

SuperB Physics

Adrian Bevan

1st SuperB Collaboration Meeting, London 13-16th Sept. 2011

email: a.j.bevan@qmul.ac.uk





Comparison Document

INFN/AE_ZZ/ZZZZ, LAL-11-200, SLAC-R-14548, MZ-TH/11-25

The impact of SuperB on flavour physics July 1, 2011

Abstract

This report provides a succinct summary of the physics programme of SuperB, and describes that potential in the context of experiments making measurements in flavour physics over the next 10 to 20 years. Detailed comparisons are made with Belle II and LHCb, the other B physics experiments that will run in this decade. SuperB will play a crucial role in defining the landscape of flavour physics over the next 20 years.

Need to update charm numbers for LHCb, otherwise finished.



LHC Results on SUSY (slide from A. Cakir, Lomonosov XV)

Interpretation of the Physics Results for Summer 2011



So far no evidence for SUSY.

The SUSY mass scale is now looking likely to be above ITeV.

This has interesting implications for some of our measurements.

We need to make sure our benchmark processes and assumed scales are still valid.

Hone our case for indirect constraints.



Example: Consider MSSM as an illustration of SUSY

Simple, and being constrained by the LHC but general enough to illustrate the issue:



 Δ 's are related to NP mass scale.

and similarly for $M^2{}_{\widetilde{u}}$

- In many NP scenarios the energy frontier experiments will probe the diagonal elements of mixing matrices.
- Flavour experiments are required to probe off-diagonal ones.



- e.g. MSSM with generic squark mass matrices.
- Use Mass insertion approximation with $m_{\tilde{q}} \sim m_{\tilde{g}}$ to constrain couplings:

$$(\delta_{ij}^q)_{AB} = \frac{(\Delta_{ij})_{AB}^q}{m_{\widetilde{q}}^2}$$

• Can constrain the δ^{d}_{ij} 's using $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)$ $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-)$ $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B \to X_s \gamma)$ LHC constraints on the gluino mass, mean couplings are non-zero, and SuperB can provide an upper bound on $\Lambda_{\rm NP}.$





- e.g. MSSM with generic squark mass matrices.
- Use Mass insertion approximation with $m_{\tilde{q}} \sim m_{\tilde{g}}$ to constrain couplings:

$$(\delta_{ij}^q)_{AB} = \frac{(\Delta_{ij})_{AB}^q}{m_{\widetilde{q}}^2}$$

• Can constrain the δ^{d}_{ij} 's using $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)$ $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-)$ $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B \to X_s \gamma)$ LHC constraints on the gluino mass, mean couplings are non-zero, and SuperB can provide an upper bound on $\Lambda_{\rm NP}.$





- e.g. MSSM with generic squark mass matrices.
- Use Mass insertion approximation with $m_{\tilde{q}} \sim m_{\tilde{g}}$ to constrain couplings:

$$(\delta^q_{ij})_{AB} = \frac{(\Delta_{ij})^q_{AB}}{m^2_{\widetilde{q}}}$$

• Can constrain the δ^{d}_{ij} 's using • $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)$ • $\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-)$ • $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(B \to X_s \gamma)$



e.g. see Hall et al., Nucl. Phys. B **267** 415-432 (1986) Ciuchini et al., hep-ph/0212397



Charged Lepton Flavour Violation (LFV)

- v mixing leads to a low level of charged LFV ($B \sim 10^{-54}$).
 - > Enhancements to observable levels are possible with new physics scenarios.
 - Searching for transitions from 3rd generation to 2nd and 1st, i.e.



 $au
ightarrow \mu$ and au
ightarrow e



The golden LFV modes: $au ightarrow \mu\gamma, 3\mu$

Symmetry breaking scale assumed: 500GeV.



NP scale assumed: 500GeV.

- Current experimental limits are at the edges of the model parameter space
- SuperB will be able to significantly constrain these models, and either find both channels, or constrain a large part of parameter space.



9

M. Blanke et al. arXiv:0906.5454



Specific example: $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$

Only accessible in e⁺e⁻ (golden modes: μγ, 3 lepton)

Model dependent NP constraint.

Correlated with other flavour observables: MEG, LHCb etc.

TABLE III: Expected 90% CL upper limits and 3σ evidence reach on LFV decays with 75 ab⁻¹ with a polarized electron beam.

