TMDs and GPDs at LHCb

Charlotte Van Hulse University of Alcalá





Comunidad de Madrid

Transversity 2024 June 3–7, 2024 Trieste University, Italy



Hard exclusive meson production Hard scale=large Q²









Exclusive meson photoproduction Hard scale = arge charm/bottora-quark mass

 γ \overline{c} $\overline{c$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$

large **haage** mass large mass

SS









Exclusive meson photoproduction Hard scale = arge charm/bottora-quark mass

Exclusive meson photoproduction Hard scale = large charm potent - mass





down to x_B=10⁻⁴ at HERA/EIC

Exclusive meson photoproduction Hard scale = large charm potent - mass





down to x_B=10⁻⁴ at HERA/EIC



Exclusive meson photoproduction Hard scale = large charm potent - mass

down to x_B=10⁻⁶ at LHC!



















Exclusive single ψ production in pp collisions

- Exclusive J/ ψ and ψ (2S): $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and part of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data (from 2015)
 - \rightarrow x_B down to 2x10⁻⁶
- Reconstruction via dimuon decay, with $2 < \eta < 4.5$.
- No other detector activity.
- Quarkonia J// ψ and ψ (2S): 2<y<4.5 and p_T²<0.8 GeV²



large Q^2 large Q^2





Exclusive single ψ production in pp collisions

- Exclusive J/ ψ and ψ (2S): $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and part of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data (from 2015)
 - \rightarrow x_B down to 2x10⁻⁶
- Reconstruction via dimuon decay, with $2 < \eta < 4.5$.
- No other detector activity.
- Quarkonia J// ψ and ψ (2S): 2<v<4.5 and p_T^2 <0.8 GeV²





Exclusive single ψ production in pp collisions

- Exclusive J/ ψ and ψ (2S): $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and part of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV data (from 2015)
 - \rightarrow x_B down to 2x10⁻⁶
- Reconstruction via dimuon decay, with $2 < \eta < 4.5$.
- No other detector activity.
- Quarkonia J// ψ and ψ (2S): 2<v<4.5 and p_T^2 <0.8 GeV²



Bethe-Heitler process



Background: feed down and proton dissociation





proton/ion dissociation



proton/ion dissociation

pp cross section



JMRT prediction, based on gluon PDF:

At low x_B, approximate GPD to gluon PDF

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \propto [g(x_B)]^2$$

Z. Phys. C**57** ('93) 89–92; arXiv:1609.09738



Extraction of the J/ ψ photoproduction





large mass large mass

Extraction of the J/ ψ photoproduction



large Q^2 large Q^2 pp: ambiguity in ID of photon emitter

relation pp and γ p cross section:

$$\sigma_{pp \to p\psi p} = r(W_{+})k_{+}\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}k_{+}}\sigma_{\gamma p \to \psi p}(W_{+}) + r(W_{-})k_{-}\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}k_{-}}\sigma_{\gamma p \to \psi p}(W_{-})$$



7

Extraction of the J/ψ photoproduction



large Q^2 large Q^2 pp: ambiguity in ID of photon emitter

relation pp and γ p cross section:

$$\sigma_{pp \to p\psi p} = r(W_{+})k_{+}\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}k_{+}}\sigma_{\gamma p \to \psi p}(W_{+}) + r(W_{-})k_{-}\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}k_{-}}\sigma_{\gamma p \to \psi p}(W_{-})$$

LHCb used HERA data for low- E_{γ} (W_{-}) contribution.















Exclusive single Y production in pp collisions





higher Q² scale



 10^{1}

What object are we probing?

What object are we probing?



Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ~ target remains in same quantum state.

What object are we probing?



Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ~ target remains in same quantum state.

Incoherent interaction: interaction with constituents inside target.

target does not remain in same quantum state.
 Ex.: target dissociation, excitation

What object are we probing?



Coherent interaction: interaction with target as a whole. ~ target remains in same quantum state.

Incoherent interaction: interaction with constituents inside target.

target does not remain in same quantum state.
 Ex.: target dissociation, excitation



Experimental important points

• Good separation of coherent and incoherent production. Not easy!



Experimental important points

- Good separation of coherent and incoherent production. Not easy!
- GPDs from coherent production: measurements up to large t:
 - 3D or 2D (x independent) transverse position

$$d\Delta_{\perp} \operatorname{GPD}(x, 0, \Delta_{\perp}) e^{-ib_{\perp}\Delta_{\perp}}$$

Experimentally limited by maximum transverse momentum. Need to extend p_T range as much as possible in measurement. ~third diffractive minimum.



