# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Black holes as point particles: from amplitudes to self-force

#### Riccardo Gonzo



#### THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

"Gravitational Wave Probes of Fundamental Physics" workshop

Rome, 13 February 2024

#### [Motivation and introduction](#page-2-0)

- [The Post-Minkowskian expansion and classical amplitudes](#page-7-0)
- [From scattering to bound observables](#page-18-0)
- [From amplitudes to self-force resummation](#page-30-0)

#### **[Conclusion](#page-32-0)**

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>Motivation and introduction (I)

• The recent discovery of gravitational waves calls for new analytical techniques to study the two-body problem.

 $\left( -1\right)$   $\left( -1\right)$ 

# Motivation and introduction (I)

- The recent discovery of gravitational waves calls for new analytical techniques to study the two-body problem.
- We need waveform templates to extract the signal: the effective one-body (EOB) [Buonanno, Damour] and the self-force approach allow to combine analytical and numerical techniques for the evolution of compact binaries



# Motivation and introduction (I)

- The recent discovery of gravitational waves calls for new analytical techniques to study the two-body problem.
- We need waveform templates to extract the signal: the effective one-body (EOB) [Buonanno, Damour] and the self-force approach allow to combine analytical and numerical techniques for the evolution of compact binaries



• Today: focus on the inspiral phase, where we can model compact objects as point particles in the spirit of effective field theory [Goldberger,Rothstein]

 $\Omega$ 

(□ ) (@ ) ( )

#### $\bullet$  Idea: use particle field theory tools ( $\rightarrow$  scattering amplitudes)



 $299$ 

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span> $\bullet$  Idea: use particle field theory tools ( $\rightarrow$  scattering amplitudes)



• Why amplitudes? (adapted to scattering orbits. . bound orbits? Stay tuned!)

Amplitudes are gauge-invariant, universal objects which encode in a compact way the perturbative scattering dynamics for point particles in a QFT. New perspective on GR!



# <span id="page-7-0"></span>KMOC formalism for the two-body problem (I)

• Two-body scattering in GR: Consider as initial state two massive particles separated by an impact parameter  $b^\mu$  [Kosower,Maybee,O'Connell=KMOC]

$$
\left|\psi_{\text{in}}\right\rangle=\int\mathrm{d}\Phi\left(\rho_{1},\rho_{2}\right)\psi_{1}(\rho_{1})\psi_{2}(\rho_{2})e^{i\left(b\cdot\rho_{1}\right)/\hbar}\left|\rho_{1}\rho_{2}\right\rangle
$$

with some wavefunctions  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  localized on classical trajectories.

# KMOC formalism for the two-body problem (I)

Two-body scattering in GR: Consider as initial state two massive particles separated by an impact parameter  $b^\mu$  [Kosower,Maybee,O'Connell=KMOC]

$$
\left|\psi_{\text{in}}\right\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi\left(\rho_1,\rho_2\right) \psi_1(\rho_1) \psi_2(\rho_2) e^{i(b\cdot \rho_1)/\hbar} \left|\rho_1 \rho_2\right\rangle
$$

with some wavefunctions  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  localized on classical trajectories.

The dynamics of the evolution is determined by the action

$$
S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}R + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi_j \partial_\nu \phi_j - m_j^2 \phi_j^2 \right) + S_{\text{GF}}
$$

where we perform the perturbative expansion

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{32\pi G_N} \rightarrow \text{Post-Minkowskian expansion in } G_N.
$$

# KMOC formalism for the two-body problem (I)

Two-body scattering in GR: Consider as initial state two massive particles separated by an impact parameter  $b^\mu$  [Kosower,Maybee,O'Connell=KMOC]

$$
\left|\psi_{\text{in}}\right\rangle = \int \mathrm{d}\Phi\left(\rho_1,\rho_2\right) \psi_1(\rho_1) \psi_2(\rho_2) e^{i(b\cdot \rho_1)/\hbar} \left|\rho_1 \rho_2\right\rangle
$$

with some wavefunctions  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  localized on classical trajectories.

