Black hole spectroscopy in Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory

Marco Melis

marco.melis@uniroma1.it

Sapienza University of Rome and INFN-Roma1

Meeting PRIN "String Theory as a bridge between Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity"

February 22nd 2024





Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory (EMS)

Consider the general action for the Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory

$$S=rac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R-2\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-F[\phi]F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}
ight]\,,$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$, $F[\phi]$ is a general **coupling function**. Scalar field equation:

$$\Box \phi = rac{1}{4} rac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} \; .$$

Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory (EMS)

Consider the general action for the Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory

$$S=rac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R-2\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-F[\phi]F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}
ight]\,,$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$, $F[\phi]$ is a general **coupling function**. Scalar field equation:

$$\Box \phi = rac{1}{4} rac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} \; .$$

Classification of the EMS models:

- **1 dilatonic-type:** $\phi(r) = 0$ does not solve the field equations, e.g. $F[\phi] = e^{2\alpha\phi}$,
 - $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$ four-dimensional reduction of Kaluza-Klein;
 - $\alpha = 1$ low energy limit of superstring theory.

Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory (EMS)

Consider the general action for the Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory

$$S=rac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[R-2\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi-F[\phi]F_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}
ight]\,,$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$, $F[\phi]$ is a general **coupling function**. Scalar field equation:

$$\Box \phi = rac{1}{4} rac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi} F_{\mu
u} F^{\mu
u} \; .$$

Classification of the EMS models:

- **1 dilatonic-type:** $\phi(r) = 0$ does not solve the field equations, e.g. $F[\phi] = e^{2\alpha\phi}$,
 - $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$ four-dimensional reduction of Kaluza-Klein;
 - $\alpha = 1$ low energy limit of superstring theory.
- **2** scalarized-type: $\phi(r) = 0$ is a solution of the field equations.

Spontaneous scalarization

The **linearized scalar field equation** for a small $\delta \phi$ perturbation is

$$(\Box - \mu_{e\!f\!f}^2)\delta\phi = 0$$
, $\mu_{e\!f\!f}^2 = \frac{F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \frac{\delta^2 F[\phi]}{\delta\phi^2}\Big|_{\phi=0}$

If $\mu_{e\!f\!f}^2 < 0$ we encounter a tachyonic instability and $\delta\phi$ exponentially grows

Spontaneous scalarization

The **linearized scalar field equation** for a small $\delta \phi$ perturbation is

$$(\Box - \mu_{eff}^2)\delta\phi = 0$$
, $\mu_{eff}^2 = \frac{F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \frac{\delta^2 F[\phi]}{\delta\phi^2}\Big|_{\phi=0}$

If $\mu_{e\!f\!f}^2 < 0$ we encounter a *tachyonic* instability and $\delta \phi$ exponentially grows:

• **nonlinear contributions** become important → **scalarization**.

The Reissner-Nordstrom BH solutions acquire a non-trivial scalar hair.

Spontaneous scalarization

The **linearized scalar field equation** for a small $\delta \phi$ perturbation is

$$(\Box - \mu_{eff}^2)\delta\phi = 0$$
, $\mu_{eff}^2 = \frac{F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}}{4} \frac{\delta^2 F[\phi]}{\delta\phi^2}\Big|_{\phi=0}$

If $\mu_{e\!f\!f}^2 < 0$ we encounter a *tachyonic* instability and $\delta \phi$ exponentially grows:

• **nonlinear contributions** become important → **scalarization**.

The Reissner-Nordstrom BH solutions acquire a non-trivial scalar hair.

Types of scalarization [Doneva+ arXiv:2211.01766]

- Induced by curvature (e.g. scalar-tensor-Gauss-Bonnet theory);
- Induced by spin ;
- Induced by matter or coupling to other field (EMS theory);

A specific EMS model

If we consider a spherically symmetric ansatz for the scalarized BH solution, i.e.

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -N(r)e^{-2\delta(r)}dt^2 + \frac{1}{N(r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) ,\\ A(r) &= V(r)dt, \qquad \phi = \phi(r). \end{split}$$

we get the field equations (with N(r) = 1 - 2m(r)/r)

$$\begin{split} \delta' + r\phi'^2 &= 0 \ , \\ V' &= -\frac{Q}{r^2 F[\phi] \, e^{\delta}} \ , \\ r(r-2m)\phi'^2 + r^2 V'^2 e^{2\delta} F[\phi] - 2m' = 0 \ , \\ r(r-2m)\phi'' - [2(m+rm'-r) + (r^2 - 2mr)\delta']\phi' + \frac{r^2 V'^2 e^{2\delta}}{2} \frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi} = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

A specific EMS model

If we consider a spherically symmetric ansatz for the scalarized BH solution, i.e.

