Cryogenis for ET and the need for an integrated test of ET-LF experimental configuration

Fulvio Ricci

Cooling is better: Cryogenics for ET

Room-Temperature ET-LF

Cryogenic ET-LF





Two types of advantages emerge from cooling at very low temperature, one based on macroscopic quantum effect, namely superconductivity and superfluidity, and the other based on the general reduction of the k T thermal noise, thermal expansion, thermal electromotive force, creep, etc...

(W. M. Fairbank *The Use of Low Temperature Technology in Gravitaitonal Experimennts*, in Exprimental Gravitation , Proceedings of the International School of Physics "E. Fermi" – 1972, LVI course B. Bertotti editor,)

Mirror cooling: two configurations under study *The robust solution* → *R&D in Roma Sapienza...*



KAGRA lesson

<u>Tomohiro Yamada Et al.</u> *High performance thermal link with small spring constant for cryogenic applications*, <u>Cryogenics</u> <u>Volume</u> <u>116</u>, June 2021, 103280



The blue line is an estimated thermal conductivity curve for the case of deformation given by wrapping around a cylinder 10 cm diameter .

Smaller spring constant k reduce overall vibration transfer.



Fig. 1. Details of the developed thermal link. Outer diameter of the strand approximately 1 mm. Left tip is loosened to show details.





https://cds.cern.ch/record/1973682/files/arXiv:1501.07100.pdf

R&D on thermal links

ET-0375A-23 - Progress at the Amaldi Research Center in Rome



Few numbers to keep in mind

Pulse Tube refrigerators. Examples of nominal performances - CRYOMEC PT407 - 0.7 W@4.2 K -- 25 W @55K + compr. CPA 2870 → 7 kW PT410 - 1.0 W@ 4.2 K -- 40 W @ 45 K + compr. CPA 289 C → 7.9 kW PT420 – 2W@ 4.2k – 55 W @45 K + compr. CPA1114 – 11.4 kW PT425 – 2.7W@4.2 K – 55W@45 K + compr. CPA1114 → 12kW PT450 -- 5 W @ 4.2K - 65 W@ 45 K + compr. CPA3027 → 25 kW

 $COP_r \sim 10^{-4}@4 \text{ K} \rightarrow \sim 3 \times 10^{-3}@50 \text{ K}$

(COP_r - Coefficient Of Performance_{refrigeration})

Thermal input per unit surface due to radiation: the order of magnitude

(1/S) dQ/dt $\simeq \varepsilon \sigma (T_a^4 - T_b^4)$ ~0.2 x 5.68 x10⁻⁸ ($T_a^4 - T_b^4$) W K⁴/m²

 $T_a = 300 K$ $T_b = 50 K$ (1/S) $dQ/dt \sim 100 W/m^2$ ($\varepsilon = 0.2$)

First thermal shield \Rightarrow S \gtrsim 50 m² We should provided a refrigeration power of ~ 5 kW (electric power in the cavern of ~1.7 MW)

Super-insulation \rightarrow High risk of pollution Standard solutions Liquid Nitrogen \rightarrow safety issues in cavern Enthalpy of the cold helium gas

R&D in Rome: C75 cryostat to test the payload





50,

250,

E. Majorana, F. Van Long Hoang, E.Benedetti, V. Mangano, M. Ricci

ET-0356A-23

Cryostat Superinsulation–Rigid Multi Layer

- Multiple low emissivity aluminum foils supported by insulating rods at each corner (n_{layers} >15).
- Distance between each foil 4 mm



Mirror cooling: two configurations under study *Quantum fluid payload* → *R&D at Karlsruhe Inst. Tech. - KIT*



R&D in Karlsrhue: quantum fluid payload





Open points to be studied on a dedicated R&D:

- payload control (tube stiffeness too high)
- sound transmission via fluid
- residual losses in the normal component of HeII fluid at $T \neq 0$
- residual dissipation of the metallic tube
- killing vortex formaton in the He II





