda et al., ApJ 829(1):L14, 9 2016.
[50] E. O'Connor et al., ApJ 865(2):81, 9 2018.