A semi-analytical x-space solution for parton evolution

Application to non-singlet and singlet DGLAP equation

Juliane Haug

Universität Tübingen

QCD Evolution Workshop, May 2024

JH, Oliver Schüle, Fabian Wunder, arXiv:2404.18667

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Semi-analytical x-space solution for parton evolution
- 3. Numerical Analysis
- 4. Conclusion and Outlook

2/23

1. Motivation

1. Motivation

Our idea

- x-space ansatz for parton distributions
- Overcomplete family of spanning functions closed under evolution equation $\left(\frac{\ln^{m}(x)x^{n}}{m!} \text{ for DGLAP}\right)$
- Grasp analytic behaviour in x generated by evolution equation
- Transforms evolution equation into ODE for scale-dependent coefficients
- Truncate ODE to finite subsystem, solve numerically

Simplest example: Test idea on LO DGLAP equation

Our idea

- x-space ansatz for parton distributions
- Overcomplete family of spanning functions closed under evolution equation $\left(\frac{\ln^{m}(x)x^{n}}{m!} \text{ for DGLAP}\right)$
- Grasp analytic behaviour in x generated by evolution equation
- Transforms evolution equation into ODE for scale-dependent coefficients
- Truncate ODE to finite subsystem, solve numerically

Simplest example: Test idea on LO DGLAP equation

1. Motivation

Example: DGLAP equation

Evolution basis

$$q_{ ext{ns}, \, ij} \equiv q_i - q_j$$
 and $q_{ ext{s}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n_{ ext{f}}} [q_i + ar{q}_i]$

Non-singlet DGLAP equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\mu^2}\,q_{\mathrm{ns}}(\mu^2,x) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi}\int_x^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi}\,P_{qq}(\xi)\,q_{\mathrm{ns}}\left(\mu^2,\frac{x}{\xi}\right)$$

Singlet DGLAP equation

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}\ln\mu^2} \begin{pmatrix} q_\mathsf{s}(\mu^2, x) \\ g(\mu^2, x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha_\mathsf{s}(\mu^2)}{2\pi} \int_x^1 \frac{\mathsf{d}\xi}{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} P_{qq}(\xi) & 2n_\mathsf{f}P_{qg}(\xi) \\ P_{gq}(\xi) & P_{gg}(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_\mathsf{s}\left(\mu^2, \frac{x}{\xi}\right) \\ g\left(\mu^2, \frac{x}{\xi}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Existing methods

Mellin-space methods:

 Analytic solution in Mellin-space, numerical transformation to x-space partonevolution, QCD-PEGASUS, EKO

x-space methods:

- Discretization in x with interpolation ansatz to transform evolution equation into ODE QCDnum, APFEL, HOPPET, ChiliPDF
- Discretization in x and µ, differentiation and integration discretized, solved step-by-step BF1, BFP1
- Expand PDF and evolution kernels in Laguerre polynomials in ln(x), yields (truncated) sum over Laguerre coefficients, evolve recursively LAG1, LAG2NS

2. Semi-analytical *x*-space solution for parton evolution

General idea

• General integro-differential equation with integral operator $\mathbf{P}\otimes$ in $\vec{x}\text{-space}$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\mathbf{f}(\mu,\vec{x}) = (\mathbf{P}\otimes\mathbf{f})(\mu,\vec{x}) \tag{1}$$

• Ansatz with suitable set of spanning functions \mathbf{f}_m

$$\mathbf{f}(\mu, \vec{x}) = \sum_{m} a_{m}(\mu) \, \mathbf{f}_{m}(\vec{x})$$

▶ Transform (1) into ODE for coefficients

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}\mu}\mathsf{a}_m(\mu)\,=\,\mathcal{P}_{mn}(\mu)\,\mathsf{a}_n(\mu)\,.$$

- Truncate to finite system and solve numerically
- \blacktriangleright Evolution matrix ${\cal P}$ can be applied to any initial condition

Solving the DGLAP equation

• Ansatz:
$$q_{ns}(\mu^2, x) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, -1}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\mu^2) \frac{\ln^m(x) x^n}{m!}$$

Plug into LO DGLAP equation

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}a_{mn}(\mu^2)}{\mathrm{dln}\,\mu^2} \frac{\mathrm{ln}^m(x)\,x^n}{m!} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi} \, C_{\mathsf{F}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn}(\mu^2) \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{x} \, l_1^{m,n+1} + x \, l_1^{m,n-1} + 2 \, l_2^{m,n} + 2 \, \ln(1-x) \, \frac{\mathrm{ln}^m(x)\,x^n}{m!} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathrm{ln}^m(x)\,x^n}{m!} \right]$$

Collect wrt spanning functions

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{a}_{MN}(\mu^2)}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{ln}\,\mu^2}\,=\,\frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{P}_{MN}^{ij}\,\mathsf{a}_{ij}(\mu^2)$$

Truncate infinite system of equations

$$q(\mu^{2}, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{M_{\max}} \sum_{n=0,-1}^{N_{\max}(m)} a_{mn}(\mu^{2}) \frac{\ln^{m}(x) x^{n}}{m!}$$