Process	Expected	3σ evidence				
	$90\%{\rm CL}$ upper limit	reach				
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma)$	$2.4 imes10^{-9}$	$5.4 imes10^{-9}$				
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to e \gamma)$	$3.0 imes10^{-9}$	$6.8 imes10^{-9}$				
$\mathcal{B}(\tau \to \ell \ell \ell)$	$2.3{-}8.2\times10^{-10}$	$1.2{-}4.0\times10^{-9}$				

 $m_{\tilde{q}} = 300 \, GeV$ BLUE $m_{\tilde{q}} = 500 \, GeV$ RED

Not updated to latest results from LHCb





Another model...

• $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ upper limit can be correlated to θ_{13} (neutrino mixing/CPV, T2K etc.) and also to $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$.





Another model...

• $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ upper limit can be correlated to θ_{13} (neutrino mixing/CPV, T2K etc.) and also to $\mu \rightarrow e \gamma$.



Higgs searches from the LHC

(slide from A. Gritsan, Lomonosov XV)

Projections



EVV data point to a light Higgs (~115 GeV). Nothing found so far.

Searches for a SM Higgs could yield a stable point to guide non-SM Higgs searches.

Non-SM searches are of relevance for many of our rare decays.

No SM Higgs would take the field back to the drawing board, and in this case FCNC's will have an inevitable role in understanding nature.







B_{u,d} physics: Rare Decays

- Example: $B \to K^{(*)} \nu \overline{\nu}$
 - ▶ Need 75ab⁻¹ to observe pseudoscalar and vector modes.
 - ▶ With more than 75ab⁻¹ we could measure polarisation.

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{|C_L^{\nu}|^2 + |C_R^{\nu}|^2}}{|(C_L^{\nu})^{\text{SM}}|} , \qquad \eta = \frac{-\text{Re}\left(C_L^{\nu}C_R^{\nu*}\right)}{|C_L^{\nu}|^2 + |C_R^{\nu}|^2}$$

Sensitive to models with Z', RH currents and light scalar particles.





$b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$

SuperB can measure inclusive and exclusive modes.

- Crosscheck results to understand source of NP.
- Important as theory uncertainties differ.
- Expect: 10-15,000 K*μμ and 10-15,000 K*ee events

SuperB can study all lepton flavours

- \blacktriangleright Equal amounts of μ and e final states can be measured.
 - Need both of these to measure all NP sensitive observables.
 - LHCb will accumulate slight more events in the μμ mode.
 - Expect superior statistics wrt LHCb for ee mode.
 - ► S/B~ 0.3, c.f. S/B~1.0 for LHCb.
- Can also search for $K^{(*)}\tau^+\tau^-$ decay.
- ... and constrain Majorana v's using like sign final states.
 - Also of interest for D_s decays to $K^{(*)}$ ll final states near charm threshold.



$$B_{s} physics$$
• Can cleanly measure A^{s}_{SL} using 5S data
$$A^{s}_{SL} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{s} \to \overline{B}_{s} \to X^{-}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell}) - \mathcal{B}(\overline{B}_{s} \to B_{s} \to X^{-}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell})}{\mathcal{B}(B_{s} \to \overline{B}_{s} \to X^{-}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell}) + \mathcal{B}(\overline{B}_{s} \to B_{s} \to X^{-}\ell^{+}\nu_{\ell})} = \frac{1 - |q/p|^{4}}{1 - |q/p|^{4}}$$

 $\sigma(A_{SL}^s) \sim 0.004$ with a few ab^{-1}



SuperB can also study rare decays with many neutral particles, such as $B_s \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, which can be enhanced by SUSY.



Charm Mixing

Collect data at threshold and at the 4S.

Benefit charm mixing and CPV measurements.





