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## The quark gluon plasma and the QCD phase diagram

What: deconfined and chirally restored medium
Where/when: early Universe down to $\sim 10^{-6}-10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}$ after the Big Bang, AND iven sufficient energy, we can re-create it in relativistic heavy-ion collisions

Summarize our knowledge in the QCD phase diagram, with evidence from experiment + theory

- Hadron phase at low $\mathrm{T} / \mu$, QGP at high $\mathrm{T} / \mu$
- Crossover at zero density at $T \simeq 160 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Heavy-ion collisions probe high T, varying density with energy scans
- Ordinary nuclear matter at $T \simeq 0$ and $\mu_{B} \simeq 922 \mathrm{MeV}$
- Critical point? Exotic phases?



## Heavy-ion collisions: the "standard model"

Final detected particles


## Hydrodynamic simulations

The bulk evolution of the system is described by relativistic viscous hydrodynamics:

$$
\partial_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}=0 \quad \partial_{\mu} N^{\mu}=0
$$

$\rightarrow$ evolution from conservation equations
Properties of the medium is encoded in transport coefficients: shear viscosity $\eta / s$, bulk viscosity $\zeta / s, \ldots$



Borsanyi et al., PLB 730 (2014) 99

Input: initial conditions, equation of state (to close the set of equations)
Output: after hadronization and an afterburner, particle spectra $\rightarrow R_{A A}, v_{2}, \ldots$

## Hydrodynamic simulations

Hydrodynamic simulations can reproduce experimental data and constrain the physics
Bayesian analyses have been used to constrain e.g. the equation of state and the viscosity


Pratt et al., PRL 114 (2015) 202301


Bernhard et al., Nature Phys. 15 (2019) 1113

- Posterior equation of state in agreement with theroetical calculations
- QGP is the most perfect fluid know to humanity!


## Hydrodynamic simulations: shear and bulk viscosity

Large scale Bayesian analysis on Au+Au RHIC data @ $\sqrt{s}=200,19.6,7.7 \mathrm{GeV}$



Very tight constraints on the chemical potential dependence of shear and bulk viscosity

## Hydrodynamic simulations: B,Q,S conserved charges

Full trajectories of hydro cells until hadronization. We can have a picture of density fluctuations in all $B, Q, S$, as a function of $T$


Results obtained with a 4D lattice QCD-based equation of state. Big challenge for eos, very large $\mu$ needed even at LHC, where $\left\langle\mu_{i}\right\rangle=0$

## Thermodynamic description of QCD

The thermodynamics of QCD is of fundamental interest, in itself and as input for a number of applications

- Transition line necessary for models, information on phase structure

$$
\frac{T_{c}\left(\mu_{B}\right)}{T_{c}\left(\mu_{B}=0\right)}=1+\kappa_{2}\left(\frac{\mu_{B}}{T_{c}\left(\mu_{B}\right)}\right)^{2}+\kappa_{4}\left(\frac{\mu_{B}}{T_{c}\left(\mu_{B}\right)}\right)^{4}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mu_{B}^{6}\right)
$$

- Equation of state: $p, s, n_{i}, \epsilon$, input for hydro and models, from zero to large density
- Fluctuations of conserved charges

$$
\chi_{i j k}^{B Q S}(T)=\left.\frac{\partial^{i+j+k}\left(p / T^{4}\right)}{\partial\left(\mu_{B} / T\right)^{i} \partial\left(\mu_{Q} / T\right)^{j} \partial\left(\mu_{S} / T\right)^{k}}\right|_{\mu=0}
$$

- Connection to experiment (cumulants of net-proton, net-charge, etc.)
- Signatures for the QCD critical point


## Lattice formulation of QCD

In the non-perturbative regime where the coupling $g_{s}$ is not small, lattice QCD is the major tool of investigation of equilibrium properties of QCD

