


Drell-Yan and charmonium results from COMPASS

Catarina Quintans, LIP-Lisbon
on behalf of the COMPASS Collaboration

05/06/2024



Goals of the COMPASS Drell-Yan programme




Pion-induced Drell-Yan:
Transversely polarized target
Unpolarized targets

Charmonium production:
Cross section
Polarization
 J/ψ pair production

- Studies of the transversely polarized TMD PDFs of the nucleon, complementary to SIDIS ones.
- Unique access to the (TMD) PDFs of the pion.
- Charmonium production at intermediate energies – study of production mechanisms.

COMPASS Drell-Yan measurement

Drell-Yan cross section:
$$\sigma_{\pi p} = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_\pi dx_N f_a(x_N, \mu_F^2) f_b(x_\pi, \mu_f^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ab}$$



The sum is over all parton interactions a,b (q, q-bar, g)


$\hat{\sigma}_{ab}$ are the partonic cross sections, calculable

$f_{a,b}$ are the parton distribution functions from beam and target.

The cross section can be interpreted in terms of:

$f_{a,b}(x_{N,\pi}, k_T, \mu_f^2) \rightarrow$ **TMD PDFs**

$f_{a,b}(x_{N,\pi}, \mu_f^2) \rightarrow$ **PDFs**



3 collinear PDFs used to describe the proton and its dependences (x, Q²):
Unpolarized; Helicity; Transversity.

If considering also the transverse motion, at leading twist
8 quark TMD PDFs are needed to describe the proton (x, k_T, Q²).

		NUCLEON		
		unpolarized	longitudinally pol.	transversely pol.
QUARK	unpolarized	f_1 number density		f_{1T}^\perp Sivers
	longitudinally pol.		g_{1L} helicity	g_{1T} transversity
	transversely pol.	h_1 Boer-Mulders	h_{1L} pretzelosity	h_{1T} transversity



Transverse Momentum Dependent PDFs

Sivers and the expected sign-change between SIDIS and DY

- **Sivers function**: if non-zero then orbital angular momentum is non-vanishing
- **Sivers** and **Boer-Mulders** are time-reversal odd: opportunity for a crucial test of the TMD approach of QCD ($q_T \ll Q$):


In Drell-Yan, q_T is the transverse momentum of the final state dimuon, while Q is the dimuon invariant mass.

$$h_1^\perp(\text{SIDIS}) = -h_1^\perp(\text{DY})$$

$$f_{1T}^\perp(\text{SIDIS}) = -f_{1T}^\perp(\text{DY})$$

COMPASS **Sivers asymmetry** in SIDIS:
PLB 770 (2017) 138-145

-----> Measured in a range common to Drell-Yan





Drell-Yan measurements at COMPASS



At the M2 beamline @ CERN

Negative hadron beam, 190 GeV/c:

- 96.8% π^-
- 2.4% K^-
- $< 1\%$ \bar{p}



No beam PID. All beam is considered as pions, beam contamination accounted for in the systematics for π -induced Drell-Yan cross section.

2018 integrated luminosity, per target





COMPASS targets



- Transversely polarized target: a mixture of NH_3 beads immersed in He.
- The 2 ammonia target cells are oppositely polarized.
- Spin asymmetries are sensitive to the polarizable part only: roughly, the 3 protons in the hydrogen from NH_3
- The sum of events from both ammonia cells over the entire year is effectively unpolarized.
- In absolute cross section measurement, all nucleons contribute: for the ammonia mix, consider the molar fractions:
15.7 % H, 11.1 % ^4He , 73.2 % ^{14}N
- The contamination from other materials into the considered volumes for each target is <4% .

Transverse Spin Asymmetries from DY





Final results now at ArXiv: 2312.17379, to appear in PRL

Extended mass range: 4 – 9 GeV/c². Contamination from other processes is taken into account as a dilution effect to the asymmetries.

Theory curves based on S. Bastami et al, JHEP 02 (2021) 166.

Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS measured by COMPASS, with nearly same spectrometer, and also in the same Q² range.