Experimental important points

- Good separation of coherent and incoherent production. Not easy!
- GPDs from coherent production: measurements up to large t:
 - 3D or 2D (x independent) transverse position

$$d\Delta_{\perp} \operatorname{GPD}(x, 0, \Delta_{\perp}) e^{-ib_{\perp}\Delta_{\perp}}$$

Experimentally limited by maximum transverse momentum. Need to extend p_T range as much as possible in measurement. ~third diffractive minimum.



Saturation, $W_{\gamma n} = 1$ TeV, $Q^2 = 10$ GeV² Saturation, $W_{\gamma n} = 1$ TeV, Q = 0Saturation, $W_{yn} = 5$ TeV, Q = 01-Pomeron, $W_{\gamma n} = 1$ TeV, $Q^2 = 10$ GeV 1-Pomeron, $W_{\gamma m} = 1$ TeV, Q = 01-Pomeron, $W_{y} = 5$ TeV, Q = 02 3 $|t| [GeV^2]$



11

10

0

Coherent production in PbPb: y dependence

• $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV data.

• $L_{\rm int} = 228 \pm 10 \mu {\rm b}^{-1}$

$$\sigma_{J/\psi}^{
m coh} = 5.965$$

 $\sigma_{\psi(2S)}^{
m coh} = 0.923$



 $\pm 0.059 \pm 0.232 \pm 0.262 \,\mathrm{mb}$ $\pm 0.086 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.040 \,\mathrm{mb}$

Coherent production in PbPb: p_T dependence



TMD PDFs at the LHC

quark polarisation

ation		U	L	т
larisa	U	f_1		h_1^\perp
od uc	L		g_{1L}	h_{1L}^{\perp}
nclea	Т	f_{1T}^{\perp}	g_{1T}^{\perp}	$h_{1T}h_{1T}^{\perp}$

		gluon polarisation				
mom		U	circular	linear		
armind montant	U	f_1^g		$h_1^{\perp g}$		
	L		8 ^g ₁	$h_{1L}^{\perp g}$		
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp g}$	g_{1T}^g	$h_1^g, h_{1T}^{\perp g}$		

larisation nucl

TMD PDFs at the LHC





		gluon polarisation			
ation		U	circular	linear	
olaris	U	f_1^g		$h_1^{\perp g}$	
eon p	L		8 ^g ₁	$h_{1L}^{\perp g}$	
nucl	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp g}$	g_{1T}^g	$h_1^g, h_{1T}^{\perp g}$	



I



15



Muons: $2.0 < \eta < 4.5$ $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV/c}$ $60~{\rm GeV/c^2} < M_{\mu\mu} < 120~{\rm GeV/c^2}$





Muons: $2.0 < \eta < 4.5$ $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV/c}$ $60 \, {\rm GeV/c^2} < M_{\mu\mu} < 120 \, {\rm GeV/c^2}$







 $\cdot J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes

• $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right)$

• $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes

 $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right)$

• $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes

 $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right) + \left$

• $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes

 $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^$

- $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes
- Invariant mass of pair \rightarrow scale variation

 $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4$

- $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes
- Invariant mass of pair \rightarrow scale variation
- Need to subtract double-parton-scattering contribution from data

 $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3' \mathscr{C}[w_3' f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g\perp} h_1$

- $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}]\right) + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^g h_1^$
- Invariant mass of pair \rightarrow scale variation
- Need to subtract double-parton-scattering contribution from data



$$F_3^{\prime} \mathscr{C}[w_3^{\prime} f_1^{g} h_1^{g \perp}] \right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g \perp} h_1^{g \perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right)$$

- $J/\psi J/\psi$ production largely dominated by gluon-induced processes $\sigma \propto F_1 \mathscr{C}[f_1^g f_1^g] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + \left(F_3 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + H_1^{g\perp}\right) + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_2 h_1^{g\perp} h_1^{g\perp}] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^g h_1^{g\perp}] + F_2 \mathscr{C}[w_3 f_1^g h_1^g h_1^$
- Invariant mass of pair \rightarrow scale variation
- Need to subtract double-parton-scattering contribution from data



$$F_3^{\prime} \mathscr{C}[w_3^{\prime} f_1^{g} h_1^{g \perp}] \right) \cos\left(2\phi_{CS}\right) + \left(F_4 \mathscr{C}[w_4 h_1^{g \perp} h_1^{g \perp}]\right) \cos\left(4\phi_{CS}\right)$$



Spin-independent gluon TMDs via $J/\psi J/\psi$ production







includes an additional feed line directly into the cell center via a capillary, Fig. 29. The amount of gas injected can be accurately measured in order to precisely compute the target densities from the cell geometry and temperature.

