# Sensitivity analysis for the neutrino mass experiment Project 8

C. Claessens<sup>1</sup>, T. E. Weiss<sup>2</sup>, for the Project 8 Collaboration <sup>1</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA <sup>2</sup>Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA



# Neutrino mass sensitivity analysis in Project 8

Project 8 pursues a direct neutrino mass measurement with a target sensitivity of 40 meV/c<sup>2</sup> (90% C.L.) by recording a tritium spectrum using **Cyclotron Radiation Emission Spectroscopy (CRES)**.





## Including cavity-specific statistical and systematic effects

CRES in a cavity poses several advantages that improve sensitivity.

Large effective volume V<sub>eff</sub>:

- V scales with  $1/f^3 \rightarrow 1/B^3$
- Low-frequency cavity increases statistics



#### Goals of sensitivity analysis:

- Study requirements to achieve 40 meV/c<sup>2</sup> goal in Phase IV
- Optimize design parameters to minimize uncertainty on  $m_{R}$
- **Predict sensitivity** of Project 8's future experiments

# Analytic estimation of neutrino mass sensitivity for differential β-decay measurements

- **Statistical uncertainty:** Estimate  $m_{\beta}$  by counting the number of events in an energy window  $\Delta E$  below the spectrum endpoint  $E_{\alpha}$
- **Systematic uncertainty:** Introduced from energy broadening  $\sigma_i$  and broadening uncertainty  $\delta \sigma_i$

- Electron power couples to the TE<sub>011</sub> mode
- Resonant mode enhances signal power  $\rightarrow$  improves detection efficiency

#### Energy broadenings $\sigma_i$ :

- Frequency resolution: Sinusoid's Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (vs. *n*) for given Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR)
- Calculate SNR from cavity and amplifier temperatures, cavity Q, and attenuations
- Magnetic field broadening:
  - Axial/pitch ( $\theta$ ):

Analytic model of trap shape correction (vs. *n*)

 $\circ$  r,  $\phi$ , time: Calculated externally



• Total uncertainty on  $m_{\beta}^{2}$ :

$$\sigma_{m_{\beta}^2} = 4 \sqrt{\frac{1}{(6 C_T V_{\text{eff}} n t)^2}} \left[ C_T V_{\text{eff}} n t \Delta E + \frac{b t}{\Delta E} \right] + \sum_i \sigma_i^2(n) \cdot \delta \sigma_i^2$$

*t*:runtime *n*:gas density  $V_{eff}$ : effective volume *b*:background



## Phase II: A reality check for sensitivity estimation

• All  $\sigma_i$  must be calibrated to 1% precision ( $\delta \sigma_i$ )

# Sensitivity in future Project 8 experiments

A Phase IV scenario that reaches 40 meV sensitivity:

- Statistics target:
  - 10 cavities with 2.5 m diameter running for 6 years
  - $\sim ~5\%$  trapping efficiency, ~60% detection efficiency
- Systematic broadening  $\sigma_i$  target: 0.13 eV (total)
  - Frequency resolution: 0.05 eV
  - Resolution from spatial and temporal field variation: 0.09 eV each

#### Optimum density minimizes uncertainty

#### Phase IV with 10 cavities





- In Phase II, we recorded the **first tritium spectrum using CRES**.
- From this data, we placed a limit on  $m_{\beta}$  at 90% C.L.: Frequentist: <152 eV/c<sup>2</sup>; Bayesian: <155 eV/c<sup>2</sup>
- Sensitivity predictions are in great agreement with these results.





#### Next: Intermediate size experiment (e.g. 2 m<sup>3</sup>) with sub-eV sensitivity

- Demonstrate technology requirements for Phase IV
- 0.2 eV total energy broadening at ~20 mT field
- Achieve excellent SNR with 20 mK amplifier temperature and 4 K cavity temperature
- Compatibility of magnet design with atomic tritium: Magnetic atom and electron traps, and homogenous background field

Acknowledgments: This work is supported by the US DOE Office of Nuclear Physics, the US NSF, the PRISMA+ Cluster of Excellence at the University of Mainz, and internal investments at all institutions.