• The dynamics of the evolution is determined by the action

$$
S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} R + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi_j \partial_\nu \phi_j - m_j^2 \phi_j^2 \right) + S_{\text{GF}}
$$

where we perform the perturbative expansion

$$
g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu} \,, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{32\pi G_N} \rightarrow \text{Post-Minkowskian expansion in } G_N \,.
$$

• See Gambino's talk if you are interested in the metric from point particles!

 $\Omega$ 

( □ ) ( <sub>○</sub> ) (

# KMOC formalism for the two-body problem (II)

• We can compute classical observables  $\mathcal O$  with expectation values

$$
\langle \psi_{\sf in} | \mathcal{S}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{S} | \psi_{\sf in} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0} = 2 \Re i \langle \psi_{\sf in} | \mathcal{O} \, \mathcal{T} | \psi_{\sf in} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0} + \langle \psi_{\sf in} | \, \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} \, \mathcal{T} | \psi_{\sf in} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0}
$$

which the S-matrix  $S = 1 + iT$  gives both contributions linear in the amplitude  $\mathcal T$  (and its conjugate  $\mathcal T^\dagger$ ) and quadratic ones  $\mathcal T^\dagger\mathcal T$  (unitarity cuts).

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>KMOC formalism for the two-body problem (II)

• We can compute classical observables  $\mathcal O$  with expectation values

$$
\langle \psi_{\mathsf{in}} | \mathcal{S}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{S} | \psi_{\mathsf{in}} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0} = 2 \Re i \langle \psi_{\mathsf{in}} | \mathcal{O} \mathcal{T} | \psi_{\mathsf{in}} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0} + \langle \psi_{\mathsf{in}} | \mathcal{T}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{T} | \psi_{\mathsf{in}} \rangle \Big|_{\hbar \to 0}
$$

which the S-matrix  $S = 1 + iT$  gives both contributions linear in the amplitude  $\mathcal T$  (and its conjugate  $\mathcal T^\dagger$ ) and quadratic ones  $\mathcal T^\dagger\mathcal T$  (unitarity cuts).

• Connection with the "classical" on-shell reduction of the in-in approach in the  $(+)/(-)$  basis [Britto, RG, Jehu]



## <span id="page-12-0"></span>Classical limit from quantum field theory?

• How do we take the classical limit for the scattering of point particles?

 $-10<sup>-1</sup>$ 

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>Classical limit from quantum field theory?

- How do we take the classical limit for the scattering of point particles?
- Massive particles: use minimum-uncertainty wavefunctions localized on the classical trajectory [KMOC]



$$
\psi\left(\rho\right) = \mathcal{N}m^{-1}\exp\left[-\frac{\rho\cdot u}{\hbar\ell_c/\ell_{w}^2}\right] \stackrel{\text{rest frame}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}'\exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2m^2\ell_c^2/\ell_{w}^2}\right)
$$

where  $p^\mu$  is the momentum,  $\ell_{c,j} = \hbar/m_j$  is the Compton wavelength,  $\ell_w$  the intrinsic spread of the wavefunction. If  $b^{\mu}$  is the impact parameter we require,

$$
\ell_{c,j}\ll\ell_w\ll b=\sqrt{-b^2}\,.
$$

# <span id="page-14-0"></span>Classical limit from quantum field theory?

- How do we take the classical limit for the scattering of point particles?
- Massive particles: use minimum-uncertainty wavefunctions localized on the classical trajectory [KMOC]



$$
\psi\left(\rho\right) = \mathcal{N}m^{-1}\exp\left[-\frac{\rho\cdot u}{\hbar\ell_c/\ell_{w}^2}\right] \stackrel{\text{rest frame}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}'\exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2m^2\ell_c^2/\ell_{w}^2}\right)
$$

where  $p^\mu$  is the momentum,  $\ell_{c,j} = \hbar/m_j$  is the Compton wavelength,  $\ell_w$  the intrinsic spread of the wavefunction. If  $b^{\mu}$  is the impact parameter we require,

$$
\ell_{c,j}\ll\ell_w\ll b=\sqrt{-b^2}\,.
$$

• Massless particles: use coherent states! [Cristof[oli,](#page-13-0) [RG](#page-15-0), K[os](#page-14-0)[o](#page-15-0)[w](#page-6-0)[e](#page-7-0)[r](#page-17-0)[,](#page-18-0) [O](#page-6-0)['C](#page-7-0)[on](#page-18-0)[ne](#page-0-0)[ll\]](#page-36-0)

