# Phenomenology of semi-leptonic B meson decays

Santo Stefano Balbo - Theory group retreat Alexandre Carvunis - 11/11/2023



### Testing the Standard Model of particle physics The big picture

- We are certain that there is something beyond the Standard Model (SM) dark matter, matter-antimatter asymmetry, quantum gravity, neutrino mass, etc.
- Yet, no (significant) sign of Beyond the SM physics has been observed in collider experiments, in neither direct and indirect searches.
- We reached maximum c.o.m. energy in the collision of the LHC, higher energies will not be probed (in colliders) before decades...
- High luminosity will allow us to measure rare processes and improve the measurement of most observables, and test the SM with a better sensitivity to potential small New Physics effects
- TH can be the limiting factor for discove enters the picture.

### TH can be the limiting factor for discovery, notably when non-perturbative QCD



## Confinement scale of QCD





## Why B mesons?

- The b quark is the Goldilocks quark for phenomenology, not too light, not too heavy
  - $m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$  allows for perturbative expansion in  $1/m_b$  with good results (HQS, HQE, HQET, NRQCD, etc)
  - $m_h \ll m_t$ ,  $m_W$ : the physics can be described by 'simple' EFTs
- Simultaneously B mesons are now measured accurately:
  - $B^0(\bar{b}d)$  and  $B^+(\bar{b}u)$  can be produced in B factories  $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B}$ (small background, full angular reconstruction), BaBar, Belle, Belle II
  - Higher luminosity of B mesons (and more flavors  $B_s, B_c$ ) are produced and measured at the LHC thanks to the large energy at the center of mass, measurements by ATLAS, CMS, LHCb. (Large background, forward detection only at LHCb)







### Why semi-leptonic decays? **Decay channel Goldilocks**

Rare decay, small TH error





 $\pi^{-}$  $D^+$ 

Leptonic

Semi-leptonic

Hadronic



### **Charged vs. Neutral currents** FCCC vs FCNC



- Tree-level in the SM: Large Branching Fraction
- Heavy to heavy meson decay
- E.g.  $B \to D^{(*)}, B_c \to J/\psi$
- Amplitude proportional to  $V_{cb}$
- $b \rightarrow u$  also measured although CKM suppressed w.r.t.  $b \rightarrow c$



 $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ 

- Loop only in the SM: small branching fraction
- Sensitive to small BSM contributions
- Heavy to light meson decay
- E.g.  $B \to K^{(*)}, B_s \to \phi$







Sketches by Javier Virto

### Amplitude of semileptonic B decays in the WET







## Amplitude of $B \rightarrow M\ell\ell$ decays

$$\mathcal{M}(B \to M\ell\ell) = \left\langle M\ell\ell \left| H_{b \to s\ell\ell} \right| B \right\rangle = \mathcal{N}$$

### Local contributions

$$A_{V}^{\mu} = -\frac{2im_{b}}{q^{2}}C_{7}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}P_{R}b \right| B \right\rangle + C_{9}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b \right| \right.$$
$$A_{A}^{\mu} = C_{10}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b \right| B \right\rangle + \left(P_{L} \leftrightarrow P_{R}, C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$$
$$A_{S,P} = C_{S,P}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}P_{R}b \right| B \right\rangle + \left(P_{L} \leftrightarrow P_{R}, C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$$

 $\left(A_V^{\mu} + T^{\mu}\right)\bar{u}_{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}v_{\ell} + A_A^{\mu}\bar{u}_{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 v_{\ell} + A_S\bar{u}_{\ell}v_{\ell} + A_P\bar{u}_{\ell}\gamma_5 v_{\ell}\right)$ 

 $\left| B \right\rangle + \left( P_L \leftrightarrow P_R, C_i \to C'_i \right)$ 9,10,S,P... TIM B B Μ





### Amplitude of $B \rightarrow M\ell\ell$ decays Local contributions - definition of the form factors

• 3 independent f.f. for B to pseudoscalar meson:

$$\left\langle P(k) \left| \bar{q}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = \left[ (p+k)^{\mu} - \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{P}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} \right] f_{+}^{B \to P} + \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{P}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} f_{0}^{B - P} \right]$$

$$P(k) \left| \bar{q}_{1} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = \frac{i f_{T}^{B \to P}}{m_{B} + m_{P}} \left[ q^{2} (p+k)^{\mu} - \left( m_{B}^{2} - m_{P}^{2} \right) q^{\mu} \right]$$