Data favors the sign change scenario of the Sivers TMD PDF, between SIDIS and DY



These asymmetries relate to convolutions of the TMD PDFs:


$$A_T^{\sin(\phi_S)} \propto \bar{f}_1^\pi(x_\pi, k_{T,\pi}) \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp,p}(x_N, k_{T,p})$$

$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi + \phi_S)} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp,\pi}(x_\pi, k_{T,\pi}) \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp,p}(x_N, k_{T,p})$$

$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S)} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp,\pi}(x_\pi, k_{T,\pi}) \otimes h_1^p(x_N, k_{T,p})$$

Drell-Yan TSAs (standard)

Final results now at ArXiv: 2312.17379, to appear in PRL



Full data samples: 2015 + 2018

~100K dimuon events, after selection

Extended mass range:
 $4 < M_{\mu\mu} / (\text{GeV}/c^2) < 9$

Results consistent with first publication
(based on 2015 data only)
PRL 119 (2017) 112002.

q_T -weighted transverse Spin Asymmetries from DY



With respect to the standard analysis, it has the advantage of giving direct access to the n-th moments of the TMD PDFs:

Standard

q_T -weighted

$$A_T^{\sin(\phi_S)} \propto \bar{f}_1^\pi \otimes f_{1T}^{\perp, p}$$

$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi + \phi_S)} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp, \pi} \otimes h_{1T}^{\perp, p}$$


$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S)} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp, \pi} \otimes h_1^p$$

$$A_T^{\sin(\phi_S) q_T/M_N} \propto \bar{f}_1^\pi \times f_{1T}^{\perp(1), p}$$


$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi + \phi_S) q_T^3/M_N^2} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp(1), \pi} \times h_{1T}^{\perp(2), p}$$

$$A_T^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S) q_T/M_\pi} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp(1), \pi} \times h_1^p$$

Sivers q_T -weighted




Sivers TSA (standard)



1σ positive Sivers WTSA, compatibility TSA \leftrightarrow WTSA

Drell-Yan q_T -weighted TSAs



Pion structure


$$\sigma_{\pi p} = \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_\pi dx_N f_a(x_N, \mu_F^2) f_b(x_\pi, \mu_f^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ab}$$

Pion-induced Drell-Yan provides an access to both proton and pion structure.

In COMPASS Drell-Yan there is mostly sensitivity to the u-quark PDFs in the valence region.

Proton PDFs are known to a good accuracy. Not the case for pion PDFs!

MAP Coll., Phys.Rev.D 107, 114023 (2023)



Available pion-induced DY data is more than 30 years old

Most relevant statistics from E615 (Fermilab) and NA10 (CERN), but using W target – non-negligible nuclear effects.

Very limited information on systematic uncertainties was provided by past experiments.

Only π^- beam, thus little sensitivity to sea quarks.

Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs

The angular dependence of the Drell-Yan unpolarized cross section gives us access to the Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto \hat{\sigma}_U \left\{ 1 + A_U^1 \cos^2 \theta_{CS} + \sin 2\theta_{CS} A_U^{\cos \varphi_{CS}} \cos \varphi_{CS} + \sin^2 \theta_{CS} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \right\}$$

Spin independent

or

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \propto \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\lambda + 3} \left[1 + \lambda \cos^2 \theta_{CS} + \mu \sin 2\theta_{CS} \cos \varphi_{CS} + \frac{\nu}{2} \sin^2 \theta_{CS} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \right]$$

where: $\lambda = A_U^1$, $\mu = A_U^{\cos \phi}$, $\nu = 2 A_U^{\cos 2\phi}$







$$A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \propto h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q} \otimes h_{1,p}^{\perp q}$$


Convolution of the Boer-Mulders TMD PDFs of pion and nucleon

- In the naive Drell-Yan parton model, expect $\lambda=1, \nu=\mu=0$ (LO)
- At NLO, there might be a non-zero ν ($\cos 2\phi_{CS}$ dependence)
- **Lam-Tung relation: $1 - \lambda = 2\nu$**

Drell-Yan unpolarized asymmetries




The Boer-Mulders-related coefficient ν tends to be larger than expected from pQCD



Hint for the presence of non-negligible Boer-Mulders effect

Possible violation of Lam-Tung relation.

Differential Drell-Yan cross sections



$$\frac{d^n \sigma}{dx_n} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \times \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \times \frac{d^n N_{\mu\mu}}{dx_n}$$

\mathcal{L} is the luminosity

ε contains efficiencies, acceptance and lifetimes

x_n are the different observables

$$\tau = M_{\mu\mu}^2 / s = x_\pi x_N$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} q_T \\ q_L \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Transverse and longitudinal momentum of} \\ \text{the dimuon in the Hadrons collision frame} \end{array}$$

$$x_F = q_L / (\sqrt{s}/2)$$

$$x_\pi = [x_F + \sqrt{x_F^2 + 4\tau}] / 2$$

$$x_N = [-x_F + \sqrt{x_F^2 + 4\tau}] / 2$$

The dimuon mass range $4.3 < M_{\mu\mu} / (\text{GeV}/c^2) < 8.5$ is considered as Drell-Yan dominated.

Measurement of cross section requires good control of luminosity and efficiencies systematics.


