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We update the calculations of correlated $D^{0}-\bar{D}^{0}$ decay rates presented at the Elba Collaboration Meeting and present results of sensitivity studies assuming all relevant amplitudes are known exactly.

In particular, we present the equations for

- $\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, \boldsymbol{K}^{\mp} \boldsymbol{e}^{ \pm} \boldsymbol{\nu}\right), \quad\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{K}^{+}\right), \quad\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}\right)$
$\bullet\left(K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{\mp} e^{ \pm} \boldsymbol{\nu}\right), \quad\left(K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} K^{+}\right), \quad\left(K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$
- $\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}\right)$
- $\left(K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$

We also note that

- the equations for $K_{L}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$or $\pi^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$in place of $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$have exactly the same form. When observed in conjunction with fully reconstructed final states, CLEO observes more than twice as many $K_{L}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$decays as $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ decays, so SuperB should benefit from these channels similarly.
- the formalism for correlated $K^{-} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}$decays is algebraically the same as that for $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ decays, except that the phase space is 5 -dimensional rather than 2-dimensional.


## Forms of $\mathcal{M}$ and $|\mathcal{M}|^{2}$

For the Elba meeting we derived the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \sqrt{2} \mathcal{M} & =\left(\frac{q}{p} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}-\frac{p}{q} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}\right)\left[e_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)-e_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) e_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]  \tag{1}\\
& +\left(\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}-\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}\right)\left[e_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)+e_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) e_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

which has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{2} \mathcal{M}=X\left(e_{11} e_{22}-e_{12} e_{21}\right)+Y\left(e_{11} e_{22}+e_{12} e_{21}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this one calculates

$$
\begin{aligned}
8|\mathcal{M}|^{2} & =e^{-\Gamma\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)} \times\left\{\quad X X^{*}(\cosh y \Gamma \Delta t-\cos x \Gamma \Delta t)\right. \\
& -2 \Re\left(X Y^{*}\right) \sinh y \Gamma \Delta t+2 \Im\left(X Y^{*}\right) \sin x \Gamma \Delta t \\
& +\quad Y Y^{*}(\cosh y \Gamma \Delta t+\cos x \Gamma \Delta t\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \Gamma \Delta t, y \Gamma \Delta t \ll 1$ this can be approximated by

$$
\begin{align*}
4|\mathcal{M}|^{2} & =e^{-\Gamma\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)} \times\left\{X X^{*}\left[\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{4}(\Gamma \Delta t)^{2}\right]\right.  \tag{4}\\
& -\Re\left(X Y^{*}\right) y \Gamma \Delta t+\Im\left(X Y^{*}\right) x \Gamma \Delta t \\
& \left.+\quad Y Y^{*}\left[1+\frac{\left(y^{2}-x^{2}\right)}{4}(\Gamma \Delta t)^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- $Y$ is the unmixed amplitude
- $X$ is the mixing amplitude
- $X Y^{*}$ controls the interference terms in the mixing rate


## Summary of Results Presented at Elba Meeting

We have made rough estimates of Super $B$ sensitivity to mixing assuming

- event rates scaled from CLEO-c,
- Super $B$ integrated $\mathcal{L}=500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$,
- we can cleanly separate $|\Gamma \Delta t|>2$ from $|\Gamma \Delta t|<2$,
- $p / q \approx 1$,
- $y \approx 0.01$

| channel | type of measurement | figure of merit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $K^{-} K^{+}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \mathrm{v} K^{-} K^{+}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | integrated | $\|q / p-p / q\|^{2} \times 6$ events |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \mathrm{\vee} K^{-} \pi^{+}+\mathrm{cc}$ | integrated | 46 events |
| $K^{-} e^{+} \nu \vee K^{-} e^{+} \nu+\mathrm{cc}$ | integrated | 46 events |
| $K^{-} e^{+} \nu \vee K^{-} K^{+}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+}+\mathrm{cc}$ | TDA | $1887 \pm 247$ events $(\sim 7 \sigma)$ |
| $K^{-} e^{+} \nu \vee K^{-} \pi^{+},+\mathrm{cc}$ | TDA | $800 \pm 40$ events $(\sim 20 \sigma)$ |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \vee K^{-} K^{+}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+}+\mathrm{cc}$ | integrated | $\cos \delta_{K \pi} \sim \pm 2 \%$ |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \mathrm{v} K^{-} K^{+}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+}+\mathrm{cc}$ | TDA | $650 \pm 156$ events $(\sim 4 \sigma)$ |