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>Classical limit of scattering amplitudes

Conservative 4-pt amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_4(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2)$ : in the classical limit  $\hbar \to 0$ 

$$
\begin{aligned} \rho_1^\mu&:=\rho_A^\mu+\hbar\frac{\bar{q}^\mu}{2}\,,\qquad (\rho_1')^\mu:=&\rho_A^\mu-\hbar\frac{\bar{q}^\mu}{2}\,,\qquad s=(\rho_A+\rho_B)^2\,,\\ \rho_2^\mu&:=&\rho_B^\mu-\hbar\frac{\bar{q}^\mu}{2}\,,\qquad (\rho_2')^\mu:=&\rho_B^\mu+\hbar\frac{\bar{q}^\mu}{2}\,,\qquad t=-\hbar^2|\vec{\bar{q}}|^2\,, \end{aligned}
$$

where  $p_A$ ,  $p_B$  are the classical momenta and q is the momentum transfer.



### <span id="page-16-0"></span>Classical limit of scattering amplitudes

Conservative 4-pt amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_4(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2)$ : in the classical limit  $\hbar \to 0$ 

$$
p_1^{\mu} := p_A^{\mu} + \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad (p_1^{\prime})^{\mu} := p_A^{\mu} - \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad s = (p_A + p_B)^2,
$$
  

$$
p_2^{\mu} := p_B^{\mu} - \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad (p_2^{\prime})^{\mu} := p_B^{\mu} + \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad t = -\hbar^2 |\vec{\bar{q}}|^2,
$$

where  $p_A$ ,  $p_B$  are the classical momenta and q is the momentum transfer.



Generalization for the  $4 + M$ -pt amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{4+M}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2, k_1, \ldots, k_M)$ 

$$
q_{1,2}^\mu=p_{1,2}^\mu-(p_{1,2}')^\mu=\hbar\bar q_{1,2}^\mu\,,\qquad k_j^\mu=\hbar\bar k_j^\mu\,,j=1,\ldots,M\,.
$$

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>Classical limit of scattering amplitudes

Conservative 4-pt amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_4(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2)$ : in the classical limit  $\hbar \to 0$ 

$$
p_1^{\mu} := p_A^{\mu} + \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad (p_1^{\prime})^{\mu} := p_A^{\mu} - \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad s = (p_A + p_B)^2,
$$
  

$$
p_2^{\mu} := p_B^{\mu} - \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad (p_2^{\prime})^{\mu} := p_B^{\mu} + \hbar \frac{\bar{q}^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad t = -\hbar^2 |\vec{q}|^2,
$$

where  $p_A$ ,  $p_B$  are the classical momenta and q is the momentum transfer.



Generalization for the  $4 + M$ -pt amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{4+M}(p_1, p_2; p'_1, p'_2, k_1, \ldots, k_M)$ 

$$
q_{1,2}^{\mu} = p_{1,2}^{\mu} - (p_{1,2}^{\prime})^{\mu} = \hbar \bar{q}_{1,2}^{\mu} , \qquad k_j^{\mu} = \hbar \bar{k}_j^{\mu} , j = 1, \ldots, M.
$$

Main l[e](#page-7-0)ss[o](#page-18-0)n: only wavevector[s](#page-17-0)  $\bar{q}_{1,2}^{\mu}, \bar{k}_j$  $\bar{q}_{1,2}^{\mu}, \bar{k}_j$  $\bar{q}_{1,2}^{\mu}, \bar{k}_j$  are classic[al,](#page-16-0) [ne](#page-18-0)e[d](#page-15-0) [t](#page-17-0)o [r](#page-6-0)es[to](#page-18-0)re  $\hbar!$  $\hbar!$ 

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>Waveforms from KMOC formalism: an example

• How is the waveform derived from scattering amplitudes?