• 7 independent f.f. for B to vector meson:

$$A_3^{B \to V} \equiv \frac{m_B + m_V}{2m_V} A_1^{B \to V} - \frac{m_B - m_V}{2m_V} A_2^{B \to V}.$$

$$\left\langle V(k,\eta) \left| \bar{q}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \eta_{\nu}^{*} p_{\rho} k_{\sigma} \frac{2V^{B \to V}}{m_{B} + m_{V}} \left\langle V(k,\eta) \left| \bar{q}_{1} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = i \eta_{\nu}^{*} [g^{\mu\nu} \left( m_{B} + m_{V} \right) A_{1}^{B \to V} - \frac{(p+k)^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{m_{B} + m_{V}} A_{2}^{B \to V} - q^{\mu} q^{\nu} \frac{2m_{V}}{q^{2}} \left( A_{3} - A_{0} \right) \right] \left\langle V(k,\eta) \left| \bar{q}_{1} i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \eta_{\nu}^{*} p_{\rho} k_{\sigma} 2T_{1}^{B \to V} \left\langle V(k,\eta) \left| \bar{q}_{1} i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \gamma_{5} b \right| B(p) \right\rangle = i \eta_{\nu}^{*} [ \left( g^{\mu\nu} \left( m_{B}^{2} - m_{V}^{2} \right) - (p+k)^{\mu} q^{\nu} \right) T_{2}^{B \to V} + q^{\nu} \left( q^{\mu} - \frac{q^{2}}{m_{B}^{2} - m_{V}^{2}} (p+k)^{\mu} \right) \right)$$





## Amplitude of $B \rightarrow M\ell\ell$ decays

$$\mathscr{M}(B \to M\ell\ell) = \left\langle M\ell\ell \left| H_{\text{eff}} \right| B \right\rangle = \mathscr{N}\left[ \left( A \right)^{2} \right]$$

### Local contributions

$$A_{V}^{\mu} = -\frac{2im_{b}}{q^{2}}C_{7}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}P_{R}b \right| B \right\rangle + C_{9}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b \right| \right.$$
$$A_{A}^{\mu} = C_{10}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}b \right| B \right\rangle + \left(P_{L} \leftrightarrow P_{R}, C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$$
$$A_{S,P} = C_{S,P}\left\langle M \left| \bar{s}P_{R}b \right| B \right\rangle + \left(P_{L} \leftrightarrow P_{R}, C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$$

### **Non-Local contributions**

$$T^{\mu} = \frac{-16i\pi^2}{q^2} \sum_{i=1,...,6,8} C_i \int dx^4 e^{iq \cdot x} \left\langle M \right| T \left\{ j_{\text{em}}^{\mu}(x), O_i \right\}$$
$$j_{\text{em}}^{\mu} = \sum_{q} Q_q \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$$

 $A_V^{\mu} + T^{\mu} ) \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} v_{\ell} + A_A^{\mu} \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 v_{\ell} + A_S \bar{u}_{\ell} v_{\ell} + A_P \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_5 v_{\ell}$ 

 $\left| B \right\rangle + \left( P_L \leftrightarrow P_R, C_i \to C'_i \right)$ 9,10,S,P... TIM B B Μ В Μ



## **Calculation of the matrix elements**

$$\mathcal{M}(B \to M\ell\ell) = \left\langle M\ell\ell \left| H_{\text{eff}} \right| B \right\rangle = \mathcal{N}\left[ \left( A \right) \right]$$

### Local contributions

- At high-q2, computed on the lattice
- At low-q2:
  - Lattice available only for certain processes
  - Analytic approach: e.g. Light-Cone Sum Rule (LCSR)

### **Non-Local contributions**

- At low-q2 from QCD factorization (QCDF)
- On the entire kinematic range, we only know 'dispersive bounds' GRvDV 22, which are conservative upper bounds

 $A_V^{\mu} + T^{\mu} ) \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} v_{\ell} + A_A^{\mu} \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 v_{\ell} + A_S \bar{u}_{\ell} v_{\ell} + A_P \bar{u}_{\ell} \gamma_5 v_{\ell}$ 

 $q^{2} = (p_{\ell} + p_{\ell'})^{2}$ 





### **Optimized observables Ratio and angular observables**

$$\begin{split} R_{K^{(*)}} &= \frac{BR(B \to K^{(*)}\mu\mu)}{BR(B \to K^{(*)}ee)} & \qquad \text{Golden of } \\ R_{D^{(*)}} &= \frac{BR(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{BR(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)} & \qquad \sim 1~\% \text{ TH} \end{split}$$