Solving the DGLAP equation

Differential equation for the coefficients

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}\mathbf{a}_{MN}(\mu^2)}{\mathsf{d}\ln\mu^2} \,=\, \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{P}^{ij}_{MN}\,\mathbf{a}_{ij}(\mu^2)$$

Solved numerically, e.g. by matrix exponential

$$a_{MN}(\mu^2) = \prod_{k=0}^{K} \exp[\Omega(\mu_{k+1}^2, \mu_k^2)] a_{MN}(\mu_0^2), \quad \mu_K^2 = \mu^2$$

 \blacktriangleright With Magnus expansion, $\Omega\,=\,\Omega_1\,+\,\Omega_2\,+\,\ldots,$

$$\Omega_{1}(\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2}) = \int_{\ln\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\ln\mu^{2}} d\ln\mu_{1}^{2} \left(\alpha_{s}(\mu_{1}^{2}) \mathcal{P}^{(0)} + \alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu_{1}^{2}) \mathcal{P}^{(1)} + \alpha_{s}^{3}(\mu_{1}^{2}) \mathcal{P}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4}) \right)$$

$$\Omega_{2}(\mu^{2},\mu_{0}^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\ln\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\ln\mu^{2}} d\ln\mu_{1}^{2} \int_{\ln\mu_{0}^{2}}^{\ln\mu_{1}^{2}} d\ln\mu_{2}^{2} \alpha_{s}(\mu_{1}^{2}) \alpha_{s}^{2}(\mu_{2}^{2}) \left[\mathcal{P}^{(0)}, \mathcal{P}^{(1)} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4})$$

LO, NLO, NNLO contribution

10/23

3. Numerical Analysis

Contribution of different basis functions



Magnitude of entries in the non-singlet evolution matrix



Ordered by (m, n), i.e. (1, x, x², ..., ln(x), x ln(x), ...)
 Cut-off parameters (α, β) = (2, 11)

Choice of basis cut-off

Numerical performance may be spoiled by

- (1) omitting "large" basis functions
- (2) omitting matrix elements strongly coupling to initial condition
- (3) large coefficients / very large number of non-negligible coefficients in initial conditions
 - Choose suitable basis functions to avoid (3)
 - ▶ (1) and (2) determine sensible cut-off
 - Finite cut-off always leads to (1), precise evolution possible if omitted basis functions do not couple strongly to initial conditions
 - ▶ Rescaling basis functions shuffles overall factors between (1) (3)

→ Check numerical performance for sufficiently realistic example

Choice of basis cut-off

Numerical performance may be spoiled by

- (1) omitting "large" basis functions
- (2) omitting matrix elements strongly coupling to initial condition
- (3) large coefficients / very large number of non-negligible coefficients in initial conditions
 - Choose suitable basis functions to avoid (3)
 - ▶ (1) and (2) determine sensible cut-off
 - Finite cut-off always leads to (1), precise evolution possible if omitted basis functions do not couple strongly to initial conditions
 - ▶ Rescaling basis functions shuffles overall factors between (1) (3)

⇒ Check numerical performance for sufficiently realistic example

Comparison to Les Houches benchmark tables

- Benchmark values for checking PDF evolution codes [hep-ph/02043160]
- Parametrize input distributions in terms of spanning functions
- ► Evolve from $\mu_0^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ to $\mu^2 = 10^4 \text{ GeV}^2$ using POMPOM Mathematica code for LO DGLAP evolution



Check sum rule violation

Self-contained measure for quality of evolution

Momentum sum rule

$$\int_0^1 dx \, x \, (q_s(x) \, + \, g(x)) \, = \, 1$$

► Flavor sum rule

$$\int_0^1 dx \, q_{v,i}(x) = \int_0^1 dx \, (q_i(x) - \overline{q}_i(x)) = \text{ number of valence quarks } i$$

Comparison to Les Houches benchmark tables



Cutoff optimization for 200 basis functions

- Use gradient descent on cutoff parameters (α, β)
- ► Tested for 50, 100, 150, 200 basis functions

Evolution of a full set of PDFs



- ▶ GRV98 input distributions at $\mu_0^2 = 0.26 \, \mathrm{GeV^2}$ [hep-ph/9806404]
- Sum-rule violation as metric to optimize cutoff
- Variable flavor number scheme

Comparison to Mellin-space evolution



 ~ 50 basis functions valence-like distributions and ~ 100 for sea (additional 1/x terms for sea)

Comparison to Mellin-space evolution



▶ 200 basis functions

20 / 23

Difference between singlet PDFs $x q_s(x)$

- Evolve from scale $\mu_0^2 = 0.26 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$ to $\mu^2 = 10^8 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$
- Cut-off parameters $\alpha = \beta = 2$



21/23

4. Conclusion and Outlook

4. Conclusion and Outlook

4. Conclusion and Outlook

Conclusion and Outlook

- Good agreement with Les Houches benchmarks and Mellin evolution
- Sum rule violations $< 10^{-6}$ for $\mathcal{O}(200)$ basis functions
- Truncation effects under control, can be systematically improved
- ▶ POMPOM: Mathematica and Python implementation of LO DGLAP