For this reason, **only 2018 data** is used in the cross-section analysis.

Acceptance ranges from $\sim 1\%$ to $\sim 15\%$.

It varies mostly with x_F (weak dependence with q_T and mass).

Contamination from other physics processes (purity) is taken into account.

Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon from the ammonia-mix target in bins of mass and q_T , as function of Feynman-x



3D cross sections (M, q_T, x_F)


Preliminary results

4.7 Error bars are statistical uncertainty

Error bands are the total uncertainties (quadratic sum of stat. and syst. error)

5.4

8.5
 M (GeV/c^2)





Input to global extraction of PDF and TMD PDF of the pion

Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon from the tungsten target in bins of $\sqrt{\tau}$, as function of Feynman-x



COMPASS versus E615

COMPASS versus NA10






E615 coll., Phys. Rev. D 39, 92-122 (1989)

NA10 coll., Z. Phys. C 28, 9 (1985)

$$\sqrt{\tau} = M/\sqrt{s}$$

Better agreement with NA10 than with E615, namely at lower masses.

Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon as a function of Feynman-x




Preliminary results. Error bars are the statistical uncertainties.
Error bands are the total uncertainties (quadratic sum of stat. and syst. error)



Input for the extraction of nuclear PDFs and study of nuclear effects

Hadro-production of charmonium in COMPASS



J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ data collected simultaneously with Drell-Yan.

Due to the limited mass resolution, $\psi(2S)$ is hardly visible.



Due to the presence of hadron absorber, only access inclusive charmonium production.



J/ψ -related analyses in COMPASS:


- Transverse spin asymmetries
- Unpolarized asymmetries (not yet released)
- Differential cross sections
- J/ψ -pair production

Charmonium production mechanisms




...and also qg contributions possible.

At COMPASS energies, the $q\bar{q}$ mechanism is expected to contribute significantly.



Assuming $q\bar{q}$ annihilation dominance, [M. Anselmino et al, PLB 770 \(2017\) 302](#) predicted large J/ψ TSA and sensitivity to u-quark Siverts TMD PDF of the nucleon.

Transverse spin asymmetries in the J/ψ mass range



Assuming $q\bar{q}$ annihilation is the dominant production mechanism


$$2.85 < M_{\mu\mu}/(\text{GeV}/c^2) < 3.4$$

Lower $\langle x_N \rangle$ and $\langle x_\pi \rangle$ as compared to high mass Drell-Yan

Worse position resolution as compared to high mass Drell-Yan – small leakage from one cell into another.


➔ All TSAs compatible with zero

Single parton scattering (SPS)






SPS expected to dominate at COMPASS energies

Double parton scattering (DPS)



J/ψ pair production

COMPASS, PLB 838 (2023) 137702



COMPASS results are consistent with pure SPS hypothesis



An upper limit on intrinsic charm (IC) production mechanism is obtained:

$$\sigma_{2J/\psi}^{IC} / \sigma_{2J/\psi} \Big|_{x_F > 0} < 0.24 \text{ (CL = 90\%)}$$



J/ψ pair production


COMPASS, PLB 838 (2023) 137702



COMPASS sees no evidence for the exotic state reported by LHCb, *Sci. Bull.* 65 (2020) 1983-1993

$$M[X(6900)] = 6886 \pm 11 \pm 11 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma[X(6900)] = 168 \pm 33 \pm 69 \text{ MeV}$$



Enhanced contribution of DPS at LHC energies allows LHCb to separate SPS and DPS in J/ψ pair production
LHCb, *JHEP* 06 (2017) 047.

J/ψ pair production from isolated SPS contribution



access to the **gluon TMD PDFs**

LHCb: modulations extracted are so-far consistent with zero
LHCb, *JHEP* 03 (2024) 088


In summary:

- COMPASS studied for the first time the transversely polarized Drell-Yan process, collecting data in 2015 and 2018.
- The measured Sivers asymmetry in Drell-Yan is compatible with the [sign-change hypothesis](#) with respect to SIDIS, (also measured in COMPASS).
- The [pion-induced Drell-Yan cross section](#) is measured from the 2018 data, in a multidimensional analysis (M , q_T , x_F).
- Visible hint for a [non-zero Boer-Mulders effect](#) in the angular dependence of the Drell-Yan cross section.
- [Inclusive \$J/\psi\$ production](#) is studied in parallel. All measured transverse spin asymmetries are compatible with zero.
- [\$J/\psi\$ pair production in COMPASS](#) is measured to be compatible with [pure SPS contribution](#).
- No evidence in COMPASS for the X(6900) exotic previously observed by LHCb.