 $d^4$  $dq^2 d\cos\theta_K$ 

$$= \frac{BR(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)}{BR(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)} / F_{L} \cos^{2}\theta_{K} + \frac{3}{4}F_{T}(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K}) - F_{L}\cos^{2}\theta_{K}(2\cos^{2}\theta_{\ell} - 1) + \frac{1}{2}P_{1}F_{T}(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K})(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{\ell})\cos 2\hat{\phi} + 2P_{2}F_{T}(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K})\cos\theta_{\ell} - P_{3}F_{T}(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{K})(1 - \cos^{2}\theta_{\ell})\sin 2\hat{\phi} ] \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^{2}}, \quad (4)$$
From 1207 2753 Deceder Graph Metics, Parame Visto

From 1207.2753 Descotes-Genon, Matias, Ramon, Virto

### bservables, robust to TH uncertainties FU, deviations is a smoking gun for NP

### uncertaintv

## **Status of the B-anomalies**

 $BR(b \rightarrow s\ell\ell)$ 

 $B \rightarrow Kee$  obtained from  $B \rightarrow K\mu\mu$  and  $R_K$ Pull very TH dependent

Leptonic B decays, TH clean, tension gone after LHCb 2021 Exp upper bound only for  $B^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 

Optimized angular observables

 $P'_5(B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu)$  (LHCb 2021) also  $P_2$  and  $Q_5$  (Belle 2017) still standing

Ratio observables for  $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  at low q2, TH clean, no more anomalie after LHCb 2022

Ratio observables for  $b \rightarrow c\ell\nu$ , TH clean, Anomalies in  $R(D^{(*)})$  remain after many measurements

 $q^2 = (p_{\ell} + p_{\ell'})^2$ 





# Global fits for $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}$ observables



### State-of-the-art global fit of $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}$ observables **EFT** assuming NP in the tau sector only

$$\mathscr{H}_{eff} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \left[ (1 + C_{V_L}) O_{V_L}^{\tau} + C_{V_R} O_{V_R}^{\tau} + C_{S_R} O_{S_R}^{\tau} + C_{S_L} O_{S_L}^{\tau} + C_T O_T^{\tau} \right],$$

Observables can conveniently be expressed in polynomials of WCs

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{D}} &= R_{\mathcal{D}}^{\rm SM} \left\{ |C_{V_L}^{\rm SM} + C_{V_L} + C_{V_R}|^2 \\ &+ 1. \quad |C_{S_L} + C_{S_R}|^2 \\ &+ 0. \quad |C_T|^2 \\ &+ 0. \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\rm SM} + C_{V_L} + C_{V_R}) C_T^* \right] \\ &+ 1. \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\rm SM} + C_{V_L} + C_{V_R}) (C_{S_L}^* + C_{S_R}^*) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} O_{V_{L,R}}^{\tau} &= (\bar{c}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L,R}b)(\bar{\tau}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\nu_{\tau})\\ O_{S_{L,R}}^{\tau} &= (\bar{c}P_{L,R}b)(\bar{\tau}P_{L}\nu_{\tau}),\\ O_{T}^{\tau} &= (\bar{c}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_{L}b)(\bar{\tau}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_{L}\nu_{\tau}) \,. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{D}^*} &= R_{\mathcal{D}^*}^{\text{SM}} \left\{ (|C_{V_L}^{\text{SM}} + C_{V_L}|^2 + |C_{V_R}|^2) \\ &+ 0. \quad |C_{S_R} - C_{S_L}|^2 \\ &+ 16. \quad |C_T|^2 \\ &- 1. \quad \text{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\text{SM}} + C_{V_L}) C_{V_R}^* \right] \\ &+ 6. \quad \text{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\text{SM}} + C_{V_L}) C_T^* \right] \\ &+ 6. \quad \text{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\text{SM}} + C_{V_L}) C_T^* \right] \\ &+ 0. \quad \text{Re} \left[ (C_{V_L}^{\text{SM}} + C_{V_L} - C_{V_R}) (C_{S_R}^* - C_{S_L}^*) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