- Higher order DGLAP evolution
- Apply POMPOM-method to similar evolution equations
- Improve cut-off optimization

5. Backup slides

Relation to Mellin-space

Mellin transform *M* of the non-singlet pdf

$$\mathcal{M}[q_{\rm ns}](\mu^2,s) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{s-1} \, q_{\rm ns}(\mu^2,x) = \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty a_{mn}(\mu^2) \, \frac{(-1)^m}{(n+s)^{m+1}}$$

Coefficients a_{mn} in Mellin-space identical to coefficients in x-space

- Scale evolution decouples from switch x-space \leftrightarrow Mellin-space
- ► Spanning functions ^{(-1)^m}/_{(n+s)^{m+1}} are meromorphic functions of s on C, poles on real axis for s = n
- Exploit to obtain simple analytic form of Mellin transform of PDF

Timing



Initialization time for non-singlet

Initialization time for singlet

Back Evolution



GRV98 input distributions and parameters

• LO input distributions at $\mu_0^2 = 0.26 \, {
m GeV}^2 \, \left(\Delta \equiv ar d - ar u
ight)$

$$\begin{aligned} x \, u_v \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= 1.239 \, x^{0.48} \, (1-x)^{2.72} \left(1 - 1.8 \sqrt{x} + 9.5x \right) \\ x \, d_v \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= 0.614 \, (1-x)^{0.9} \, x \, u_v \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) \\ x \, \Delta \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= 0.23 \, x^{0.48} \, (1-x)^{11.3} \left(1 - 12.0 \sqrt{x} + 50.9x \right) \\ x \left(\bar{u} + \bar{d} \right) \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= 1.52 \, x^{0.15} \, (1-x)^{9.1} \left(1 - 3.6 \sqrt{x} + 7.8x \right) \\ x \, g \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= 17.47 \, x^{1.6} \, (1-x)^{3.8} \\ x \, s \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) &= x \, \bar{s} \left(x, \mu_0^2 \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

• Running coupling $\alpha_s(\mu^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}\right)$ with $\beta_0 \equiv 11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f$

► QCD mass scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^{(n_f=3,4,5,6)} = 204, 175, 132, 66.5 \, \mathrm{MeV}$

 \blacktriangleright Thresholds for $\mathit{n_{f}}=4,5,6$ at $\mu^{2}=1.96,20.25,30625\,\mathrm{GeV^{2}}$

Master integrals

$$I_{1}^{m,n} \equiv x^{n} \int_{x}^{1} d\xi \frac{\xi^{-n} - 1}{1 - \xi} \frac{\ln^{m}(x/\xi)}{m!} \\ = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{-n-1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{m+1-j}} \frac{\ln^{j}(x)x^{n}}{j!} - \frac{x^{k+n+1}}{(k+1)^{m+1}} \right] & n \leq -2 \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\ln^{k}(x)}{k!} + x & n = -1 \\ n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & n = 0 \\ (-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{x^{-k}}{k^{m+1}} - \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k H_{n-1,k+1} \frac{\ln^{m-k}(x)}{(m-k)!} - \frac{\ln^{m+1}(x)}{(m+1)!} & n \ge 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$I_2^{m,n} \equiv x^n \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{(1-\xi)} \frac{\ln^m(x/\xi) - \ln^m(x)}{m!}$$

= $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{m-k+1} \frac{\ln^k(x)}{k!} + \frac{\ln^m(x)}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^{m+1}}$

$$I_{3}^{m,n} \equiv x^{n} \int_{x}^{1} d\xi \frac{\ln^{m}(x/\xi) \xi^{-n}}{m!}$$

=
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(1-n)^{m+1-j}} \frac{\ln^{j}(x) x^{n}}{j!} - \frac{x}{(1-n)^{m+1}} & n \neq 1 \\ -\frac{\ln^{m+1}(x) x}{(m+1)!} & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

ODE for LO non-singlet coefficients

$$\mathbf{b} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} \, a_{MN}(\mu^2)}{\mathrm{d} \ln \mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2) \, C_{\mathsf{F}}}{2\pi} \left[\sum_{m > M} \alpha(N, m - M) a_{mN} + \beta(N) \, a_{MN} \right. \\ \left. + \gamma(N) \left(\delta_{M0} \sum_{\substack{m,n \\ n \neq N}} \frac{a_{mn}}{(N - n)^{m+1}} + a_{M-1,N} \right) \right]$$

•
$$\alpha(N, l) \equiv \begin{cases} 2\zeta_{l+1} - 1 & N = 0\\ 2\zeta_{l+1} - (-1)^l & N = 1\\ 2\zeta_{l+1} - (-1)^l (H_{N,l+1} + H_{N-2,l+1}) & N \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(N) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & N = 0, 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} - H_N - H_{N-2} & N \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma(N) \equiv \left\{ egin{array}{cc} -1 & N=0,1\ -2 & N\geq 2 \end{array}
ight.$$

• $H_{n,m} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k^m}$ and $H_n \equiv H_{n,1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$