See X. Koroveshi et al. Physical Review D 108.123009

Test facility for cryogenic suspension studies for the Einstein Telescope





- Gravitational wave detectors cooled with superfluid helium
- Q measurement test facility for:
 - Full-size suspension fibers/rods and tubes
 - Investigation of loss contributions in suspensions
 - He-II integration in Q measurements
 - Proof of concept for He-II based payload cooling for ET-LF



Cryogenic payload suspension studies [*]





[*] Koroveshi X, Rapagnani P, Mangano V, Stamm M, Grohmann S, 2024 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. submitted





The most quiet cryostat for ET: a provisional design





Key features of the cryostat

- Bottom access
- Several layers of thermal shielding
- Vacuum separation between the upper warm tower and the beam pipe vacua
- External dimensions
- $\phi \approx 4.5 \text{ m} h \approx 6.4 \text{ m}$

Innermost shield h=3.8 m , φ =3.0 m @ 2K Hosting a payload up to a 1250 mm height with a 600 mm mirror

Cooling the shields

- 2 K 🗲 He II
- 5 K → supercritical He⁴
- 50 80 K → Helium Gas exchange
- Extra shields to insure superinsulation



A provisional rendering of ET-LF cavern with Cryostat, Cryotraps and Suspension



The 2 K shield geometry

L Busch, G Iaquaniello, P Rosier, M Stamm and S Grohmann - ET 0297A -23



Innermost shield: Temperature distribution and Refrigeration power

L Busch, G Iaquaniello, P Rosier, M Stamm and S Grohmann - ET 0297A -23



Mechanical resonances of the inner shield

Free modal analysis results (credits G. Iaquaniello and P Rosier from IjClab-CNRS



F.E.M. mechanical simulation



G. laquaniello | ISB Thermal & Modal Cryostat Analysis'

Cryotraps / Cryopumps

S. Hanke, X. Luo, K. Battes, C. Day - ET-0417A-23



Proposed cryopump concept to fulfil

- all vacuum requirements
- slow frost formation on mirror (up to 2 years for one ML)

Temperature of the cryopumps

- for hydrogen → section @4 K
- for heavy gases (water & co) → section @ 80 K

On one side of the cryostat hosting the mirror 10 m lenght @80 K seems enough

On the other side where we have an adjacent tower we need more pumping @ 80 K (20 m) and 1 m long section @ 4K

Compatibility check of this solution with a reduced thermal radiation load on the mirror is on going

ection of H2 cryo

1.38 m

2.00 n

1.80 m

1.70 m

1.60 m

0.86 m

0.62

1.13 m

80 K (long cryopumps for heavy gases and water, including pump-end baffle and baffles in 300K beam pipe outside

10 m

2.28 m

2.49 m

3.68 m

np and 10K baffles inside 80K pun

1.86 m

1.5 m

Cooling Infrastructure based on Cryogenic fluids



Does this cryo design add extra noise at low frequency?

- Is the Landau model good enough to predict the He II behavior in the innermost shields?
- If any, what is the He II residual motion compatible with the experiment? (Newtonian coupling)
- Can we limit the circulation of the supercritical He⁴ to laminar regime providing enough refrigeration power and avoiding the exitation of the screen mechanical resonances?
- Is a potential source of noise the supercritical helium used to cool the 5 K shield?



To-do list for achieving the 2 Hz sensitivity goal of ET

- New sensors and actuators compatible with the cryogenics environment
- Control models

- Finalise the design the silent cryostat + Cryogenic infrastrucutre
- Develop an efficient cooling procedure with soft suspensions of the payload
- Integrate the solutions with the suspensions and the upper vacuum chambers at room temperature
- Final test

Longer term R&D: full scale cryo-protoytype in an undeground environment to test the performance

 Interferometer with suspended mirrrors:one of them cooled at low temperature by a <u>cryo infrastructure with surface and underground</u> <u>sections</u>



- Suspended mirrors
- Cryotrap long enough to reduce the thermal radiation heat

Thanks for the attention!