

FCC-ee MDI & IR mockup Workshop 17/11/2023 H. Burkhardt

Tungsten shielding in the MDI and muon background



Do we need tungsten shielding to protect the final focus quads from quenching ? would need space and add weight to the tight MDI design

- SuperKEKB
- Strategy, experience from other machine
- Scattering processes, beam-gas, thermal, muon-production scaling of energy + angular dependence, rough estimates

Acknowledgement : many thanks to Hiroyuki Nakayama / KEK on SuperKEKB FCC-ee MDI team ; former LEP colleagues with in particular Georg von Holtey



SuperKEKB, Belle II





Hiroyuki Nakayama @ Oct. 2023 International Circular Collider "CEPC" workshop



SuperKEKB



Hiroyuki Nakayama Oct. 2023 Int. Circ. Collider "CEPC" workshop

<u>TDR(2010)</u>



Final design thick tungsten layers

- TDR is prepared just after the change of SuperKEKB design concept ("High current " → "Nano-beam")
- Therefore, at that time, no beam background estimation was available for the "Nano-beam" optics
- No shield considered inside the cryostat
- As background simulation developed, we found a significant beam loss inside the final focus magnet
- I made a strong request to put as much heavy-metal shield as possible inside the cryostat
- It required major modification on the alreadystarted cryostat fabrication process

Takeaway message: Reserve enough space for the BG shields between detectors and beam pipes!

SuperKEKB : HER 7 GeV e- LER 4 GeV e+

To which extend do we need that for the FCC-ee IR ?





DESY - PETRA 2.3 km e+e- ring, early operation ~ 1980 at 18 GeV / beam backgrounds increased when Sn shields were added around experimental beam pipe

IR should be transparent for beam + halo realistic halo + scattering of secondaries non-trivial to simulate Fluorescence, Rayleigh, <u>specular reflection</u> misalignment, fringe fields, muon production frequently underestimated or even missing

Good strategy confirmed by LEP measurements

- 1) minimize background at the source
- 2) collimate halo far from IP; do not reduce lifetime
- 3) off-momentum collimation end of arc each IP

Typical collimator gap vs background picture







Collimating high energy e+, e- will generate muons, roughly at the 10⁻⁴ - 10⁻⁵ level



came as a bad surprise for SLC, hard to avoid in linear colliders

carefully studied for CLIC, hard to reduce ≥ 40 m long magnetized shielding

Muon Sweeper Design, Aloev et al., Belgin Pilicer thesis

not an issue for LEP-MDI ; losses were collimated far from the experiments

In FCC-Z we expect to lose several 10^{11} e+, e- per second generating millions of muons / second \rightarrow minimize collimation of e+, e- in line of sight to experiments







LHC rather different the major source of radiation in the IR are the pp-collisions produced at the IP + contribution from halo collimation + local beam gas

major ingredients of going from LHC lighter beam-pipe + Al2219 suppor new much larger aperture final focus inner diameter 70 mm → 150 mn final focus quadrupoles starting 23 1 behind a 1.8 m thick Cu - absorber with reinforced tungsten alloy shield 16 mm thick in first quad, then 6 mm





Energy Deposition and Radiation F. Cerutti et al. High Lumi LHC book, 2nd Ed.

Recent ALICE background issue in LHC PbPb operation Main source halo hitting the vertical collimator TCTPV.4L2.B1 at 117 m in front of ALICE identified by background decrease when opening collimator gap later mitigated with dispersion dump, origin and consequences currently under study Pb208 ions losing one neutron Pb207 appearing as 0.5 % off-momentum particle



Beam-gas, thermal photons, off momentum







At high energy elastic scattering small mainly inelastic off-momentum tail well visible in LEP, possible to protect that not a major issue < 1 electron lost at IR / crossing

thanks to

- excellent vacuum
- powerful momentum collimation



LEP IP region



Schematic layout based on <u>'91 Nucl.Phys paper</u> redrawn/updated



SiW instrumented horizontal 8.5 m collimator

used as machine interaction rate and mostly off-momentum background monitor

used to benchmark simulations and optimize local collimation, details in MD-notes 107, 111 ...