# State-of-the-art global fit of $b \to c \tau \bar{\nu}$ observables Available data

Observable	Measurement	
$R_D$	BaBar [403], Belle [183,404]	
$R_{D^*}$	BaBar [403], Belle [183, 404, 405], LHC	
$F_L(B_0  o D^*  au ar  u)$	Belle [408]	
${ m BR}(B_c  o  au  u)$	LEP [409]	
$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} (B  o D^{(*)} \tau \bar{\nu})$	BaBar [403], Belle [183]	
$R_{J/\psi}$	LHCb [195]	
$R_{\Lambda_c}$	LHCb [197]	

### + 2023 data: CMS R(J/Psi), Belle II R(Xc), R(D\*)



### **SM prediction**

J. High Energy Phys. 11, 7 (2022)



### State-of-the-art global fit of $b \rightarrow c \tau \bar{\nu}$ observables Preliminary results (not up to date) - using flavio + smelli



LQ	WC	SM pull	$\chi^2/N_{\rm dof}$
$S_{3}, U_{3}$	$C_{VL} = 0.088$	$4.90\sigma$	53.8/64
$U_1$	$C_{VL} = 0.097$ $C_{SR} = -0.035$	$4.54\sigma$	53.6/63
<i>S</i> <sub>1</sub>	$C_{VL} = 0.111$ $C_{SL} = -8.2C_T$ $= -0.058$	$4.57\sigma$	53.3/6.

Running and matching from  $M_{LQ} = 1.5 TeV$  to  $\mu = m_b$ Other bounds are available from e.g. EW precision obs.



## $B \rightarrow K$ local form factor from lightcone sum rules with B-meson LC distribution amplitude

## $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \mu \mu \text{ in } 2023$





## **LCSR vs Lattice QCD** $B \rightarrow K$ form factor

- Low-q2 range has long been out of reach of lattice, HPQCD's result has not been reproduced yet
- HPQCD generally agrees with LCSR predictions (e.g. Khodjamirian 2017) and comes with smaller uncertainty
- LCSR with B-meson DA's has been computed including DA expansion up to twist-5 and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ corrections in SCET by CHSWW, they find significantly smaller FFs. -0.3

Goal: expand CHSWW's result to HQET





### **Procedure for Light Cone Sum Rules** $J_{weak}^{\nu} = \bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}b$ b $0) \ \bar{B}(P_B = q + k) >$ S $J_{int}^{\nu} = \bar{d}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_5 s$ heavy $m_b$ limit Integral dominated by contributions on the light cone $x^2 \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}^2$ $d^4x T(x) \Phi(x)$ $\Pi^{\mu\nu} =$ Perturbative piece Non local matrix element Near the LC: $\Phi$ expandable in twists (Twist = dimension - spin) Using LC B-meson distribution amplitudes $I_n(s)$ $f_B m_B$ as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{(s-k^2)^n}$

Then, quark hadron duality...









# NLO correction to LCSR with B-meson DA's $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \Pi^{0}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\Delta \pi} \Pi^{1}_{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad \Pi^{\mu\nu}(q,k) = i \int d^{4}x e^{ik.x} < 0 \quad TJ^{\nu}_{int}(x) J^{\mu}_{weak}(0) \quad \bar{B}(P_{B} = q + k) > 0$

 $\Pi^{0}_{\mu\nu} = T^{0}_{\mu\nu} \otimes \Phi^{0}$  See e.g. GKvD 1811.00983



Trick:  $T^{i}$  is independent of the long distance physics, to compute them we can go to the limit where the external states are partonic where  $\Pi$  is directly computable, and replace  $\Phi$  with  $\Phi \equiv$  light cone wave function at tree-level







## Summary and prospects

- Deviations in charged current B decays subsists in  $R(D^{(*)})$ 
  - Global fits of EFTs provide precious information about the nature of the putative NP
  - Theory papers used need to be cited properly when using public codes (not always easy!)
- Deviations in neutral current B decays subsist in BR's and angular observables
  - In BR, TH uncertainty is as large or larger than EXP and is dominated by local form factor uncertainties
  - For  $B \to K$ , LQCD and LCSR with B-meson DA's in SCET with NLO give incompatible FFs, we are computing these FFs in HQET
  - Using B-meson DA's lets us compute many different FF:  $B \to K^{(*)}, \pi, \rho, D^{(*)}, \dots$

Backup





### HPQCD Collaboration - 2207.13371

## **Procedure for Light Cone Sum Rules**

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q,k) = i \int d^4x e^{ik.x} < 0 \ TJ^{\nu}_{int}(x) J^{\mu}_{weak}(0)$$

Correlation function of B to vacuum (also possible with final meson to vacuum)