Charm Mixing: Summary

Strategy	Decay	$\sigma(q_D/p_D) \times 10^2$	$\sigma(\phi_M)^\circ$						
HFAG (direct <i>CPV</i> allowed):									
Global χ^2 fit	$<\!$ All modes $>$	± 18	± 9						
Asymmetries a_z :									
x_D	<all modes=""></all>	± 1.8	_						
y_D	$<\!$ All modes $>$	±1.1	_						
y_{CP}	K^+K^-	± 3.8	_						
y'	$K^+\!\pi^-$	± 4.9	_						
x'^2	$K^+\pi^-$	± 4.9	_						
$x^{\prime\prime}$	$K^+\!\pi^-\!\pi^0$	± 5.4	_						
$y^{\prime\prime}$	$K^+\pi^-\pi^0$	± 5.0	_						
TDDP (CPV allowed):									
Model-dependent	$K^0_{\scriptscriptstyle S} h^+ h^-$	± 8.4	± 3.3						
BES III DP model	$K^0_{\scriptscriptstyle S} h^+\!h^-$	± 3.7	± 1.9						
$\operatorname{Super} B \ \mathrm{DP} \ \mathrm{model}$	$K^0_{\scriptscriptstyle S} h^+\!h^-$	± 2.7	± 1.4						
SL Asymmetries a_{SL} :									
75 ab^{-1} at $\Upsilon(4S)$	$X\ell\nu_\ell$	± 10							
500 fb ⁻¹ at $\psi(3770)$	$K\pi$	± 10							
500 fb ⁻¹ at $\psi(3770)$	$X\ell\nu_\ell$	TBD							

- Can perform a precision measurement of charm mixing.
- Need to evaluate the potential of TDCPV to measure charm mixing: a number of modes can each provide a sub 2° measurement.



Precision Electroweak

• $\sin^2\theta_w$ can be measured with polarised e⁻ beam $\checkmark \sqrt{s} = \Upsilon(4S)$ is theoretically clean, c.f. b-fragmentation at Z pole Measure LR asymmetry in



 $e^+e^ \rightarrow bb$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ to same precision as LEP/SLC at the Z-pole.

Complements

measurements planned/ underway at lower energies (QWeak/MESA).



Precision CKM constraints



SuperB Measures the sides and angles of the Unitarity Triangle



_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

Interplay

Combine measurements to elucidate structure of new

	Observable/mode	H^+	MFV	non-MFV	NP	Right-handed	LTH	SUSY				
		high $ an eta$			Z penguins	currents		AC	RVV2	AKM	δLL	FBMSSM
1	$ au ightarrow \mu\gamma$							***	***	*	***	***
1	$\tau \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell$						***					
1	$B ightarrow au u, \mu u$	$\star \star \star (CKM)$										
1	$B \to K^{(*)+} \nu \overline{\nu}$			*	***			*	*	*	*	*
1	$S \text{ in } B ightarrow K^0_S \pi^0 \gamma$					***						
1	S in other penguin modes			★ ★ ★ (CKM)		***		***	**	*	***	***
1	$A_{CP}(B ightarrow X_s \gamma)$			***		**		*	*	*	***	***
1	$BR(B ightarrow X_s \gamma)$		***	*		*						
~	$BR(B o X_s \ell \ell)$			*	*	*						
1	$B \to K^{(*)} \ell \ell$ (FB Asym)							*	*	*	***	***
	$B_s ightarrow \mu \mu$							***	***	***	***	***
	β_s from $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$							***	***	***	*	*
1	a_{sl}						***					
1	Charm mixing							***	*	*	*	*
1	CPV in Charm	**									***	

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

 \checkmark = SuperB can measure this

More information on the golden matrix can be found in arXiv:1008.1541, arXiv:0909.1333, and arXiv:0810.1312.



Golden Measurements: General





Golden Measurements: CKM

Comparison of relative benefits of SuperB (75ab⁻¹) vs. existing measurements and LHCb (5fb⁻¹) and the LHCb upgrade (50fb⁻¹).

Moderately clean



Clean, needs Lattice Clean

Theory:

