

Reactor Antineutrinos and Non-Proliferation

XXXI International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics 22nd June 2024

Liz Kneale e.kneale@sheffield.ac.uk University of Sheffield

Plutonium - a byproduct of nuclear energy



*International Atomic Energy Agency

Most of the enriched ²³⁵U fuel in a reactor core is in fact ²³⁸U, which can be converted ²³⁹Pu.

Pu can be extracted via chemical reprocessing.

1 significant quantity: ~8 kg of Pu ready for use within days (3 months for Pu in irradiated fuel)

Antineutrino flux - insight into the core



O(10²⁰) antineutrinos per GW_{th}.

Antineutrino flux and spectrum depends on the fissioning isotopes.

Four main isotopes with time-dependent fission fractions in ²³⁵U-fuelled reactor.

Hayes, Vogel, 2016

Antineutrino flux - insight into the core



 $O(10^{20})$ antineutrinos per GW_{th} .

Antineutrino flux and spectrum depends on the fissioning isotopes.

Four main isotopes with time-dependent fission fractions in ²³⁵U-fuelled reactor.

Time-dependent antineutrino emission bears information about the reactor operating power and composition of the core.

4

Neutrinos for non-proliferation



Inverse beta decay (IBD) tried and tested means of detection.

Neutrinos offer a continuous, non-intrusive means of monitoring.

Measurement of the antineutrino flux and spectrum has the potential to observe and verify:

- reactor on/off cycle and power
- reactor distance (ranging)
- reactor direction (pointing)
- core composition and burn-up
- diversion of 1 SQ fissile material
- antineutrinos from nuclear waste

The monitoring challenge

Non-Proliferation Treaties provide the framework for the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) to monitor all stages of the nuclear fuel cycle.



- 1. Diversion of nuclear material
- Undeclared production or processing of nuclear material
- Undeclared nuclear material or facility

The monitoring challenge

Non-Proliferation Treaties provide the framework for the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) to monitor all stages of the nuclear fuel cycle.



- 1. Diversion of nuclear material
- Undeclared production or processing of nuclear material
- 3. Undeclared nuclear material or facility

Source: Pennsylvania State University Radiation Science and Engineering Center (public domain)

The monitoring gap - advanced reactors







Small modular reactors: multi unit, low power (20-300 MW)

Neutrinos are fuel-form agnostic and do not require access to the core. Interest in detectors built into the design of new reactors and mobile monitors.

Monitoring applications in this talk

1. Far-field monitoring (> ~10 km).



2. Near-field monitoring (< ~50 m)



3. Spent fuel monitoring



4. CEvNS for safeguarding



Water-based far-field monitoring

Water-based detectors are scalable to very large sizes for far-field detection.



First antineutrinos have been seen in a pure water Cherenkov detector by SNO+ from reactors > 240 km away (composite reactor signal).

For far-field application we need more advanced technology to observe a single reactor in a complex reactor landscape:

- reactor on/off cycle and power
- reactor distance
- reactor direction

Water-based reactor monitor testbed

BUTTON-30 30-tonne low-background testbed for hardware and fill media, with a focus on low-energy antineutrino detection for non-proliferation.



Under construction in Boulby Mine.

- Very low-background environment.
- Advanced photosensor technology.
- Novel fill materials e.g. water-based liquid scintillator (WbLS).

Final construction phase beginning in September 2024. Planning underway for BUTTON ~1 ktonne

Hybrid detector for far-field monitoring

EOS

- 20 tonne (4-tonne ID)
- WbLS testbed
- Commissioning now!



Demonstration of:

- Spectral sorting and ps photodetection for Cherenkov-scintillation separation.
- Direction reconstruction.



Reactor monitoring,
 range and direction at
 >1000 km

12

Latest near-field capabilities

Residual antineutrinos



- spent fuel in the cooling pools
- assemblies in the shut down reactor
- assemblies kept for the next cycle

Reactor pointing



Gd-doped liquid scintillator monitor

The 1-tonne Gd-doped LS **iDREAM** detector at the Kalinin nuclear power plant (Russia) at ~20 m from the core.