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -N(r)e^{-2\delta(r)}dt^2 + \frac{1}{N(r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \;,\\ A(r) &= V(r)dt, \qquad \phi = \phi(r). \end{split}$$

we get the field equations (with N(r) = 1 - 2m(r)/r)

$$\begin{split} \delta' + r\phi'^2 &= 0 \ , \\ V' &= -\frac{Q}{r^2 F[\phi] \, e^{\delta}} \ , \\ r(r-2m)\phi'^2 + r^2 V'^2 e^{2\delta} F[\phi] - 2m' = 0 \ , \\ r(r-2m)\phi'' - [2(m+rm'-r) + (r^2 - 2mr)\delta']\phi' + \frac{r^2 V'^2 e^{2\delta}}{2} \frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi} = 0 \ . \end{split}$$

An example of EMS models that exhibits *spontaneous scalarization* is given by the following coupling function

$$F[\phi] = e^{\alpha \phi^2}$$

Herdeiro+ PRL 121, 101102 (2018)

The $F[\phi] = e^{\alpha \phi^2}$ EMS model is characterized by a **domain of scalarization**.

- for $Q/M \le 1$ the RN solutions is not unique.
- the scalarized solution can be **overcharged**



The field equations are solved numerically requiring asymptotic flatness at infinity and regularity at the black hole horizon.

Example of *scalarized* BH solution with $\alpha = 20$ and q = Q/M = 0.7.

Why black hole spectroscopy?



Illustration for GW150914 by Nutsinee Kijbunchoo https://www.ligo.org/magazine/LIGO-magazine-issue-8.pdf

For scalarized BH in the EMS model $F[\phi] = e^{\alpha \phi^2}$ there exist **two unstable photon spheres** outside the horizon in a small region of the parameter space.

Two photon spheres may trigger *long-lived modes*!

The **ringdown** produced by the final BH of a merger is described by superposition of **quasi-normal modes (QNMs)**:

 $\omega_{lmn} = \omega_{R,lmn} + i\,\omega_{I,lmn}.$

• The QNMs strongly depend on the **photon sphere**.



Linear and spherical perturbations

Consider spherically symmetric and linear perturbations of the fields

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -\tilde{N}(t,r) \, e^{-2\tilde{\delta}(t,r)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\tilde{N}(t,r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \;, \\ A &= \tilde{V}(t,r) dt \;, \qquad \phi = \tilde{\phi}(t,r) \;, \\ \tilde{N}(t,r) &= N(r) + \epsilon N_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;, \qquad \tilde{\delta}(t,r) = \delta(r) + \epsilon \delta_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;, \\ \tilde{\phi}(t,r) &= \phi(r) + \epsilon \phi_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;, \qquad \tilde{V}(t,r) = V(r) + \epsilon V_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;. \end{split}$$

We get a single one-dimensional time independent Schrödinger-like equation

$$igg({d^2\over dr_*^2} + \Omega^2 igg) \Psi = V_\phi \Psi$$

Linear and spherical perturbations

Consider **spherically symmetric** and **linear perturbations** of the fields

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -\tilde{N}(t,r) \, e^{-2\tilde{\delta}(t,r)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\tilde{N}(t,r)} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \;, \\ A &= \tilde{V}(t,r) dt \;, \qquad \phi = \tilde{\phi}(t,r) \;, \\ \tilde{N}(t,r) &= N(r) + \epsilon N_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;, \qquad \tilde{\delta}(t,r) = \delta(r) + \epsilon \delta_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \\ \tilde{\phi}(t,r) &= \phi(r) + \epsilon \phi_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \;, \qquad \tilde{V}(t,r) = V(r) + \epsilon V_1(r) e^{-i\Omega t} \end{split}$$

We get a single one-dimensional time independent Schrödinger-like equation

$$\left(rac{d^2}{dr_*^2}+\Omega^2
ight)\Psi=V_\phi\Psi$$



,

Scalar QNMs

For the QNMs computation we use *direct integration* with proper **boundary conditions**:

- outgoing wave at infinity $(r_* \to +\infty)$,
- *ingoing wave* at the horizon $(r_* \rightarrow -\infty)$.