Sensitivity to mixing (and $C P$ violation) is greatest when the interference term is as large as possible compared to the direct correlated decay term. This requires "same-sign" decays with a DCS amplitude interfering with a CF amplitude.

$$
\left(K^{-} \ell^{+} X, K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}\right)
$$

With the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \pi^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{r} \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \overline{\mathcal{A}}\left(\bar{D}^{0} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}\right)=\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \bar{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=k e^{i \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}} \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \bar{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The (small $y \Gamma \Delta t$, small $x \Gamma \Delta t$ ) limit for the ( $K^{-} \ell^{+} X, K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ ) decay rate is

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{M}|^{2} & =\frac{1}{4} e^{-\Gamma\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)}\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{\beta}\right|^{2} \times  \tag{6}\\
& \left\{\left|\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}}\right|^{2} \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \zeta^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{2}+y^{2}}{4}\right)(\Gamma \Delta t)^{2}\right. \\
& -\left[\Re\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}} \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \bar{\zeta}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\right) \cos \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\Im\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \bar{\zeta}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\right)\right) \sin \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right] k y \Gamma \Delta t \\
& +\left[\Im\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \bar{\zeta}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\right) \cos \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right. \\
& \left.-\Re\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \bar{\zeta}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\right) \sin \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right] k x \Gamma \Delta t \\
& \left.+\boldsymbol{k}^{2} \bar{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \bar{\zeta}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\left[1+\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{y}^{2}-\boldsymbol{x}^{2}}{4}\right)(\Gamma \Delta t)^{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

As a first approximation, the time-integrated rate is dominated by the doublyCabibbo suppressed rate associated with $Y Y^{*}$. To a lesser degree, the pure mixing rate propotional to the Cabibbo favored rate, $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{X}^{*}$, also contributes.

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{K}^{+}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}\right)
$$

In this case, the time-integrated rate will be dominated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y Y^{*}=\zeta \zeta^{*}+k^{2} \bar{\zeta} \zeta^{*}-2 k\left[\Re\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \cos \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}+\Im\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \sin \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time-odd rate will depend on the real and imaginary parts of

$$
\begin{align*}
X Y^{*} & =-\frac{q}{p} k^{2} \overline{\zeta \zeta^{*}}-\frac{p}{q} \zeta \zeta^{*}  \tag{8}\\
& +k\left[\frac{q}{p} e^{\left.i \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}} \bar{\zeta} \zeta^{*}+\frac{p}{q} e^{-i \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}} \zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}}\right]}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In the limit $p / q=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y^{*} \rightarrow-k^{2} \zeta \zeta^{*}-\zeta \zeta^{*}+2 k\left[\Re\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \cos \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}+\Im\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \sin \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is purely real and equal in magnitude to $Y Y^{*}$. In this limit, the time-odd part of the rate is proportional only to $y \Gamma \Delta t$ and is independent of $x$.

