First Results on Deeply Virtual Exclusive Experiments from the EXCLAIM Collaboration Marija Čuić **University of Virginia**



EXCLAIM (Exclusives with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning)

- ML/AI: Yaohang Li, Gia-Wei Chern, Douglas Adams, Tareq Alghamdi, Md. Fayaz Bin-Hossen, Anusha Reddy Singireddy, Siwen Liao
- Experiment: Marie Boër, Debaditya Biswas, Kemal Tezgin
- Lattice QCD: Michael Engelhardt, Huey-Wen Lin, Emanuel Ortiz Pacheco, Liam Hockley
- Phenomenology/Theory: Simonetta Liuti, Gary Goldstein, Dennis Sivers, Matt Sievert, MC, Saraswati Pandey, Joshua Bautista, Adil Khawaja, Zaki Panjsheeri, Carter Gustin, Andrew Dotson, Kiara Ruffin





$$\frac{d^{5}\sigma_{DVCS}}{dx_{B}dydtd\phi d\phi} \propto 4 (1 - x_{B}) \left(|\mathcal{H}|^{2} + |\mathcal{H}|^{2} \right) + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{A} \left(\xi, \Delta^{2}, Q^{2}\right) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{2\xi} {}^{A}T \left(x, \xi \mid \alpha_{s} \left(\mu_{R} \right), \left\{ \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu^{2}} \right\} \right) H^{A} \left(x, \xi, \Delta^{2}, \mu_{s} \right) + \dots$$
Soft scale soft



GPD models



Kumerički-Muller model





Goldstein-Gonzales-Liuti

CFF extraction



Hessian based approach, Hall A



ML calculations, Kumerički vs VAIM



Partons 2018

Can we benchmark our results?

VAIM (Variational Autoencoder Inverse Mapper)



C-VAIM architecture to extract CFFs

[arXiv:2405.05826]



Decoder after VAIM is trained





Latent space





We are not losing information on \mathfrak{ReH} , we are losing sign information for \mathfrak{SmH} , we cannot extract $\mathfrak{Sm\tilde{E}}$, etc...

Symbolic regression (PySR)

Data table				
	t	Q^2	ϕ	
	-0.1	2	0	
	-0.2	4	20	
	•	•	•	

Attempted expression

$$y + ax^2 + bx + c$$





Symbolic regression on lattice data



Huey-Wen Lin

Figures by Andrew Dotson



 $H^{SR}(X,t) = \frac{0.847517 - X}{\left(2X^2 + 0.458866\right)\left(-t + 0.549654\right)}$

Factorization of X and t!

SR on GGL



Figure by Andrew Dotson

loffe time distribution matching



PySR result:

$$\Re e \mathscr{M}(\nu) = \alpha + \frac{\beta}{\nu^2 - \nu + \gamma}$$
$$\alpha = 0.443, \beta = 29.89, \gamma = 20.6$$



Figures by Zaki Panjsheeri



Symbolic approximation - simplifying analytic expressions



$$\epsilon = \frac{1 - y - \frac{1}{4}y^2\gamma^2}{1 - y + \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^2\gamma^2}$$

$$\epsilon_{simplified} = \frac{1.006 - y - 0.20 \times \gamma \times y^2}{y^2 \times (0.25 \times \gamma + 0.45) - y + 0.99}$$

Figure by Anusha Singireddy



Likelihood analysis **Extracting CFFs from unpolarized DVCS data**



$$[\sigma_{UU}^{TOT}(\phi_A) - \sigma_{UU}^{BH}(\phi_A)] - [\sigma_{UU}^{TOT}(\phi_B) - \sigma_{UU}^{BH}(\phi_B)] = \sigma_{UU}^{INT}(\phi_A)$$

Single point likelihood

$$\mathscr{L}(row_A, row_B, 3CFFs) = Gaussian (x = \sigma_{obs}A - \sigma_{obs}B, \mu =$$

Total likelihood

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathsf{TOT}}(\mathsf{3CFFs}) = \mathbf{\Pi}_{A,B}\mathscr{L}(\mathsf{row}_A, \mathsf{row}_B, \mathsf{3CFFs})$$

= $\sigma_{\text{model}}(\phi_{\text{A}}, 3\text{CFFs}) - \sigma_{\text{model}}(\phi_{\text{B}}, 3\text{CFFs}), \sigma_{\text{err}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{errA}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{errB}}^2)$



Maximum likelihood result

$$E_{b} = 4.487, x_{B} = 0.483, Q^{2} = 2.710, t = -0.3906$$

$$\Re e \mathscr{H} = -11.40, \Re e \mathscr{E} = -15.09, \Re e \widetilde{\mathscr{H}} = -10.90$$

Best curve fit

$$\Re e \mathscr{H} = -11.42, \Re e \mathscr{E} = -15.06, \Re e \widetilde{\mathscr{H}} = -10.99$$





Figures by Doug Adams

Conclusion

- Can we use likelihood analysis to see what information is available in data?
 - Does DVCS factorize, does data see GPDs, does data contain physics?
- Can we benchmark as a community?
 - Combine ML methods and physics to understand uncertainties
- **Can we interpolate between kinematic regions?**
 - Are models reliable outside of regions where the re is no data?
- **Can we understand the latent space in order to constrain GPDs better?**
- **Can symbolic regression and approximation extract formulas from the data?**