 $(5.7)$   $(5.7)$ 

 $299$ 

## Waveforms from KMOC formalism: an example

- How is the waveform derived from scattering amplitudes?
- The on-shell expectation value of the time-domain waveform relevant for the inspiral phase is [Cristofoli,RG,Kosower,O'Connell]

$$
\langle \psi_{\sf in} | \mathcal{S}^{\dagger} h_{\mu\nu}(x) \mathcal{S} | \psi_{\sf in} \rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar^{\frac{1}{2}}} 2 \Re \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \int \mathrm{d} \Phi(k) \, \varepsilon_{\mu}^{*(\sigma)}(k) \varepsilon_{\nu}^{*(\sigma)}(k) \tilde{j}(b; k^{\sigma}) e^{-ik \cdot x/\hbar}
$$



## The Kovacs-Thorne waveform for hyperbolic encounters

At tree-level: simple analytic time-dependent expressions available! [De Angelis,RG,Novichkov;Jakobsen,Mogull,Plefka,Steinhoff]

# The Kovacs-Thorne waveform for hyperbolic encounters

- At tree-level: simple analytic time-dependent expressions available! [De Angelis,RG,Novichkov;Jakobsen,Mogull,Plefka,Steinhoff]
- Typical waveform for scattering orbits at large eccentricities [Kovacs,Thorne]



Most of the energy is released during the closest approach ( $\sim$  periastron)!

# <span id="page-22-0"></span>The Kovacs-Thorne waveform for hyperbolic encounters

- At tree-level: simple analytic time-dependent expressions available! [De Angelis,RG,Novichkov;Jakobsen,Mogull,Plefka,Steinhoff]
- Typical waveform for scattering orbits at large eccentricities [Kovacs,Thorne]



Most of the energy is released during the closest approach ( $\sim$  periastron)! • How about bound waveforms for compact binaries coalescence?

#### <span id="page-23-0"></span>From scattering to bound observables (I)

Classical scattering amplitudes describe hyperbolic encounters. If we define

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\frac{E-m_1-m_2}{\mu}\,,\qquad p_{\infty}^2=-\tilde{p}_{\infty}^2=\frac{E^2-(m_1+m_2)^2}{2m_1m_2}\,,
$$

we have  $\mathcal{E},p^2_\infty>0$  for scattering orbits and  $\mathcal{E},p^2_\infty< 0$  for bound orbits.



## <span id="page-24-0"></span>From scattering to bound observables (I)

Classical scattering amplitudes describe hyperbolic encounters. If we define

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\frac{E-m_1-m_2}{\mu}\,,\qquad \rho_\infty^2=-\tilde{\rho}_\infty^2=\frac{E^2-(m_1+m_2)^2}{2m_1m_2}\,,
$$

we have  $\mathcal{E},p^2_\infty>0$  for scattering orbits and  $\mathcal{E},p^2_\infty< 0$  for bound orbits.



• Two powerful methods to extract bound state physics from amplitudes: 1) Extract perturbatively the classical potential (∼ Hamiltonian) valid for arbitrary orbits [Niell,Rothstein;Cheung,Rothstein,Solon] 2) Gauge invariant map between scattering and bound observables [Kälin, Porto]

 ${\mathcal{O}}^{>}({\mathcal{E}}>0,J,c_X,a_1,a_2,m_1,m_2)\rightarrow{\mathcal{O}}^{<}({\mathcal{E}}<0,J,c_X,a_1,a_2,m_1,m_2)$ 

which can be derived from the Bethe-Salpeter eq. [[Ada](#page-23-0)[mo](#page-25-0), R[G](#page-23-0)[;](#page-24-0)[A](#page-17-0)[d](#page-18-0)[a](#page-29-0)[m](#page-30-0)[o,](#page-17-0) [R](#page-18-0)[G,](#page-30-0) [Ild](#page-0-0)[erto](#page-36-0)n].

