

PROSPECT-II: Physics Goals and Detector Design



Ohana B. Rodrigues*, on behalf of the PROSPECT Collaboration

1. PROSPECT Motivation: Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly 7 6. PROSPECT-II Detector Design

- Antineutrino flux predictions differs from precision measurements
- Possible explanations:
 - Flux mis-prediction
 - Oscillation of active antineutrinos into sterile states









Excluded Regions (to the right of curves)

P2 at HFIR

 2.08×10^{5}

P2 at LEU

 1.79×10^{6}

7. PROSPECT-II Projected Physics Results

P1

36204

4. PROSPECT-I Physics Results

More @ poster #383

- Great signal to background ratio and energy resolution S:B of 4:1
- Oscillation:
 - No indication of sterile neutrino and rejected RAA best-fit point at 2.50 More @ poster #470
- Spectrum:
 - Observation of excess at ~ 5 MeV
 - Isotopic composition of 'The Bump':
 - Equal Isotope hypothesis preferred:
 - No ^{235}U disfavored at 3.2 σ
 - All ^{235}U disfavored at 2.2 σ





5. PROSPECT-I Challenges

- LiLS ingress into PMT housing caused PMT degradation



- LiLS degradation caused effective attenuation length and light yield reduction

Reconstructed Energy [MeV]

Absolute Flux:

Parameter

Effective Statistics

- -P2 can be superior to STEREO's
- -Fundamental for reactor CEvNS experiments - Provide better limits on BSM phenomena



This work is supported by the US DOE Office of High Energy Physics, the Heising-Simons Foundation, CFREF and NSERC of Canada, and internal investments at all institutions. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344, release number LLNL-POS-834643].