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}\right)
$$

Here we will write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{A}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=k_{1} e^{i \delta_{1}} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\beta}=\mathcal{A}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}\right)=A_{r} \zeta \\
& \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}=k_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}} A_{r} \bar{\zeta}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\left(\frac{q}{p} k_{1} k_{2} e^{i\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}\right)} \bar{\zeta}-\frac{p}{q} \zeta\right) A_{r} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}  \tag{11}\\
Y & =\left(k_{2} e^{i \delta_{2}} \bar{\zeta}-k_{1} e^{i \delta_{1}} \zeta\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \mathcal{A}_{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
Y Y^{*}= & k_{2}^{2} \widehat{\zeta \zeta}^{*}+k_{1}^{2} \zeta \zeta^{*}  \tag{12}\\
& -2 k_{1} k_{2}\left[\Re\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \cos \left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)-\Im\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right) \sin \left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)\right]\left|\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and as a good first approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
X Y^{*} \approx & -\frac{p}{q}\left\{\left[k_{2} \cos \delta_{2} \Re\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)+k_{2} \sin \delta_{2} \Im\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)-k_{1} \cos \delta_{1} \zeta \zeta^{*}\right]\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \left.+i\left[k_{2} \cos \delta_{2} \Im\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)-k_{2} \sin \delta_{2} \Re\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right)+k_{1} \sin \delta_{1} \zeta \zeta^{*}\right]\right\}\left|\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}\right|^{2}\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Some Notation for $D^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$

At first sight, $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$, appears to be similar to $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ as both are three-body decays whose amplitudes are often described using isobar models. However, in the limit of no direct $C P$ violation in $D$ decay, and ignoring the known $C P$ violation in $K_{S}^{0}$ decay, we can exploit the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(\bar{D}^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}\left(\boldsymbol{D}^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)=A_{r} \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assuming no direct $C P$ violation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{A}_{r} \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) ; \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{A}_{r} \zeta\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right) ; \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}=\mathcal{A}_{\beta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sometimes useful to re-write $\zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)$ and $\zeta\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)$ in terms of symmetric and antisymmetric functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{S}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)+\zeta\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)\right]  \tag{17}\\
\zeta_{A}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)-\zeta\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\zeta_{S}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)+\zeta_{A}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& \zeta\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)=\zeta_{S}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)-\zeta_{A}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we can use the same notation for $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$.

Correlated ( $\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \ell^{+} \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{K}_{S}^{0} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$) decays
With the notation introduced for $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{A}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{X} & =-\frac{p}{\boldsymbol{q}}\left(\zeta_{S}+\zeta_{A}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}  \tag{19}\\
\boldsymbol{Y} & =-\left(\zeta_{S}-\zeta_{A}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
Y Y^{*} & =\left(2 \zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}+2 \zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}-\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{B}\right|^{2}  \tag{20}\\
X Y^{*} & =\frac{p}{q}\left[\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}-2 i \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{B}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(X Y^{*}\right)=\left[\Re\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}}\right)\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)+2 \Im\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{\beta}\right|^{2}  \tag{21}\\
& \Im\left(X Y^{*}\right)=\left[-2 \Re\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)+\Im\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{q}}\right)\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}\left|\mathcal{A}_{\beta}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { Correlated }\left(K^{-} \boldsymbol{K}^{+}, K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right) \text {decays }
$$

As usual, the time integrated rate is dominated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y Y^{*}=4 \zeta_{A}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \zeta_{A}^{*}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we can identify as the antisymmetric rate. Were we to consider $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$ produced in conjunction with a pure $C P$ odd eigenstate rather than $C P$ even, $Y Y^{*}$ would be the symmetric rate instead. The time-odd rates are proportional to the real and imaginary parts of $\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*}$ which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y^{*}=2\left[\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}-\frac{q}{p}\right)+\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}+\frac{q}{p}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the limit $p=q, X Y^{*} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*}$. Were we to consider $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$produced in conjunction with a pure $C P$ odd eigenstate rather than $C P$ even, $X Y^{*}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y^{*}=2\left[\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}-\frac{q}{p}\right)+\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}+\frac{q}{p}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{2}|\mathcal{A}|^{2} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The roles of $\zeta_{S}$ and $\zeta_{A}$ are interchanged. If $p \neq q$ the $\Re\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)=\Re\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)^{*}$ but the $\Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)=-\Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)^{*}$ so the time-odd asymmetries will differ and the difference of the two as a function of position in the Dalitz plot will provide additional sensitivity to the real and imaginary parts of $p / q$.