Beyond the constraints requested by LHC and LHCb, the scheme shown in Fig. 36 is a well established system, operated by the proponents in previous experiments [32, 33].

7.1 Overview

The system consists of four assembly groups, Fig. 36.



Figure 36: The four assembly groups of the SMOG2 Gas Feed System: (i) GFS Main Table, (ii) Gas Supply with reservoirs, (iii) Pumping Station (PS) for the GFS, and (iv) Feed Lines. The pressure gauges are labelled AG1 (Absolute Gauge 1), AG2 (Absolute Gauge 2). The two dosing valves are labelled DVS (Dosing Valve for Stable pressure in the injection volume) and DVC (Dosing Valve for setting the Conductance). The Feeding Connections include the feeding into the VELO vessel and into the storage cell. The corresponding values are labelled CV (Cell Value), VV (VELO Value) and SV (Safety Value). A Full Range Gauge (FRG) monitors the pressure upstream of the last valves for feeding into the vessel (VV) and into the Cell (VC). A RGA with restriction and PS will be employed to analyze the composition of the injected gas (see Sect. 6.4).

(i) GFS Main Table: Table which hosts the main components for the injection of calibrated gas flow (volumes, gauges, and electro-pneumatic valves), to be located on the balcony at the P8 cavern;





includes an additional feed line directly into the cell center via a capillary, Fig. 29. The amount of gas injected can be accurately measured in order to precisely compute the target densities from the cell geometry and temperature.

Beyond the constraints requested by LHC and LHCb, the scheme shown in Fig. 36 is a well established system, operated by the proponents in previous experiments [32, 33].

7.1 Overview

The system consists of four assembly groups, Fig. 36.





includes an additional feed line directly into the cell center via a capillary, Fig. 29. The amount of gas injected can be accurately measured in order to precisely compute the target densities from the cell geometry and temperature.

Beyond the constraints requested by LHC and LHCb, the scheme shown in Fig. 36 is a well established system, operated by the proponents in previous experiments [32, 33].

SMOG2

GPDs: nucleon and nuclear GPDs in high-x_B region

exclusive measurements with SMOG2 (RUN3):

speci data colle parallel w

		pp	pHe	pXe
ial runs {	continuous $\mu^+\mu^-$	$\sigma = 61.931 \text{ pb} = 686 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 113.6 \text{ pb} = 0 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 17.6 \text{ nb} = 29 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$
ection in ∫	$J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	$\sigma = 20.467 \text{ pb} = 2302 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 27.3 \text{ pb} = 0 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 1.3 \text{ nb} = 21 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$
with pp	$\phi \to K^+ K^-$	$\sigma = 184 \text{ pb} = 12 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 109.4 \text{ pb} = 5 \text{ evts}$	$\sigma = 11.0 \text{ nb} = 102 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$

total uncertainty on cross section: 5-10%



SMOG2







Unpolarized Drell-Yan

		U	L	T
n pol.	SMOG2	f_1		$\begin{pmatrix} h_1^{\perp} \end{pmatrix}$
nuclec	L		g_{1L}	h_{1L}^{\perp}
	Т	f_{1T}^{\perp}	g_{1T}	h_1, h_{1T}^{\perp}

Boer-Mulder TMD

quark pol.

2	
pHe	pXe
3.6 pb = 0 evts	$\sigma = 17.6 \text{ nb} = 29 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$
.3 pb = 0 evts	$\sigma = 1.3 \text{ nb} = 21 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$
9.4 pb 75/erg	$\sigma = 11.0 \text{ nb} = 102 \ 10^3 \text{ evts}$

 η_c, χ_{c0}

	g g g g	X
g	$\int_{\partial Q} g$	X
g	Corococo (

g





Fixed target





√*s*_{NN} = 8.2 TeV



LHCSPIN: transversely polarised gas target





 \rightarrow access to spin-dependent PDFs, TMD PDFs and GPDs at the LHC

Fixed target



 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.2 \text{ TeV}$





LHCSPIN: transversely polarised gas target

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115 \text{ GeV}$ \rightarrow access to spin-dependent PDFs,

TMD PDFs and GPDs at the LHC

gas protons, deuterons

Summary

- pp at LHCb provides complementary information about TMD PDFs and GPDs \bullet
 - Unique potential to probe very low x_B, down to 10⁻⁶
 - Probe universality of TMD PDFs/GPDs (where applicable)
- PbPb at LHCb
 - access to nuclear TMD PDFs/GPDs
 - potential to probe saturation effects
- Fixed target: potential to constrain TMD PDFs and GPDs in the poorly constrained high x_B region