

Advanced new tool for background rejection in KamLAND geo-neutrino analysis using machine learning methods



[Geo-neutrino] Geo-neutrino : Electron-antineutrinos from natural radioactive decays in the Earth

[Delayed coincidence of inverse β-decay]

Anti-neutrino creates two events with time and space correlation

Neutron capture gamma energy
2.2 MeV : captured by proton (99.48%)
4.9 MeV : captured by ¹²C (0.51%)

Number of geo-nu ∝ amount of U Th radiogenic heat

- Geo-neutrino is powerful tool to directly measure the amount of radiogenic heat sources

Chemical reactions:
 $^{238}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{206}\text{Pb} + 8\alpha + 6e^- + 6\bar{\nu}_e + 51.7\text{ MeV}$
 $^{232}\text{Th} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + 6\alpha + 4e^- + 4\bar{\nu}_e + 42.7\text{ MeV}$
 $^{40}\text{K} \rightarrow ^{40}\text{Ca} + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + 1.311\text{ MeV} (89.28\%)$

*Only geo-neutrinos from ²³⁸U & ²³²Th are detectable right now

[KamLAND detector]

In operation since 2002

Outer detector

- 3.2 kton Pure water
- 20 inch PMTs (#140)
- Shielding and active to muon

Inner detector

- 17inch PMT(#1325)
- 20inch PMT(#554)
- Buffer oil
- 1 kton LS in the balloon

Neutrino detection

Depth: 2700 m.w.e
Muons flux = $1.50 \times 10^{-7} \text{ (cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{)}$

Low background environment
²³⁸U: $\sim 5.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ g/g}$
²³²Th: $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ g/g}$

[BDT-likelihood selection] BDT : Boosted Decision Tree

Input parameters: Ep, Xp, Yp, Zp, dT, dR

Output histogram: Background test, Signal test

Each data is given a score by the trained BDT model

parameter = (Ep, Xp, Yp, Zp, p: prompt, Ed, Xd, Yd, Zd, d: delayed, ΔT, ΔR)

Old likelihood selection: Ep(76 bin), Ed(3 bin), Rp(5 bin), Rd(5 bin), ΔT(1 bin), ΔR(16 bin) → 1200 bin

Decision tree : A decision tree is a model that has a tree-like structure, where each "leaf" represents a question. Data is split based on the conditions of these questions. (Ex. "leaf" : dT < 200μs, Ed > 2 MeV ...)

GBDT (Gradient Boosting Decision-Tree) : A method to reduce the error (loss) by adding weak learned decision tree. There are several powerful models.

XGBoost (Extreme Gradient Boosting) : Robust and prevents over-learning.

Example Decision Tree: Want to buy ice cream? Yes - 30, No - 70. rainy day: Yes - 10, No - 45; sunny day: Yes - 20, No - 25. Below 35°C: Yes - 2, No - 35; Above 35°C: Yes - 8, No - 10; weekday: Yes - 5, No - 20; holiday: Yes - 15, No - 5.

Improvement points

- Binned analysis → un-binned analysis
- Consider correlations between parameters
- Input parameters taking into account detector asymmetry (R → X,Y,Z)

*Training data is generated by simulation(signal) and data-driven(background)

*Hyper-parameters are tuned using optuna

Result Remaining ratio

New BDT-likelihood vs Old likelihood

Signal: More signals survive near the balloon edge

Background: Rejection power is increased

Result Accidental background time variation of [BDT-likelihood / old likelihood]

0.9 < Ep

The cut threshold has been changed, resulting in a slight increase in the background.

Result Selection efficiency

BDT-likelihood vs Old likelihood

The experimental period is divided into several likelihood-periods depending on the state of the detector.(LH0 ... LH7)

Background : 40% decrease
Signal : 8% increase
Significant improvement in S/N ratio

[Particle identification using graph neural network]

- GNN(graph neural network) model
- Training data is created by geant4
- positron(annihilation, ortho-positronium), gamma
- Positrons make ortho-positronium at about 50% and lifetime of ortho-positronium is about 3ns in Kam-LS.
- Geant4 does not create ortho-positronium, so I made the events using electron and 2γ

[model] Input parameter : PMT hit timing(T) and charge(Q)

DCGCN layers: n-layers, m-layers, Add, (GC)

Nodes: 1879, Nodes = PMTs, Decrease # of nodes, while increase # of features

Nodes: 768, 192, 48, 12

Graph Pooling on Healtix Conv. Fully connected layers. PID score

of trainable parameters ~10,000,000

[summary]

- Significant improvements have been achieved by using new tools with Decision Tree
- The use of neural networks showed the feasibility of PID.
- Increased light intensity would improve PID accuracy
- Machine learning may also enable analyses that were previously challenging, such as neutrino directional detection.
- The new analysis using machine learning will be applied to the final data analysis of KamLAND.

Simulation: positron, gamma

Data: Positron : ¹¹C positron (1.2 - 2.0 MeV)
Gamma : spallation neutron gamma (1.8 - 2.6 MeV)