- 1) Express  $\Pi$  in function of the non-perturbative quantities that we want to calculate
- 2) Compute  $\Pi$  perturbatively
- 3) 1) = 2) + use of quark-hadron duality









$$\pi(x) J_{\text{weak}}^{\mu}(0) \ \bar{B}(P_{B} = q + k) >$$

$$\text{HQET - heavy } m_{b} \text{ limit}$$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{d^{4}p'}{(2\pi)^{4}} e^{i(k-p').x} \left[ \Gamma_{2}^{\nu} \frac{p' + m_{1}}{m_{1}^{2} - p'^{2}} \Gamma_{1}^{\mu} \right]_{\alpha\beta} < 0 \ \bar{q}_{2}^{\alpha}(x) h_{\nu}^{\beta}(0)$$





## HQET - heavy $m_b$ limit $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q^{2},k^{2}) = \frac{\langle 0 \ j_{\nu} \ M(k) \rangle \langle M(k) \ j_{\mu} \ B \rangle}{m_{\nu}^{2} - k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho^{\mu\nu}}{s - k^{2}} \qquad \Pi^{\mu\nu} = \int d^{4}x \int \frac{d^{4}p'}{(2\pi)^{4}} e^{i(k-p').x} \left[ \Gamma_{2}^{\nu} \frac{p' + m_{1}}{m_{1}^{2} - p'^{2}} \Gamma_{1}^{\mu} \right] \qquad < 0 \ \bar{q}_{2}^{\alpha}(x) h_{\nu}^{\beta}(0) \ \bar{B}(v) > 0$ Integral dominated by terms on the light cone $x^2 \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD}^2$ $= f_B m_B \int_0^{+\infty} ds \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{I_n(s)}{(s-k^2)^n}$ Near the LC: Expansion in twists (Twist = dimension - spin) In terms of **LC B-meson** distribution amplitudes $k^2 \ll \Lambda_{\text{had}}^2$ $\tilde{q} \le m_b^2 + m_b k^2 / \Lambda_{\text{had}}$ 32



## **Quark-Hadron Duality at leading order in twist**

$$K^{(F)}\frac{F(q^{2})}{m^{2}-k^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{s_{0}^{h}}^{+\infty} ds \frac{\rho(s)}{s-k^{2}} = \Pi = f_{B}m_{B}\int_{0}^{+\infty} ds \frac{I_{1}(s)}{s-k^{2}}$$
  
Borel transform  
$$K^{(F)}F(q^{2}) e^{-m^{2}/M^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{s_{0}^{h}}^{+\infty} ds\rho(s) e^{-s/M^{2}} = \Pi = f_{B}m_{B}\int_{0}^{+\infty} ds I_{1}(s)e^{-s/M^{2}}$$

Semi-global quark hadron duality: there is a 
$$s_0$$
 such that  

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{s_0^h}^{+\infty} ds \,\rho(s) \, e^{-s/M^2} \simeq \int_{s_0}^{+\infty} ds \, \mathrm{Im} \,\Pi^{\mathrm{pert}}(\mathbf{q}^2, \mathbf{s}) \, e^{-s/M^2} \simeq \mathrm{f_Bm_B} \int_{s_0}^{+\infty} ds \, I_1(s) e^{-s/M^2}$$

$$F(q^{2}) = \frac{f_{B}m_{B}}{K^{(F)}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} ds I_{1}(s) e^{\frac{-s+m^{2}}{M^{2}}}$$

$$\mathscr{B}_{M^{2}}f(k^{2}) = \lim_{\substack{-k^{2}, n \to \infty \\ \frac{-k^{2}}{n} = M^{2}}} \frac{(-q^{2})^{n+1}}{n!} \left(\frac{d}{dk^{2}}\right)^{n}$$

 $M^2$ : Borel parameter  $s_0$ : Duality threshold

unknown systematic error



## How to determine the threshold parameter $s_0$

$$F(q^{2}) = \frac{f_{B}m_{B}}{K^{(F)}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} ds I_{1}(s) e^{\frac{-s+m^{2}}{M^{2}}}$$

Threshold  $s_0$  can be determined by looking for independence wrt  $M^2$ 

Daughter sum rule: -

$$\frac{d}{dM^2}F(q^2) = 0$$

Alexandre Carvunis - Moriond QCD 2023



**Range of the Borel parameter** E.g. for  $B \rightarrow K$ :  $M^2 \in [0.5, 1.5] \text{ GeV}^2$ 

