#### New probe of non-Gaussianities with primordial black hole induced gravitational waves

**Based on [arXiv:2402.XXXXX, T. Papanikolaou, X. C. He, X.-Ha. Ma, Y. F. Cai., E. N. Saridakis, M. Sasaki]**

#### **Theodoros Papanikolaou**

14/02/2024 Bottom-Up Cross-Cutting Workshop "JENAS Initiative: Gravitational Wave Probes of Fundamental Physics" Sapienza University of Rome, Italy





ΙΔΡΥΜΑ ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ ΕΥΡΩΠΑΪΚΟΥ ΠΟΛΙΤΙΣΜΟΥ

ΙΔΡΥΤΕΣ ΝΙΚΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΛΥΝΤΙΑ ΤΡΙΧΑ

## Contents

1. Introduction

2. Gravitational waves from PBH energy density fluctuations

3. Local type primordial non-Gaussianities: The effect on PBH clustering

4. Non-Gaussian PBH induced GWs

5. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianities

6. Conclusions

## Introduction



See for reviews in [Carr et al.- 2020, Sasaki et al - 2018, Clesse et al. - 2017]

#### PBHs and GWs

- 1) **Primordial induced GWs** generated through second order gravitational effects:  $\mathscr{L}^{(3)}_{\Phi,h}$  ∋  $h\Phi^2$ , [Bugaev - 2009, Kohri & Terada - 2018]. GWs PBHs
- 2) **Relic Hawking radiated gravitons** from PBH evaporation [Anantua et al. 2008, Dong et al. - 2015].

• 3) **GWs** emitted **by PBH mergers** [Eroshenko - 2016, Raidal et al. - 2017].

• 4) **GWs induced** at second order **by PBH energy density fluctuations**  [Papanikolaou et al. - 2020].

### PBH-eMD era phenomenology

• PBHs can dominate in the early Universe since  $\Omega_{\rm PBH} = \rho_{\rm PBH}/\rho_{\rm tot} \propto a^{-3}/a^{-4} \propto a$ .

#### $\mathsf{PBHs}$  with  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^9 \mathrm{g}$  (They evaporate before BBN)

- These ultralight PBHs can **drive the reheating process** through their evaporation [Zagorac et al. - 2019, Martin et al. - 2019, Inomata et al. - 2020] during which all the SM particles can be produced.
- Hawking evaporation of ultralight PBHs can **alleviate as well the Hubble tension** [Hooper et al. - 2019, Nesseris et al. - 2019, Lunardini et al. - 2020] by injecting to the primordial plasma dark radiation degrees of freedom which can increase  $N_{\rm eff}$ .
- Evaporation of light PBHs can also **produce naturally the baryon asymmetry** through CP violating out-of-equilibrium decays of Hawking evaporation products [J. D. Barrow et al. - 1991, T. C. Gehrman et al. - 2022, N. Bhaumik et al. - 2022].
- **GWs induced by PBH energy density fluctuations can interpret** in a very good agreement **the recently released PTA GW data** [Lewicki et al. - 2023, Basilakos et al. - 2023]

#### The PBH Matter Field



This **isocurvature perturbation,**  $\delta_{\rm PBH}$  generated during the RD era will convert during the PBHD era **to a curvature perturbation**  $\zeta_{\rm PBH}$ , associated to a PBH gravitational potential  $\Phi.$ 

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(k) = S_{\Phi}^2(k) \left( 5 + \frac{4}{9} \frac{k^2}{k_d^2} \right)^{-2} \mathcal{P}_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}, \text{Poisson}}(k), \text{ where } S_{\Phi}(k) \equiv \left( \frac{k}{k_{\text{evap}}} \right)^{-1/3}
$$

#### Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves

• The equation of motion for the Fourier modes,  $h_{\vec{k}}$ , read as:

$$
h_{\vec{k}}^{s,''}+2\mathscr{H}h_{\vec{k}}^{s,'}+k^2h_{\vec{k}}^s=4S_{\vec{k}}^s.
$$

• The source term,  $S_{\vec{k}}$  can be recast as:

 $S^s_{\vec{i}}$ ⃗*k*

$$
= \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e_{ij}^s(\vec{k}) q_i q_j \left[ 2\Phi_{\vec{q}} \Phi_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}} + \frac{4}{3(1+w)} (\mathcal{H}^{-1} \Phi'_{\vec{q}} + \Phi_{\vec{q}}) (\mathcal{H}^{-1} \Phi'_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}} + \Phi_{\vec{k}-\vec{q}}) \right].
$$
  

$$
\Omega_{\text{GW}}(\eta, k) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{tot}}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{GW}}}{d \ln k} = \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{k}{a(\eta)H(\eta)} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_h(\eta, k),
$$
with  $\mathcal{P}_h(\eta, k) \equiv \frac{k^3 |h_k|^2}{2\pi^2} \propto \int dv \int du \left( \int f(v, u, k, \eta) d\eta \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(kv) \mathcal{P}_{\Phi}(ku).$ 

