Tracking Improvements from Cluster Counting

Jean-François The University of British Columbia

SuperB Collaboration Meeting Queen Mary University

Simple Model



Probability density function (PDF) for distance of ionization event from point of closest approach.

$$f_{D_0}(D) = \delta(D)$$
$$f_{\Delta}(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \rho e^{-\rho\Delta} & \Delta \ge 0\\ 0 & \Delta < 0 \end{cases}$$

0

 $D_n = D_{n-1} + \Delta$

Infinite Cell

Convolutions

$$f_{D_n}(D) = (f_{D_{n-1}} \star f_{\Delta})(D)$$

$$f_{D_1}(D) = \rho e^{-\rho D}$$

$$f_{D_2}(D) = \rho^2 D e^{-\rho D}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_{D_n}(D) = \rho \frac{(\rho D)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\rho D}$$

Distances Along Track, $\rho = 5.0$



Change of Variables

$$x_n = \sqrt{D_n^2 + b^2}$$



Distances From Wire, $\rho = 5.0, b = 0.5$



Peculiar Second Cluster

$$g_2(x) = \rho^2 x e^{-\rho\sqrt{x^2 - b^2}}$$

 $\rho b \geq \frac{1}{2}$ Maximum only at boundary.

$$ho b < rac{1}{2}$$
 Critical points at $x_{\pm}^2 = rac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - (2
ho b)^2}}{2
ho^2}$

The maximum is at x_+ , and sometimes it is greater than the boundary maximum.

Distances from Wire for 2^{nd} Ionization Event, $\rho = 5.0$



Actually...

If we already measured the first n clusters, the next one's distance from the wire actually follows:

$$g_n(x) = \frac{x_n \rho e^{-\rho(\sqrt{x_n^2 - b^2} - \sqrt{x_{n-1}^2 - b^2})}}{\sqrt{x_n^2 - b^2}}$$

Not
$$g_n(x) = \frac{\rho^n}{(n-1)!} x(x^2 - b^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{-\rho\sqrt{x^2 - b^2}}$$

with n=2

 \mathbf{n}

Bayes' Theorem

Prior PDF

$$P(\alpha|\beta;\sigma) = \frac{P(\alpha;\sigma)P(\beta|\alpha;\sigma)}{P(\beta;\sigma)}$$

$$P(b^{2}|x_{1}^{2};\rho) = \frac{Cg_{1}(x_{1})}{P(x_{1}^{2};\rho)}$$

$$P(x_{1}^{2};\rho) = \int_{0}^{\infty} Cg_{1}(x_{1})d(b^{2})$$

$$P(b^2|x_1^2;\rho) = \frac{\rho e^{-\rho \sqrt{x_1^2 - b^2}}}{2\sqrt{x_1^2 - b^2}(1 - e^{-\rho x_1})}$$

Impact Parameter Given x_1 , $\rho = 5.0$



The Second Cluster

We just calculated that!

 $P(b^2|x_2^2; x_1^2, \rho) = \frac{P(b^2; x_1^2, \rho) P(x_2^2|b^2; x_1^2, \rho)}{P(x_2^2; x_1^2, \rho)}$ Remember, we already measured the first cluster!

$$P(b^{2}|x_{2}^{2};x_{1}^{2},\rho) = \frac{\frac{e^{-\rho\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}-b^{2}}}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}-b^{2}}\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}-b^{2}}}}{\int_{0}^{x_{1}^{2}}\frac{e^{-\rho\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}-b^{2}}}}{\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}-b^{2}}\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}-b^{2}}}d(b^{2})}$$

Cannot be done

Numerics

- Using Python, Scipy, Numpy
- Integration method is Gaussian Quadrature
- Normalizations check out
- Sanity checks passed

Impact Parameter Given $x_1^2 = 0.04, \rho = 5.0$ 400Analytic (Gnuplot) Analytic (Python) + 350Numeric (Python) $\mu = 0.0298, \sigma = 0.0109$ X 300 **Expectation Value** Standard Deviation 250200150100500 0.005 0.01 0.025 0.03 0.035 0 0.015 0.020.04 Impact Parameter b^2

Probability Density

Impact Parameter Given $x_1^2 = 0.04$ and a Given x_2^2 , $\rho = 5.0$



Lessons Learned

- The second cluster changes not the most likely impact parameter, but the expectation value and standard deviation
- Single-cell resolution is improved by considering multiple clusters

Next Steps

- Create a numerical recursive method for considering the first arbitrary n clusters
- Compare results to Garfield simulations to validate the model
- Use the lessons learned and real data (from proto2 at LNF) to develop a real procedure

Beyond Single-Cell & 2D

- If cluster counting is used for dE/dx, even if it is not used directly for tracking, the discriminator threshold can be set at I electron
- The number of clusters deposited is sensitive to the track length within the cell, possible to determine θ with single cells?
- Other approaches (throw variables into TMVA, neural net...)