## Correlated ( $\left.K^{-} \pi^{+}, K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$decays

With the same type of notation as used earlier,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y Y^{*} & =\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}+k^{2} \zeta \zeta^{*}-2 k e^{i \delta} \Re\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& =\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}+k^{2} \zeta \zeta^{*}-2 k \cos \delta \Re\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)+2 k \sin \delta \Im\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We can identify the real and imaginary parts of $\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}$ with $\zeta_{S}$ and $\zeta_{A}$ writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}=\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}-2 i \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)=\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*} ; \quad \Im\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)=-2 \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y Y^{*}=\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}+k^{2} \zeta \zeta^{*}-2 k \cos \delta\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)-4 k \sin \delta \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time-odd rate is proportional to the real and imaginary parts of

$$
\begin{align*}
X Y^{*} & =\frac{q}{p} k^{2}\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)^{*}+\frac{p}{q}\left(\zeta \zeta^{\prime *}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& +k\left[\frac{q}{p} e^{i \delta}\left(\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right)-\frac{p}{q} e^{-i \delta}\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In the limit $p / q \rightarrow 1$, these become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(X Y^{*}\right)=\left(1+k^{2}\right)\left[\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*}-\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right]+\cos \delta\left[\zeta \zeta^{*}-k \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right]  \tag{30}\\
& \Im\left(X Y^{*}\right)=\sin \delta\left[\zeta \zeta^{*}+k \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right]-\left(1-k^{2}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## Correlated ( $\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, K^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}$ ) decays

For this correlated final state we will use the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)  \tag{31}\\
& \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\alpha}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \bar{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\kappa\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) e^{i \epsilon\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\beta}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\kappa^{\prime}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right) e^{i \epsilon\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The real functions $\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}, \epsilon$, and $\epsilon^{\prime}$ are chosen so that $\kappa$ and $\kappa^{\prime}$ are positive definite.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*}=\zeta \zeta^{*} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime *}+\bar{\zeta} \zeta^{*} \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}+2 \Re\left[\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right)\left(\bar{\zeta}^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{4} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two terms are the products of the Cabibbo favored rate for one decay and the doubly-Cabibbo suppressed rate for the other. The last term is the product of two Cabibbo favored, doubly-Cabibbo suppressed interference rates. As a good approximation, we can calculate the interference term ignoring the doubly-Cabibbo suppressed term in $\boldsymbol{X}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y^{*} \approx \frac{p}{q}\left[\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)\left(\zeta^{\prime} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime *}\right)-\left(\zeta \bar{\zeta}^{*}\right)\left(\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right)\right]\left|A_{r}\right|^{4} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, each term is the product of a Cabibbo favored rate for one decay and the interference of amplitudes for the other. Events will populate a four-dimensional phase space corresponding to the two Dalitz plot positions ( $s_{12}, s_{13}$ ) and ( $s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}$ ). Furthermore, this interference term is antisymmetric under the interchange of the $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$. This is evident algebraically from the form of Eqn. (33). Physically, it corresponds to identifying one or the other Dalitz plot position as that of the first $D$ to decay. As the interference rate is time-odd, $X Y^{*}$ must be antisymmetric when the two Dalitz plot positions are interchanged.