Linear and non-spherical perturbations

Derivation of the linearized perturbed equations

- Consider perturbed fields as $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, $A_{\mu} = \bar{A}_{\mu} + \delta A_{\mu}$, $\phi = \bar{\phi} + \delta \phi$;
- Decompose the field perturbations in terms of scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics;
- The perturbations are split into "axial" $(-1)^{l+1}$ and "polar" $(-1)^{l}$.

Linear and non-spherical perturbations

Derivation of the linearized perturbed equations

- Consider perturbed fields as $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, $A_{\mu} = \bar{A}_{\mu} + \delta A_{\mu}$, $\phi = \bar{\phi} + \delta \phi$;
- Decompose the field perturbations in terms of scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics;
- The perturbations are split into "axial" $(-1)^{l+1}$ and "polar" $(-1)^{l}$.

The linearized perturbed equations divide into two sectors.

Axial sector: system of two coupled ODEs of the second order

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2\right) U(r) = &V_{UU}U(r) + V_{UH}H(r) , \quad U(r) \text{ gravitational perturbation} \\ &\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2\right) H(r) = &V_{UH}U(r) + V_{HH}H(r) , \quad H(r) \text{ EM perturbation }. \end{split}$$

Polar sector: system of six coupled ODEs.

Axial sector QNMs (EM and Gravitational perturbations)



Marco Melis

Black hole spectroscopy in Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory

Summary and next steps

- Einstein-Maxwell-scalar theory admits black hole solutions with **scalar hair**: two photon spheres in a region of the parameter space!
- The *effective potential* of linear and spherical perturbations presents a **double peak** in the same region of the parameter space.
- The associated **QNMs** present a small imaginary part: less damped than RN QNMs.
- We studied *linear non-spherical perturbations* and computed the QNMs for the **axial sector**.
- Study **time evolution** of linear and spherical perturbation for the effective potential with a double peak.
- Compute the QNMs for the **polar sector**.
- Search for **long-lived QNMs** (axial and polar) in the parameter space of the BH with two photon spheres.

Thank you for the attention!

Additional material: Spherical harmonics decomposition and Regge-Wheeler gauge

Expansion of the metric tensor, vector potential and scalar field perturbations in scalar, vector and tensor spherical harmonics using the **Regge-Wheeler gauge**.

$$\begin{split} h_{\mu\nu} &= h_{\mu\nu}^{A} + h_{\mu\nu}^{P} \ ,\\ h_{\mu\nu}^{A} &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{h_{0}(r)\partial_{\varphi}Y_{l}^{m}}{\sin\theta} & h_{0}(r)\sin\theta\partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & 0 & -\frac{h_{1}(r)\partial_{\varphi}Y_{l}^{m}}{\sin\theta} & h_{1}(r)\sin\theta\partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ ,\\ h_{\mu\nu}^{P} &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t}Y_{l}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2\delta(r)}N(r)H_{0}(r) & H_{1}(r) & 0 & 0 \\ * & \frac{H_{2}(r)}{N(r)} & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & r^{2}K(r) & 0 \\ * & * & r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta K(r) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta F_{\mu\nu} &= \delta F_{\mu\nu}^{A} + \delta F_{\mu\nu}^{B} \;, \\ \delta F_{\mu\nu}^{A} &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{i\omega u_{4}(r)\partial_{\varphi}Y_{l}^{m}}{\sin \theta} & i\omega u_{4}(r) \sin \theta \partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & 0 & \frac{u_{4}'(r)\partial_{\varphi}Y_{l}^{m}}{\sin \theta} & -u_{4}'(r) \sin \theta \partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & * & 0 & l(l+1)u_{4}(r) \sin \theta Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \;, \\ \delta F_{\mu\nu}^{P} &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{01}(r)Y_{l}^{m} & f_{02}(r)\partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} & f_{02}(r)\partial_{\varphi}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \;, \\ \delta F_{\mu\nu}^{P} &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{01}(r)Y_{l}^{m} & f_{02}(r)\partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} & f_{12}(r)\partial_{\theta}Y_{l}^{m} \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \;, \\ \delta \phi &= \sum_{l,m} \int d\omega \, e^{-i\omega t} z(r)Y_{l}^{m} \;. \end{split}$$