# <span id="page-25-0"></span>From scattering to bound observables (II)

• For aligned-spin binaries where the motion remains on the equatorial plane there is a conjectural dictionary [Kälin, Porto; Saketh, Vines, Steinhoff, Buonanno;Cho,Kälin,Porto;Adamo,RG; Heissenberg;Adamo,RG,Ilderton]



 $\Delta \chi$ /periastron advance  $\Delta \Phi$  and for the fluxes  $\Delta E_{\rm rad}$ ,  $\Delta J_{\rm rad}$ .

# From scattering to bound observables (II)

• For aligned-spin binaries where the motion remains on the equatorial plane there is a conjectural dictionary [Kälin, Porto; Saketh, Vines, Steinhoff, Buonanno;Cho,K¨alin,Porto;Adamo,RG; Heissenberg;Adamo,RG,Ilderton]



which is valid at least up to 3PM  $(G_N^3)$  order for the scattering angle  $\Delta \chi$ /periastron advance  $\Delta \Phi$  and for the fluxes  $\Delta E_{\rm rad}$ ,  $\Delta J_{\rm rad}$ .

• New waveform map (up to 1PN and tree-level)[Adamo, RG, Ilderton]

$$
h^{<\text{dyn}}(u;\tilde{p}_{\infty},L,a,c_X)=h^{> \text{dyn}}(u;+i\tilde{p}_{\infty},L,a,c_X)
$$

in agreement with the prescription for the orbital elements [Damour,Deruelle]

# From scattering to bound observables (II)

• For aligned-spin binaries where the motion remains on the equatorial plane there is a conjectural dictionary [Kälin, Porto; Saketh, Vines, Steinhoff, Buonanno;Cho,K¨alin,Porto;Adamo,RG; Heissenberg;Adamo,RG,Ilderton]



which is valid at least up to 3PM  $(G_N^3)$  order for the scattering angle  $\Delta \chi$ /periastron advance  $\Delta \Phi$  and for the fluxes  $\Delta E_{\rm rad}$ ,  $\Delta J_{\rm rad}$ .

• New waveform map (up to 1PN and tree-level)[Adamo, RG, Ilderton]

$$
h^{<\text{dyn}}(u;\tilde{p}_{\infty},L,a,c_X)=h^{> \text{dyn}}(u;+i\tilde{p}_{\infty},L,a,c_X)
$$

in agreement with the prescription for the orbital elements [Damour,Deruelle] • Need to study tail effects appearing at higher orders! [Cho,Kälin,Porto]  $\Omega$ 

## From scattering to bound observables (III)

• The analytical continuation of the waveform computed for eccentric orbits requires a resummation in the eccentricity to recover the bound waveform periodicity in the time  $u$  [Adamo, RG, Ilderton]



## <span id="page-29-0"></span>From scattering to bound observables (III)

The analytical continuation of the waveform computed for eccentric orbits requires a resummation in the eccentricity to recover the bound waveform periodicity in the time  $u$  [Adamo, RG, Ilderton]



• At 1PN [Junker, Schäfer] this corresponds to a map between PN multipoles [Adamo, RG, Ilderton]

$$
h^{>}(u; p_{\infty}, L) = \frac{4G_N}{c^2} \left( U_2^{>} + \frac{1}{c} (V_2^{>} + U_3^{>}) + \frac{1}{c^2} (V_3^{>} + U_4^{>}) \right),
$$
  

$$
U_j^{<}(u; \tilde{p}_{\infty}) = U_j^{>}(u; + i\tilde{p}_{\infty}) \text{ for } j \le 4, \quad V_k^{<}(u; \tilde{p}_{\infty}) = V_k^{>}(u; + i\tilde{p}_{\infty}) \text{ for } k \le 3.
$$

