

Olga Razuvaeva on behalf of the RED-100 collaboration or.firefox@qmail.com

RED-100 results&prospects

Coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering

• predicted by Standard Model • very low energy (in keV range) of recoil nucleus • first observed in 2017 by COHERENT

RED-100 detector

• designed in order to study coherent elastic scattering of reactor electron antineutrinos off xenon atomic nuclei • two-phase emission detector • contains ~200 kg of LXe (~ 100 kg in FV) or ~100 kg of LAr (~50 kg in FV)



• 26 Hamamatsu R11410-20 PMTs (19 in the top array, 7 in the



RED-100 at Kalinin NPP



• 19 meters from the reactor core

• reactor and reactor building&infrastructure as a passive shielding from muons

- water tank as a passive shielding from neutrons
- 5 cm of copper passive shielding from gamma sources
- Antineutrino flux at place ~ 1.35*10¹³ cm⁻²s⁻¹
- 65 m.w.e. in vertical direction

bottom array) Thermosyphon-based cooling system (LN₂)

• Sensitive to the single ionization electron (SE) signal. CEvNS response is expected to be of several electrons.

D.Z. Freedman, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 1389 Kopeliovich V B, Frankfurt L L JETP Lett. 19 145 (1974); Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 19 236 (1974) D.Akimov, J. Albert, P. An et.al., Science. – 2017. First ground-level laboratory test of the two-phase xenon emission detector RED-100, Akimov D. et.al., JINST 2020

Scheme of the RED-100 detector

0 10³

(ke) 10°

È 10⁻¹

^{-10⁻²} Hate



was shipped to KNPP • 2021: Deployed and tested • 2022: (Jan-Feb) Physical run • reactor OFF and reactor ON periods

Akimov D. Y., et al. JINST 17.11 (2022), T11011

Background conditions at KNPP



• background was measured with RED-100 itself and with different additional detectors • no significant correlation in external background count rate with reactor operation

Calibration and characterization of the detector

• LED calibration (for the SPE parametrization) • SE calibration (with zero hardware threshold)



Reactor antineutrino spectrum

• contribution of the high energy tail is significant in SM 2018 (Summation Model) our ROI (>4 extracted ionization electrons) INR (Double Chooz based) • the partial shares of the main fissile isotopes of nuclear fuel were considered unchanged throughout the data taken period

• the average energy per fission is ~205.3 MeV



1.0

1,5 Nuclear recoil energy E_{xe} [keV]

Xe NR

Signal simulation

• every signal consists of several SE signals • SE signals were simulated



• calibration with the cosmic muons (for the electron lifetime measurement)

• calibration with gamma-sources (¹³⁷Cs and ⁶⁰Co) (for the light response functions (LRFs) reconstruction and electron extraction efficiency calculation)



D.Y. Akimov et al 2023 JINST 18 P12002 https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12645





using measured SE parameters and reconstructed LRFs

• charge yield was calculated using NEST 2.1.4

Huber&Muller

Daya Bay

2,0

2,5

Kurchatov Institute

- there is a significant dependence on charge yield dispersion model
- The Poisson dispersion is based on assumption that SE are results of counting experiment
- The NEST dispersion is based on first principles (mechanism
- of total quanta distribution to scintillation and ionization

channels with correction to non-binomal component)

V.I. Kopeikin, L.A. Mikaelyan, and V.V. Sinev, Reactor as a Source of Antineutrinos: Thermal Fission Energy, Physics of Atomic Nuclei, 1892 (2004) Szydagis et al., Noble Element Simulation Technique (v2.3.6) // Zenodo. – 2022.





Data in ROI (ON and OFF)

• Trigger:

- counts SPEs in individual channels in 2µs time window with vetos after muons and gammas

- veto high SPE rate
- has livetime ~60%
- Cuts:
- on the number of random SPE on the wf
- on the energy (>4.5 visible ionization electrons)
- on the reconstructed radius (140 mm)

before cuts کم 104 م after cuts reactor OFF v 10³ IIdl € 10² 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.5 8.0 visible extracted electron ★ before cuts 🛨 after cuts , 10st 10-: simulated CEvNS

5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 9.0 visible extracted electrons

 $T off = 65 kg \cdot day, T on = 172 kg \cdot day$

LXe summary

•the possibility of the detector operation with stable parameters at NPP was demonstrated for the first time for this detector type •threshold 4.5 SE

•the sensitivity to single ionization electrons was shown (SEG = 27.4 ± 0.03 SPE/SE)

•advanced data analysis methods were applied

with **optimistic** Poisson fluctuations:



– on the duration (cut depends on energy)

pointlike cut by two neural networks

Unexpectable pointlike high energy background!

Sensitivity&CEvNS upper limit



• combined histogram (reconstructed energy+radius+duration)

- Azimov dataset for sensitivity calculation
- ON-OFF residual for CEvNS limit calculation

<u>NEST fluctuations</u>:

- sensitivity ~131 and upper limit ~172
- <u>Poisson fluctuations:</u> %
- 90 sensitivity ~43 and upper limit ~70

(times larger than SM prediction)

Significant dependence on the fluctuations model!

 ~190 times background suppression in ROI (~16 times signal suppression) (NEST fluctuations)

• unexpectable pointlike background in ROI

• significant result dependence on the fluctuation model

L = 19 m

10²

Xe

RED-100/LAr

• higher nuclear recoils energies \rightarrow more electrons per CEvNS event nuclear recoil spectra ~100% electron extraction Engineering tests are ongoing – PMTs were coated with TPB the cooling upgraded system was extraction field was raised to 5kV/cm the up 3.5 recoil energy, ke

• LY and SE study is ongoing

I on

100 days reactor OFF livetime requires at least 10 years detector exposition at the KNPP