SPARES

Dimuon trigger system




2 triggers, based on hodoscope pairs:

- 2 muons emitted at large angle (LAS-LAS)
- 1 muon at large angle, 1 muon at small angle (LAS-OUTER)




Drell-Yan Transverse Spin Asymmetries: definitions

Target Rest Frame: ϕ_S



Collins-Soper Frame: ϕ_{CS} and θ_{CS}



$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{a(b)} & \text{ the momentum of the beam (target) hadron,} \\
 s & = (P_a + P_b)^2, \text{ the total centre-of-mass energy squared,} \\
 x_{a(b)} & = q^2 / (2P_{a(b)} \cdot q), \text{ the momentum fraction carried by a parton from } H_{a(b)}, \\
 x_F & = x_a - x_b, \text{ the Feynman variable,} \\
 M_{\mu\mu}^2 & = Q^2 = q^2 = s x_a x_b, \text{ the invariant mass squared of the dimuon,} \\
 \mathbf{k}_{T_{a(b)}} & \text{ the transverse component of the quark momentum,} \\
 \mathbf{q}_T = \mathbf{P}_T = \mathbf{k}_{T_a} + \mathbf{k}_{T_b} & \text{ the transverse component of the momentum of the virtual photon.}
 \end{aligned}$$


the momentum of the beam (target) hadron,
the total centre-of-mass energy squared,
the momentum fraction carried by a parton from $H_{a(b)}$,
the Feynman variable,
the invariant mass squared of the dimuon,
the transverse component of the quark momentum,
the transverse component of the momentum of the virtual photon.

Pion Boer-Mulders TMD PDF

Transversity-related WTSA: $A_T^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_S) q_T/M_\pi} \propto \bar{h}_1^{\perp(1), \pi} \times h_1^p$

$$\approx -2 \frac{e_u^2 h_{1,\pi}^{\perp(1)\bar{u}}(x_\pi) h_{1,p}^u(x_N)}{\sum_{q=u,d,s} e_q^2 [f_{1,\pi}^{\bar{q}}(x_\pi) f_{1,p}^q(x_N) + (q \leftrightarrow \bar{q})]}$$

- f_1^p and f_1^π are the unpolarized TMD PDFs of nucleon and pion, taken from CTEQ5D and GRV-PI, respectively.
- h_1^p is the transversity TMD PDF of the nucleon, interpolated by a simple fit to the Collins asymmetry
[A. Martin et al, PRD 91 \(2015\) 014034](#)
- $\bar{h}_1^{\perp(1), \pi}$ is 1st k_T^2 moment of the Boer-Mulders TMD PDF of the pion.



Access the 1st moment of the pion Boer-Mulders



High mass Drell-Yan Acceptance

Evaluated in 4 dimensions (M , q_T , x_F , Z_{vertex}) and separately per dimuon trigger

Example: (NH_3 -He) target

LAS-LAS

LAS-OUTER



Measurement restricted to the range where the acceptance relative accuracy is better than 10%

Acceptance ranges from ~1% to ~15%

Drell-Yan process purity

The DY purity in the mass range 4.3 – 8.5 GeV/c² is evaluated from a **cocktail fit** to the dimuon mass spectrum, and taken into account in the final cross section.

Study done in (q_T , x_F) bins, separately per target and trigger.

The purity is above 90% for:


- NH3-He : $M > 4.3$ GeV/c²
- Al: $M > 4.7$ GeV/c²
- W: $M > 5.5$ GeV/c²

The purity is affected by the mass resolution, worse for W.

The resolutions are also evaluated from Monte Carlo:

Target	δx_F	δq_T (MeV/c)	$\delta M/M$
NH3-He	0.03	150	3.5%
Al	0.03	245	4.5%
W	0.03	340	6.5%

Example:



Drell-Yan cross section per nucleon, in bins of mass, as function of q_T





NH₃-He

2D cross section (M, q_T)

Preliminary results

Error bars are statistical
Uncertainty

Error bands are the total
uncertainties (quadratic sum
of stat. and syst. error)



W



Drell-Yan cross section statistics and systematics

The systematics of the COMPASS measurement include:

- Luminosity uncertainty ~4% (normalization uncertainty)
- Trigger, purity and acceptance-related uncertainties depending on target and kinematics

		Statistics (#events)	Systematic uncertainty	#datapoints in (M, x_F)
COMPASS	NH3-He	36000	~5%	110
	Al	6000	~15%	50
	W	43000	~15%	50
NA10	W	155000	6.5%	59
E615	W	36000	16%	168

Ongoing work to evaluate the fraction of correlated and uncorrelated systematics.