	Observable/mode	Current	LHCb	SuperB	Belle II	LHCb upgrade	theory	
		now	(2017)	(2021)	(2021)	(10 years of	now	Qunor
			$5{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$75 {\rm ab}^{-1}$	$50 {\rm ab}^{-1}$	running) 50fb^{-1}		onhei n
	au Decays							
	$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \; (\times 10^{-9})$	< 44		< 2.4	< 5.0			
	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma \; (\times 10^{-9})$	< 33		< 3.0	< 3.7 (est.)			
	$\tau \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell (\times 10^{-10})$	< 150 - 270	<244 a	< 2.3 - 8.2	< 10	$< 24^{\ b}$		
			B	u,d Decays				
	$BR(B \to \tau \nu) (\times 10^{-4})$	1.64 ± 0.34		0.05	0.04		1.1 ± 0.2	
	$BR(B \rightarrow \mu \nu) (\times 10^{-6})$	< 1.0		0.02	0.03		0.47 ± 0.08	
	$BR(B \to K^{*+} \nu \overline{\nu}) \ (\times 10^{-6})$	< 80		1.1	2.0		6.8 ± 1.1	
	$BR(B \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) \ (\times 10^{-6})$	< 160		0.7	1.6		3.6 ± 0.5	
	$BR(B \rightarrow X_s \gamma) (\times 10^{-4})$	3.55 ± 0.26		0.11	0.13	0.23	3.15 ± 0.23	
	$A_{CP}(B \rightarrow X_{(s+d)}\gamma)$	0.060 ± 0.060		0.02	0.02		$\sim 10^{-9}$	
	$B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ (events)	250 ^c	8000	$10-15k^d$	7-10k	100,000	-	
	$BR(B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-) (\times 10^{-6})$	1.15 ± 0.16		0.06	0.07		1.19 ± 0.39	
	$B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-$ (events)	165	400	10-15k	7-10k	5,000	-	
	$BR(B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-) (\times 10^{-6})$	1.09 ± 0.17		0.05	0.07		1.19 ± 0.39	
	$A_{FB}(B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-)$	0.27 ± 0.14^e	f	0.040	0.03		-0.089 ± 0.020	
	$B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$ (events)	280		8,600	7,000		-	
	$BR(B \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-) (\times 10^{-6})^g$	3.66 ± 0.77^{h}		0.08	0.10		1.59 ± 0.11	
	$S \text{ in } B \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^0 \gamma$	-0.15 ± 0.20		0.03	0.03		-0.1 to 0.1	
	$S \text{ in } B \rightarrow \eta' K^0$	0.59 ± 0.07		0.01	0.02		± 0.015	
	$S \text{ in } B \rightarrow \phi K^0$	0.56 ± 0.17	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.03	± 0.02	
			I	B_s^0 Decays				_
	${ m BR}(B^0_s o \gamma \gamma) \; (\times 10^{-6})$	< 8.7		0.3	0.2 - 0.3		0.4 - 1.0	
	A_{SL}^{s} (×10 ⁻³)	-7.87 ± 1.96 i	j	4.	5. (est.)		0.02 ± 0.01	_
		_						
	x	$(0.63 \pm 0.20\%$	0.06%	0.02%	0.04%	0.02%	$\sim 10^{-2 k}$	
	y	$(0.75\pm 0.12)\%$	0.03%	0.01%	0.03%	0.01%	$\sim 10^{-2}$ (see above).	
	y_{CP}	$(1.11 \pm 0.22)\%$	0.05%	0.03%	0.05%	0.01%	$\sim 10^{-2}$ (see above).	
	q/p	$(0.91 \pm 0.17)\%$	10%	2.7%	3.0%	3%	$\sim 10^{-3}$ (see above).	
	$\arg\{q/p\}$ (°)	-10.2 ± 9.2	5.6	1.4	1.4	2.0	$\sim 10^{-3}$ (see above).	_
			Other p	processes De	cays			
25	$\sin^2 \theta_W$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.58 \text{GeV}/c^2$			0.0002	l		clean	-
								-



Observable/mode	Current	LHCb	SuperB	Belle II	LHCb upgrade	theory
	now	(2017)	(2021)	(2021)	(10 years of running)	now
		$5{ m fb}^{-1}$	$75\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	$50\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	$50{ m fb}^{-1}$	
α from $u\overline{u}d$	6.1°	$5^{\circ a}$	1°	1°	ь	$1-2^{\circ}$
β from $c\overline{c}s$ (S)	0.9° (0.024)	0.5° (0.008)	0.1° (0.002)	0.3° (0.007)	0.2° (0.003)	clean
$S { m from} B_d o J/\psi \pi^0$	0.21		0.014	0.021 (est)		clean
$S { m from} B_s o J/\psi K^0_S$?			?	clean
$\gamma \text{ from } B \to DK$	11°	$\sim 4^{\circ}$	1°	1.5°	0.9°	clean
$ V_{cb} $ (inclusive) %	1.7		0.5%	0.6 (est.)		dominant
$ V_{cb} $ (exclusive) $\%$	2.2		1.0%	1.2 (est.)		dominant
$ V_{ub} $ (inclusive) $\%$	4.4		2.0%	3.0		dominant
$ V_{ub} $ (exclusive) %	7.0		3.0%	5.0		dominant

- With the exceptions of y_{CP} and K*µµ, there are no planned or existing experiments that will surpass SuperB precision in these modes for at least the next two decades.
- The best place to measure the other 33 golden modes is SuperB!



