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## Motivations

- Main aim: understand CFT data, using conformal symmetry and consistency conditions of CFTs.
- One way to make progress analytically is to consider situation in which it makes sense to chose a perturbative parameter, and study the structure of such expansion.
- In this talk I will focus on large $\mathbf{N}$ perturbation theory .
- This particular example is mostly interesting due to the connection with theories of gravity in curved space-time.
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- In the OPE decomposition of generalised free field theories, it is apparent the presence of double trace operators.

- Generically multi-trace operators correspond to multi-particle states in AdS.
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## $\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left(x_{1}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle$

Double trace operators appear already at leading order.

From $N^{-6}$ there are triple trace contributing to the OPE.

Warning: degeneracy among states having the same $\Delta$ and $\ell$.

## Approach II

Study four point functions of higher trace operators

$$
\mathcal{O}_{D T} \sim[\mathcal{O} \mathbb{O}] .
$$

One example is

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{D T}\left(x_{1}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathscr{O}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle
$$

In this case, triple-trace operators appear already at leading order.

## Approach III

Study higher point functions of single traces at large N

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}\left(x_{1}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{2}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{4}\right) \mathcal{O}\left(x_{5}\right)\right\rangle
$$

This situation is much richer but also harder.
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In this talk I will mostly focus on approach I and approach II.

While the reasoning is equivalent in spirit, most of the results presented are for supersymmetric theories.

Supersymmetry helps in constraining the structure of the correlators (protected quantities).

It is also very interesting due to the connection with supergravity amplitudes.
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## AdS

- string length $\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}}$
- string coupling $g_{s}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
N \sim g_{s}^{-1} \\
\lambda=g_{Y M}^{2} N=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-2}
\end{gathered}
$$
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$$
\text { (N) } \sim g_{s}^{-1}
$$

Genus expansion
( 2 ) $=g_{Y M}^{2} N=\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-2}$
Higher derivative expansion
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Single trace half-BPS operators $\mathcal{O}_{p}$

> Scalar operators $s_{p}$ with mass $m^{2}=\Delta_{p}\left(\Delta_{p}-4\right)$

$$
\Delta_{\mathscr{O}_{p}}=p
$$

the $S^{5}$ angular momentum is $p$

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{p} \mathcal{O}_{p} \mathscr{O}_{q}\right\rangle=f(N)
$$

$$
p=2 \quad \text { Graviton }
$$
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Quarter-BPS multi trace operators
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Single trace half-BPS operators $\mathcal{O}_{p}$

> Scalar operators $s_{p}$ with mass $m^{2}=\Delta_{p}\left(\Delta_{p}-4\right)$

$$
\Delta_{\mathscr{O}_{p}}=p
$$

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{p} \mathcal{O}_{p} \mathcal{O}_{q}\right\rangle=f(N)
$$

$$
[0, p, 0] \text { of } S U(4)_{R}
$$

$p \geq 3$
Kaluza Klein modes

Quarter-BPS multi trace operators

$$
[p, q, p] \text { of } S U(4)_{R}
$$

$$
\Delta=2 q+p
$$

Bound states of single particle states

## Expansion
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## Expansion

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\mathscr{G}(u, v)}{x_{12}^{4} x_{34}^{4}}
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## Idea

Understand how to use the symmetries of the CFT (conformal symmetry, super symmetry, integrability....) to construct higher order correlators.

$$
\mathscr{G}(u, v)=\mathscr{G}^{(0)}(u, v)+\frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathscr{G}^{(1)}(u, v)+\frac{1}{N^{4}} \mathscr{G}^{(2)}(u, v)+\ldots
$$

Aharony, Alday, AB, Perlmutter 2016
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In the OPE of $\mathcal{O}_{2} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ there are six possible symmetric traceless of the Rsymmetry $[0,2,0] \times[0,2,0]$ and this is manifest in the OPE decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{G}(u, v, \sigma, \tau)=\sum_{\Delta, \ell, r} c_{\Delta, \ell}^{2(r)} g_{\Delta, \ell}^{(r)}(u, v) Y^{(r)}(\sigma, \tau) \\
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\end{aligned}
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## Supersymmetry

Superconformal Ward Identities let us achieve two goals:

1) single out the contribution of protected operators
$c_{\Delta, \ell}^{(r)}$ and $\Delta^{(r)}$ for $r$ short, are protected $\longrightarrow$ perform the sum
2) provide relations among the six different R-symmetry representations

$$
\mathscr{G}(u, v, \sigma, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathscr{G}^{\text {short }}(u, v) \quad \text { depend on } N
$$

Nirschl, Osborn 2004
Dolan, Osborn 2004
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\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathscr{G}^{\text {short }}(u, v)=\mathscr{G}^{s h, 0}(u, v)+\frac{1}{N^{2}} \mathscr{G}^{s h, 1}(u, v)
$$

operators

$$
c \sim N^{2}
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \sim \int_{0}^{1} d z d \bar{z} \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \sim \int_{0}^{1} d z d \bar{z} \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \sim \int_{0}^{1} d z d \bar{z} \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]=\mathscr{G}_{\text {eucl }}(z, \bar{z})-\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{G} \circlearrowleft(z, \bar{z})-\frac{1}{2} \mathscr{G} \cup(z, \bar{z}) \\
\text { analytic continuation } \\
\text { around } \bar{z} \rightarrow 1
\end{array}
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \sim \int_{0}^{1} d z d \bar{z} \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]
$$

## Inversion Formula

It is possible to write a relation that invert the OPE allowing us to reconstruct the correlator by knowing only its singularities as $v \rightarrow 0$ or

$$
\bar{z} \rightarrow 1
$$

How?