#### <span id="page-30-0"></span>Need for resummation: from amplitude to self-force

- Need for resummation of perturbative contributions! Can we look at simpler examples? [Del Duca, RG, Sasank]
- Consider the geodesic equation,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} \tau} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\beta}}{\mathrm{d} \tau} = 0,
$$

corresponding to the leading order in the mass ratio expansion: this requires to resum an infinite set of diagrams [Cheung, Solon, Shah; Brandhuber, Chen, Travaglini, Wen; Bjerrum-Bohr, Planté, Vanhove]



Interestingly, the scattering-to-bound map works for Kerr geodesics! [Shi,RG] Open problem: What can we learn by studying the self-force expansion? [Kosmopolous,Solon; Cheung,Parra-Martinez,Ro[ths](#page-29-0)[tei](#page-31-0)[n,](#page-29-0)[Sh](#page-30-0)[a](#page-31-0)[h](#page-29-0)[,](#page-30-0)[W](#page-31-0)[i](#page-32-0)[ls](#page-29-0)[o](#page-30-0)[n](#page-31-0)[-](#page-32-0)[Ge](#page-0-0)[row](#page-36-0)

# <span id="page-31-0"></span>Self-force & Amplitudes workshop (19-22 March 2024)

#### **Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics Workshop** Gravitational Self-Force and Scattering Amplitudes

#### **Date: 19-22 March 2024**

**Location: Higgs Centre Seminar Room, University ofEdinburgh**

#### **Confirmed Speakers**

Clifford Cheung (Caltech), Thibault Damour (IHES), Abraham Harte (DCU), Tanja Hinderer (Utrecht University), Chris Kavanagh (UCD), Sonja Klisch (Univ. of Edinburgh) Dimitrios Kosmopolous (Univ. of Geneva), Oliver Long (AEI), Gustav Mogull (Humboldt University), Rafael Porto (DESY), Justin Vines (UCLA), Chris Whittall (Univ. of Southampton)

#### **Organising Committee**

Riccardo Gonzo, Chris Kavanagh, Adam Pound, Mao Zeng

Riccardo Gonzo (EDI) [Black holes as point particles: amplitudes & self-force](#page-0-0) Rome, 13 February 2024 15/17

<span id="page-32-0"></span>• We extend the KMOC formalism to study the scattering waveform relevant for the classical two-body problem using EFT and amplitude techniques

. . . . . .

- We extend the KMOC formalism to study the scattering waveform relevant for the classical two-body problem using EFT and amplitude techniques
- We discussed a generalization of the boundary to bound dictionary for various observables, including the post-Minkowskian waveform, matching the result with the calculation of the radiative multipoles in post-Newtonian limit and making contact with the analytic continuation of the orbital elements

- We extend the KMOC formalism to study the scattering waveform relevant for the classical two-body problem using EFT and amplitude techniques
- We discussed a generalization of the boundary to bound dictionary for various observables, including the post-Minkowskian waveform, matching the result with the calculation of the radiative multipoles in post-Newtonian limit and making contact with the analytic continuation of the orbital elements
- We emphasize the need for resummation of perturbative contributions at large eccentricities to make contact with phenomenological applications

- We extend the KMOC formalism to study the scattering waveform relevant for the classical two-body problem using EFT and amplitude techniques
- We discussed a generalization of the boundary to bound dictionary for various observables, including the post-Minkowskian waveform, matching the result with the calculation of the radiative multipoles in post-Newtonian limit and making contact with the analytic continuation of the orbital elements
- We emphasize the need for resummation of perturbative contributions at large eccentricities to make contact with phenomenological applications
- Future directions: extend the scattering-to-bound map to include tail effects for the waveform and other observables, explore the resummation of PM contributions, extend the analytical continuation to generic spin alignments,

. . .

( □ ) ( 何 ) (

# <span id="page-36-0"></span>Summary and future directions



**←ロ ▶ ← (倒 ▶** 

∍

 $299$