This week:



+ Convenor meeting at 13:00 on Wednesday in here (FB 240)



This week:



28



This week:





Physics tools

Many opportunities to contribute:

- detector response simulation in FastSim (SVT, DCH, DIRC, EMC, IFR)
- PID selectors
- simulation of background
- physics analysis tools (tagging, vertexing, ...)
- development of 'skims' for physics studies
- documentation

for more information contact Matteo Rama



Charm Threshold Generation

CLEO-c have given us their code and DEC files for charm threshold simulation.

- Follows from a request made by Brian for access to these.
- DECAY.DEC for generating *uncorrelated* D0D0bar MC

- Source code for QCMCReweightProc, a filtering processor that discards events from the uncorrelated MC to make it look more like correlated MC. By no means is this meant to be a complete simulation of all strong phases in all modes; we were mainly concerned with the particular modes in our analysis (CP eigenstates, semileptonic, and two-body Kpi).

- Documentation (K0SPi+Pi- and K0LPi+Pi-, using the CLEO Dalitz model is not documented in this).

- There is also a known bug: the spins of resonances in the final state were ignored in determining the strong phase to be assigned to the decay mode. So, PP,VP, and VV modes with the same flavor content are all given the same phase. For example, K-pi+, K*-pi+, and K*-rho+ all have a phase of zero, whereas K*-pi+ should have a phase of pi (because L=1), and the phase of K*-rho+ depends in principle on the helicity amplitudes.

• This generous donation of technology will give the SuperB physics effort a head start for threshold running studies.



Monte Carlo resource request

- Estimate for Monte Carlo simulation: 134×10⁹ events
 - Requests broken down into:
 - Recoil (SL and Had tags) + Charm:

$$B \to \tau \nu, B \to K^{(*)} \nu \overline{\nu}, b \to s \ell \ell, b \to d \ell \ell,$$

 $\psi(3770) \to D^0 \overline{D}^0 \& \text{ charm at } 4S$

- Generic backgrounds dominate sample requests, but we will also need large samples of signals generated.
- \blacktriangleright Calorimeter: $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$ calibration mode
- Expect that requests may come for 5S once we have integrated appropriate generators.



TDR / December Workshop

- TDR: Need to prepare
 - A section for the detector TDR.
 - A section for the accelerator TDR.

Planning for the December workshop:

- What have we learned from the summer conference results?
- Are there any channels that are no longer interesting to focus on?
- Are there any channels that are more important now, than before?
- What changes are there to the interplay problem in terms of elucidating new physics?
- What are the highest priority areas to get analysts working on?
- What computing resources are required to perform such an analysis?
- What tools are require to perform such an analysis (are they available)?



December Physics Workshop

Dates: 11th-12th December (just before next CM)

Location: LNF

Proposal for sessions

subjects we would like to see discussed: these are some suggestions, please add to them

- Day 1
 - Welcome: Aims/intro
 - DESY <u>sll</u> workshop summary
 - WG5 session
 - progress on <u>α_s</u>
 - b→sv session
 - Theory + Expt overview, esp A_{CP}
 - <u>B_{uds} session(s)</u>
 - <u>Bs→gg</u> &/or ASL Fast <u>Sim</u> progress
 - <u>b→sll</u> inclusive/exclusive <u>FastSim</u> progress

- Day 2
 - charm
 - TDCPV progress
 - tau
 - CPV
 - Lattice
 - 2011 Comparison with CDR predictions
 - Planning Session
 - Discuss tools required, and what <u>FastSim</u> mode studies we need for TDR/Book
 - TDR / Elba planning session

Organisation will be discussed in one of the parallel sessions this week.

Please give your input for the agenda.

Currently have one room booked for both days: all plenary. Probably want some parallel sessions on Monday Morning. How many?