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \sim \int_{0}^{1} d z d \bar{z} \mu(z, \bar{z}) \mathrm{dDisc}[\mathscr{G}(z, \bar{z})]
$$

$$
c_{\Delta, \ell} \xrightarrow[\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{k}]{ } \frac{a_{\Delta_{k}, \ell}}{\Delta-\Delta_{k}} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { has poles at the } \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { dimension of the } \\
\text { exchanged operator with } \\
\text { residue the square of the } \\
\text { three point function }
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## Tree Level

We expand at leading order $N^{-2}$ and we get
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D'Hoker, Freedman, Mathur, Matusis, Rastelli 1999
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At one loop the situation is different, mainly for two reasons:

1) No contribution from the protected part, from order $\mathrm{N}^{-4}$
2) the decomposition in blocks contains a term with non-vanishing double discontinuity:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{H}^{(2)}(u, v) \supset \sum_{n, \ell} u^{2+n} a_{n, \ell}^{(0)}\left(\gamma_{n, \ell}^{(1)}\right)^{2} \underline{\log ^{2} u} g_{4+2 n+\ell, \ell}(u, v) \\
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completely specified by tree level data!

## Mixing

Caveat: mixing between different operators with the same bare dimension and quantum numbers.
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## All Loops

## Can we go further?

There are two obstructions:

1) At higher orders, there are higher trace operators that start contributing to the double discontinuity.
2) There are further mixing problems to take into account and it becomes unfeasible.
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2) Half-BPS double trace

3) Higher loops

## Quarter BPS operators

AB, G. Fardelli, A. Manenti 2022
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$$
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\mathcal{O}_{p q} \sim \operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi^{M_{1}} \ldots\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\ldots \varphi^{M_{\Delta}}\right) \underbrace{}_{M_{1} \ldots M_{\Delta}}-\frac{1}{N}(\text { single trace })
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\text { For } \ell=0, \Delta=2 q+p
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Annihilated by four supercharges: less protected!

Proliferation of $S U(4)_{R}$ tensor structure in the OPEs.
For instance $\mathcal{O}_{2} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ has 6 structure, $\mathcal{O}_{02} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ has 10 structures, $\mathcal{O}_{02} \times \mathcal{O}_{02}$ has 42 structures
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Use supersymmetry!

In $\mathcal{N}=2$ language, it is a half-BPS Schur operator

Detect protected multiplets!
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## Invert the protected part

As in the half-BPS case, we can use the inversion formula

$\left\langle a_{\Delta, \ell}^{(0)} \gamma_{\Delta, \ell}^{(1)}\right\rangle \quad\left\langle a_{\Delta, \ell}^{(1)}\right\rangle$
Large degeneracy of states!
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## Double trace half-BPS

Starting from dimension four operators, there are two half-BPS operators

Schematically they are

$$
\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{4}\right)
$$

The properly normalised operators are of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mathrm{sp}}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{4\left(N^{2}+1\right)}{\left(N^{2}-1\right)\left(N^{2}-4\right)\left(N^{2}-9\right)}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{4}\right)-\frac{2 N^{2}-3}{N\left(N^{2}+1\right)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mathrm{dt}}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{N^{4}-1}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$
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We will be mostly interested in $\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mathrm{dt}}$

We found the structure of protected operators and computed the correlator at order $1 / c$
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## Results

$\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mathrm{dt}} \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\mathrm{dt}} \mathcal{O}_{2} \mathcal{O}_{2}\right\rangle$


Computed CFT data of non protected, dimension six operators.
While supersymmetry imposes more constraints, there is higher degeneracy.

## Open questions

Understand how to systematise these computations

Connect with systematics of AdS Witten diagrams

Use this together with higher point functions

Understand the contribution of higher traces in OPE

## Basis of functions?

In $A d S_{3}$ it has been shown that it is necessary to include Bloch-WignerRamakrishnan functions.
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## Other results

- Two loops: OPE reasoning + educated ansatz for the $\mathscr{H}^{(3)}(u, v)$

Drummond, Paul 2022
Huang, Ye Yuan 2021
checked with flat space
unavoidability of for triple traces
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- All loop structure: $\mathscr{H}^{(k)}(u, v) \supset \log ^{k} u \sum_{n, \ell, I} \frac{u^{n+2}}{2^{k} k!} a_{n, \ell, I}^{(0)}\left(\gamma_{n, \ell, I}^{(1)}\right)^{k} g_{4+2 n+\ell, \ell}(u, v)$

> known!

s-channel consecutive cuts
comparison with flat space
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## All- loops in $\phi^{4}$

$$
\mathscr{H}^{(k)}(u, v) \supset \log ^{k} u \sum_{n, \ell} \frac{u^{n+2}}{2^{k} k!} a_{n, \ell}^{(0)}\left(\gamma_{n, \ell}^{(1)}\right)^{k} g_{4+2 n+\ell, \ell}(u, v)
$$



$$
\gamma^{(k)} \underset{\ell \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\log ^{k-3} \ell}{\ell^{2}}+\ldots
$$

> Can this behaviour constrain higher trace contribution?

AB, G. Fardelli, M.R. Khansari in progress
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## Conclusions

Approaches to study double and higher trace operators

Mostly for supersymmetric theories

In some cases, there are strong differences between the two

Understand how to resum the N expansion
Use this technology with integrability to include single traces