#### GW Detectability



• By accounting on BBN bounds on the GW amplitude at  $k \sim k_{\text{UV}}$ , one can set upper bound constraints on the  $\Omega_\mathrm{PBH,f}$  readings as

$$
\Omega_{\rm PBH,f} < 10^{-6} \left( \frac{M_{\rm PBH}}{10^4 {\rm g}} \right)^{-17/24}.
$$

## The effect of local-type non-Gaussianities

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)
$$
  

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)
$$
  

$$
\times \frac{6}{5} f_{\rm NL} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) + 2 \text{ perms}]
$$
  

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4)
$$
  

$$
\times \begin{cases} \frac{54}{25} g_{\rm NL} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms}] \\ + \tau_{\rm NL} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3|) + 11 \text{ perms}] \end{cases}
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k})
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \frac{6}{5} f_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_2) + 2 \text{ perms}]
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_4) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \frac{54}{25} g_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_3) + 3 \text{ perms} ] + \eta_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_3)] + 11 \text{ perms} ] \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
kR \ll 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta_{\text{PBH}}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{k}) \nu^4 \left( \frac{4}{9 \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}} \right)^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^6} \tau_{\text{NL}}(p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_1) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_2)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} (\mathbf{k} - \text{independent terms})
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \mathcal{R}(k_3) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \frac{6}{5} f_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) + 2 \text{ perms}]
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \mathcal{R}(k_3) \mathcal{R}(k_4) \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \frac{54}{25} g_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms}] + 7 \text{NL} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|k_1 + k_3|) + 11 \text{ perms}] \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
K R \ll 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta_{\text{PBH}}(k) \approx \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \nu^4 \left( \frac{4}{9 \sigma_R} \right)^4 \int \frac{d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2}{(2 \pi)^6} \tau_{\text{NL}}(p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_1) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_2)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{k^3}{2 \pi^2} (k \text{ - independent terms}) \equiv \nu^4 \bar{\tau}_{\text{NL}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) + \mathcal{P}_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}, \text{Poisson}}(k), \text{ where } \nu = \delta_c / \sigma_R \sim 8
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \mathcal{R}(k_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \frac{6}{5} f_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) + 2 \text{ perms}]
$$
  
\n
$$
\langle \mathcal{R}(k_1) \mathcal{R}(k_2) \mathcal{R}(k_3) \mathcal{R}(k_4) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \frac{54}{25} g_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_3) + 3 \text{ perms} + \pi_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|k_1 + k_3|) + 11 \text{ perms}] \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \pi_{\text{NL}} [P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(k_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(|k_1 + k_3|) + 11 \text{ perms}] \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{P}_{\delta_{\text{PBH}}}(k) \approx \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) \nu^4 \left( \frac{4}{9\sigma_R} \right)^4 \left( \frac{d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^6} \tau_{\text{NL}}(p_1, p_2, p_1, p_2) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_1) W_{\text{local}}^2(p_2) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_1) P_{\mathcal{R}}(p_2) \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} (k \text{ - independent terms}) \equiv \nu^4 \bar{\tau}_{\text{NL}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k) + \mathcal{P}_{\delta_{\text{PBH}} \text{Poisson}}(k),
$$

#### The non-Gaussian PBH matter power spectrum

Ansatz :  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{\text{evap}} < k < k_{\text{UV}}) \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}, \quad \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_{\text{f}})e$  $-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\tau}^2} \left( \sum_{i=1,2,3,4} \ln^2 \frac{k_i}{k_f} \right)$ 

#### The non-Gaussian PBH matter power spectrum

Ansatz :  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{\text{evap}} < k < k_{\text{UV}}) \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}, \quad \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_{\text{f}})e$  $-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\tau}^2} \left( \sum_{i=1,2,3,4} \ln^2 \frac{k_i}{k_f} \right)$ 



#### The non-Gaussian PBH matter power spectrum

Ansatz :  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k_{\text{evap}} < k < k_{\text{UV}}) \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}, \quad \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \tau_{\text{NL}}(k_{\text{f}})e$  $-\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\tau}^2} \left( \sum_{i=1,2,3,4} \ln^2 \frac{k_i}{k_f} \right)$ 



## Non-Gaussian Induced GWs



## Non-Gaussian Induced GWs



## Constraining non-Gausianities



## Conclusions

- **GWs** induced by **PBH isocurvature perturbations** can be abundantly produced in **eMD eras before BBN** driven by PBHs and give us access to the early Universe given their **potential detectability by GW experiments.**
- In particular, by requiring not to have GW overproduction at the end of BBN one can set **constraints on the abundances of ultralight PBHs** with  $m_{\rm PBH} < 10^9 \rm g$  which are **otherwise unconstrained** by other observational probes.
- Incorporating in the analysis **the effect of local-type primordial non-Gaussianities on PBH clustering** we found a **bi-peaked structure of the induced GW signal** with the l**ow frequency peak being related to the**  $\tau_{\rm NL}$  **parameter.**
- Accounting finally for BBN bounds on the GW amplitude we set **constraints on primordial non-Gaussianities on very small scales**  $k > 10^5 \rm Mpc^{-1}$ **, otherwise** unconstrained by current CMB and LSS probes.
- The portal of **PBH induced GWs induced** can serve as a **new messenger from the early Universe.**

# Thanks for your attention!