Mike Koratzinos estimate for QC1 FCCee MDI meeting 14/3/2022 NbTi standard Kapton insulated LHC cable 0.825mm × 0.970mm 1.9 K Icrit = 1100 A / mm² 100 T / m max gradient quench limit 3 mW / cm³ = 1.9×10⁷ GeV / cm³ s



continuous energy loss should be $< 2 \times 10^7$ GeV / cm³ s





• Elastic Coulomb scales with E^2 numbers for $\theta > 100 \mu rad$	
σ _{COU} = 163 Barn @ 4 GeV SuperKEKB LER	$P_{col} = 5.3 \times 10^{-13}/m$
$\sigma_{COU} = 1.25 \text{ Barn} @ 45.6 \text{ GeV} FCCee Z$ Collision Probability	$P_{col} = 4.1 \times 10^{-15}/m$
 Inelastic ~ independent of beam energy 	
$\sigma_{\text{BREM}} = 6.56$ Barn for 1% energy acceptance,	$P_{col} = 2.1 \times 10^{-14}/m$
Residual Gas, FCC-ee vacuum system presented yesterday by Roberto Kersevan	
here 23°C and simple const 1 nTorr = 1.33×10^{-7} Pa CO LEP typically 2× less	
Beam currents or #particles / second FCCeeZ and SuperKEKB very similar	
Ibeam = 1.27 A 7.9×10^{18} particles / second multiplied with P _{col}	
rates per meter and second	
1.7×10^5 / m s inelastic off-momentum, σ_{BREM} , FCCee in addition thermal photon	
little dispersion around IP, make sure to screen from off-momentum and halo	
produced at larger distances	
4.2×10^6 / m s elastic LER	

 3.2×10^4 / m s elastic FCCeeZ ~ managable





Beam-gas background characterization in the FCC-ee IR, Boscolo et al. J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1067 022012 2018



Beam losses at IR, FCC week 2019 with thermal photon scattering around the ring possible to suppress off-momentum losses from arc towards IR with horizontal collimators 640 m and 480 m from IP Meanwhile optics and aperture changes and more work on <u>halo collimation by Andrey Abramov et al.</u> and SR collimation as shown earlier today by Kevin André

+ radiative Bhabha generated at IP - not possible to shield from outside



IR region optics, potential places for local cleaning



FCCee_z_572_nosol K.Oide <u>170th FCC-ee Optics Design Meeting 20/7/2023</u> + install_IP_solenoid





Summary



- Thick tungsten shielding of the final focus quads was found to be essential for SuperKEKB their takeaway message to reserve space should better be considered seriously
- The IR region is tight and packed absorbing the much higher energy FCC-ee halo particles generates more heating, radiation and some very penetrating muons
- The importance of Coulomb + Touschek scattering decreases with energy and the FCC-ee ring is much larger offering more space to clean halo away from the IR making thick shielding in the IR more optional to be confirmed & optimized by further beam-gas / thermal photon / radiative Bhabha / collimation & IR modeling studies

Backup



LEP, example of background particle tracking





Illustration of beam particle tracking through the LEP lattice over 1000 meters up to an experimental region (cs coordinates). The distance X from the nominal orbit is given in cm units.

The tracks are for particles that are lost within ± 9 m from the interaction point. The 12 σ beam envelope is shown as broken line.

The physical aperture limitation given by the beam pipes is shaded.

The position of collimators (called COLH.QS15, COLH.QS17..) as used in LEP physics runs is shown as vertical straight lines.

Codes : <u>MAD8</u>, <u>Turtle</u>, <u>DIMAD</u>, <u>EGS</u> + "own generators" beam gas, <u>thermal</u>, <u>SR</u>, <u>radiative Bbhabha</u>

plot from my simulation for the 1998 LEP background paper <u>Ref [1]</u>