## Correlated ( $\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, K^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}$ ) decays - II

We can also expand the interference term using the functions $\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}, \epsilon$, and $\epsilon^{\prime}$ defined in Eqn. (31):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y^{*}=\frac{p}{q}\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)\left(\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right)\left[\left(\kappa^{\prime} \cos \epsilon^{\prime}-\kappa \cos \epsilon\right)-i\left(\kappa^{\prime} \sin \epsilon^{\prime}-\kappa \sin \epsilon\right)\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which manifests the (anti)symmetry very explicitly. In the band of the Dalitz plot where the DCS amplitude is dominant, $\kappa^{(\prime)}$ will be large while in the band of the Dalitz plot where the CF amplitude is large it will be small. The magnitude of $X Y^{*}$ can be large if either, (a) one of the Dalitz plot points is in a DCS dominated region and the other is in a CF dominated dominated region, or (b) both Dalitz points are in DCS dominated regions but the phases differ significantly. In the same way, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*}=\zeta \zeta^{*} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime} \bar{\zeta}^{\prime *}+\bar{\zeta} \bar{\zeta}^{*} \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}+2 \kappa \kappa^{\prime}\left(\zeta \zeta^{*}\right)\left(\zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime *}\right) \cos \left(\epsilon^{\prime}-\epsilon\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

To extract the mixing parameters $x$ and $y$ from the data in this channel, we can divide the 4 -dimensional phase space of the two Dalitz plot positions into a finite number of bins according to the real and imaginary parts of $X Y^{*}$ and fit the time difference asymmetries in these bins. The statistical significance of the asymmetry will also depend inversely on the total expected rate, so we should use this as part of the metric for creating bins.

## Correlated $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}, K_{S}^{0} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$decays

Here, we use notation here more similar to that used for $\boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{0}$ than for $\boldsymbol{K}_{S}^{0} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}, \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}=\left(\zeta_{S}+\zeta_{A}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}  \tag{36}\\
& \mathcal{A}_{\alpha}\left(s_{12}, s_{13}\right)=\bar{\zeta}\left(s_{13}, s_{12}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}=\left(\zeta_{S}-\zeta_{A}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\beta}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}=\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime}+\zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\beta}\left(s_{12}^{\prime}, s_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}\left(s_{13}^{\prime}, s_{12}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}=\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime}-\zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

The prime superscript (') distinguishes the amplitudes associated with the two Dalitz plot positions of the $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$decays rather than the amplitudes associated with direct $D^{0}$ and $D^{0}$ decay. With this notation

$$
\begin{align*}
Y Y^{*}= & 4\left\{\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*} \zeta_{S}^{\prime}{\zeta^{\prime}}_{S}^{*}+\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*} \zeta_{A}^{\prime}{\zeta_{A}^{\prime *}}^{*}\right.  \tag{37}\\
& \left.-2\left[\Re\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) \Re\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime} \zeta_{A}^{\prime *}\right)+\Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime} \zeta_{A}^{\prime *}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In the limit $p=q$, the mixing amplitude becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\left(\zeta_{S}-\zeta_{A}\right)\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime}-\zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\zeta_{S}+\zeta_{A}\right)\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime}+\zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{38}\\
& =-2\left[\zeta_{A} \zeta_{S}^{\prime}+\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

in which case

$$
\begin{align*}
& X Y^{*}=-4\left(\zeta_{A} \zeta_{S}^{\prime}+\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{\prime}\right)\left(\zeta_{A}^{*} \zeta_{S}^{\prime *}-\zeta_{S}{\zeta^{\prime}}_{A}^{*}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& =-4\left[\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*} \zeta^{\prime}{ }_{S}{\zeta^{\prime *}}_{S}-\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*} \zeta^{\prime}{ }_{A}{\zeta^{\prime *}}_{A}+2 i \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*} \zeta^{\prime}{ }_{A}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{S}^{*}\right)\right] \\
& =-4\left[\zeta_{A} \zeta_{A}^{*} \zeta^{\prime}{ }_{S}{\zeta^{\prime *}}_{S}-\zeta_{S} \zeta_{S}^{*} \zeta^{\prime}{ }_{A}{\zeta^{\prime *}}_{A}\right. \\
& \left.+2 i\left(-\Re\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime} \zeta_{A}^{\prime *}\right)+\Re\left(\zeta_{S}^{\prime} \zeta_{A}^{\prime *}\right) \Im\left(\zeta_{S} \zeta_{A}^{*}\right)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## Sensitivities - As Good As It Gets