Started taking data in spring 2021



- Simple design.
- Active and passive shielding.
- Easy mounting.
- No need for daily maintenance.
- Remote control of all systems of the detector.

⁶Li-doped plastic scintillator monitor

PANDA is made of ⁶Li-doped plastic scintillator cubes with 3D segmentation and has topological particle ID.



Prototype measured backgrounds 3 m from core at 20 MW JRR-3 research reactor in Japan.

Mobile reactor monitor



- 2D segmented
- ~250kg
- ⁶Li-doped PS
- pulse-shape discrimination

CHANDLER

- 3D segmented
- 600 kg
- WLS PS cubes
- ⁶Li-doped ZnS
- topological PID



Opaque liquid scintillator near-field detector

CLOUD near-field detector at Chooz with 5-10 tonne LiquidO (<u>LiquidO</u> <u>Consortium 2021</u>) opaque scintillator target.



~10 000 IBD interactions per day



~35 m from core ~3 m overburden



> 200 pe/MeV
sub-ns timing

CLOUD-I: development of non-intrusive reactor monitoring.

Spent nuclear fuel monitoring

Spent nuclear fuel (SNF) is stored in casks in interim facilities at nuclear power plants - requires decades of active monitoring.





Neutrinos for spent nuclear fuel monitor



Brdar et al, 2017

Potential for observation of IBD from ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y (1 SQ Pu results in 2 mol of ⁹⁰Sr).

A neutrino detector could perform:

- cask-by-cask monitoring
- long-term monitoring
- detection of diversion of SQ Pu
- remote monitoring from outside shielding

Mobile spent nuclear fuel monitor

VIDARR is now running ~40 m from large store of spent nuclear fuel at Sellafield nuclear facility, UK



- 2 tonnes of PS bars
- Gd-doped mylar sheets between layers
- Energy threshold ~120 keV

Expected rate ~10 antineutrino interactions/day (R. Mills NNL).

CEvNS for safeguarding



- Largest cross section
- Low-threshold detectors (keV)
- Small detectors

Many projects ongoing at reactors and new technologies being explored (<u>Talk by Irina</u>).

Neutrinos for nuclear safeguards

Detector materials, technology and prototypes with potential to meet monitoring requirements probably already exist but...

...more work is needed to demonstrate the technology and the application, and to perform more challenging monitoring such as burnup verification, monitoring outside the fence, and so on.

Strongest use cases address the new challenges of advanced reactor types:

- Safeguarding by design detector built into reactor design
- Mobile, above-ground detectors

Strong **Applied Antineutrino Physics (AAP) community** meet annually to discuss reactor monitoring and other antineutrino applications - next meeting in Aachen 28th - 30th October this year!

Backups

The monitoring gap - advanced reactors

2022 Advanced Nuclear Map





24

energy.gov/ne

Water Cherenkov: mid to far-field

6 ktonne Gd-doped detector with 20% photocoverage and active muon veto:



Untangling degeneracy in reactor power and standoff: limited by energy resolution (<u>Akindele et al, 2023</u>).

Water-based far-field monitor

Gd-water & Gd-WbLS, passive muon veto, 3 GWth reactor, 150 km standoff, known reactor landscape and other backgrounds.



Sensitivity to single reactor complex up to 200 km away: minimum requirement Gd-doped WbLS (Kneale, Wilson et al, 2023)

Water Cherenkov for far-field monitoring

Gd-doped detector with 40 % photocoverage



Different detector sizes evaluated for low, medium and high reactor background levels.

Detectable within 1 year, with no independent background measurement.

Scalability for true far-field monitoring: Limited by position resolution (Li et al, 2022)

Gd-WbLS for far-field monitoring

Gd-WbLS, passive muon veto, 3 GWth reactor, 150 km standoff, known reactor landscape and other backgrounds.



Fourier transform converts energy spectrum to distance to reactor.

True distance to reactor (km)	Reconstructed distance (km)
149	155 +/- 5

28

But decades of observation!

Ranging using Gd-doped WbLS: timely ranging limited by detector performance (<u>Wilson et al. 2024</u>)

Mobile reactor detector

The subsystems will observe **reactor on/off transition within hours** (3 GW_{th} reactor from 25 m)





29