Use a Toy Monte Carlo procedure to generate and fit datasets

- extrapolate (roughly) from numbers of events seen by CLEO-c to $500 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
- assume we know all amplitudes and related terms exactly: $\boldsymbol{X} X^{*}, \boldsymbol{Y} Y^{*}, \Re\left(X Y^{*}\right), \Im\left(X Y^{*}\right)$
- assume the quadratic expansion for timedependence
- calculate expected numbers of events in each (Dalitz plot bin) $\times$ (time bin) . Use $100 \times 100$ or $400 \times 400$ Dalitz plots. Use 18 postive time and 18 negative time bins, starting with 0.25 lifetime width.

Additional Comments

- We assume CP symmetry
- Results are generally insensitive to Dalitz plot binning
- Results are generally insensitive to time binning
- $X X^{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{Y}^{*}$ can usually be extracted from time-integrated data with minimal assumptions
- $\Re\left(X Y^{*}\right)$ and $\Im\left(X Y^{*}\right)$ can probably be extracted from time-integrated data, but it will be more difficult.


## Sensitivities

| channel | \# of events | $\delta x$ | $\delta y$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 720 K | $0.17 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | BaBar $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$amplitudes |
| $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} \pi^{+}$ | 865 K | $0.23 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $\cos \delta_{K \pi}=0.95$ |
| $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, h^{-} h^{+}$ | 110 K | - | $0.21 \%$ |  |
| $K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}, K_{S}^{0} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$ | 285 K | $1.5 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |  |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 4500 | $0.06 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ | $\cos \delta_{K \pi \pi^{0}}=0.95, R_{D}=0.16 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  | BaBar $K \pi \pi^{0}$ amplitudes |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} \pi^{+}$ | 5000 | $0.06 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ |  |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ | 7200 | $0.07 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |  |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, h^{-} h^{+}$ | 460 K | - | $0.10 \%$ |  |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+}, K^{-} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 10,600 | $0.27 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $\cos \delta_{K \pi}=0.95$ |
| $K^{-} \pi^{+}, h^{-} h^{+}$ | 187 K | - | $0.16 \%$ | $\cos \delta_{K \pi}=0.95$ |
| $h^{-} h^{+}, K^{-} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 345 K | - | $0.12 \%$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 120 K | $0.28 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $\operatorname{BaBar} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}$ amplitudes |
| $\pi^{-} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \pi^{0}, K^{-} \pi^{+}$ | 120 K | $0.56 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ |  |
| $\pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, h^{-} h^{+}$ | 20 K | - | $0.5 \%$ |  |

## Summary

In the limit of no $C P$ violation in mixing ( $p / q=1$ ),

- The formulas presented at the Elba meeting and here allow one to write correlated events generators for the modes studied. These almost certainly include the most important modes for studying mixing and $C P$ violation in mixing (i.e., $p / q \neq 1$ ). This will allow us to determine efficiency and $\Delta t$ resolutions for possible machine and detector configurations ( $\beta \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, B-field strength, etc.).
- The rates associated with direct decays can be extracted from data independently of models using time-integrated measurements. Mixing perturbs these determinations at the $10^{-4}$ level if one integrates over all decay times, and even less if one integrates over limited ranges of $\Delta t$.
- Relative (strong interaction) phases between Cabibbo favored and doublyCabibbo suppressed decays to the same final states can be extracted from time-integrated measurements.
- The interaction rate terms can generally be extracted from data independently of models using a multiplicity of time-integrated measurements if relative strong phases are kind to us. If not, a multiplicity of time-dependent measurements allows these rates as well as the mixing parameters to be extracted with no need for an model.
- Numerical studies using the correlated formulas have been made with Toy Monte Carlo simulation to determine nominal sensitivities to mixing for the most important channels. We will extend these to determine sensitivities to $C P$ violation in mixing.