- The theory is defined on a discretized $3+1$ d spacetime. Quark fields on the sites, gauge fields on the links.
- The partition function is given by a finite number of integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z[U, \bar{\psi}, \psi] & =\int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi e^{-S_{G}[U, \bar{\psi}, \psi]-S_{F}[U, \bar{\psi}, \psi]} \\
& =\int \mathcal{D} U \operatorname{det} M[U] e^{-S_{G}[U]}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Observables $\hat{O}$ can then be calculated as:

$$
\langle\hat{O}\rangle=\frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D} U \hat{O} \operatorname{det} M[U] e^{-S_{G}[U]}
$$



## The equation of state of QCD

- Lattice QCD is the most robust tool to determine QCD thermodynamics
- Known at $\mu_{B}=0$ to high precision for a few years now (continuum limit, physical quark masses) $\longrightarrow \quad$ Agreement between different calculations (2013-2014)

From grancanonical partition function $\mathcal{Z}$

* Pressure: $p=-k_{B} T \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial V}$
* Entropy density: $s=\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{\mu_{i}}$
* Charge densities: $n_{i}=\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \mu_{i}}\right)_{T, \mu_{j \neq i}}$
* Energy density: $\epsilon=T s-p+\sum_{i} \mu_{i} n_{i}$
* Speed of sound: $c_{s}^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon}\right)_{s / n_{B}}$



## Finite density: the sign/complex action problem

Statistical weight becomes complex if $\mu_{B}$ is real $\Rightarrow$ sampling algorithms break down

$$
Z(V, T, \mu)=\int \mathcal{D} U \operatorname{det} M(U, \mu) e^{-S_{G}(U)}
$$

Not if $\mu=0$, and not for imaginary chemical potential ( $\mu^{2}<0$ ).
Several alternatives nowadays:

- Taylor expansion:

$$
\frac{p\left(T, \mu_{B}\right)}{T^{4}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{2 n}(T)\left(\frac{\mu_{B}}{T}\right)^{2 n}, \quad c_{n}(T)=\frac{1}{n!} \chi_{n}^{B}\left(T, \mu_{B}=0\right)
$$

- Analytical continuation from imaginary $\mu_{B}$
- Reweighting: single parameter, multi-parameter, phase quenched, sign quenched


## Taylor, analytic continuation, reweighting

Taylor expansion Bollweg+ '22


Alternative expansion Borsanyi+ ${ }^{\prime} 21,{ }^{\prime} 22$


Approximate reweighting Mondal+ '21


Reweighting Borsanyi+ ${ }^{\prime} 22$


## Finite density: an alternative expansion scheme

One observes in imaginary $\mu_{B}$ simulations that $\chi_{1}^{B}\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}\right)$ differs from $\chi_{2}^{B}(T, 0)$ only by a redefinition of $T$ :

$$
\frac{\chi_{1}^{B}\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}\right)}{\hat{\mu}_{B}}=\chi_{2}^{B}\left(T^{\prime}, 0\right),
$$

with $\quad T^{\prime}=T\left(1+\kappa \hat{\mu}_{B}^{2}\right)$



## Equation of state at finite $\hat{\mu}_{B}$

- Allow for more than $\mathcal{O}\left(\hat{\mu}^{2}\right)$ expansion of $T^{\prime}$ and let the coefficients be $T$-dependent:

$$
\frac{\chi_{1}^{B}\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}\right)}{\hat{\mu}_{B}}=\chi_{2}^{B}\left(T^{\prime}, 0\right), \quad \text { with } \quad T^{\prime}=T\left(1+\kappa_{2}(T) \hat{\mu}_{B}^{2}+\kappa_{4}(T) \hat{\mu}_{B}^{4}\right)
$$

(we are simply re-organizing the Taylor expansion via an expansion in $\Delta T=T-T^{\prime}$ )

- Determine $\kappa_{2}(T), \kappa_{4}(T)$ (from $\mu_{B}=0$ or imaginary)
- Thermodynamics at finite $\mu_{B}$ is reconstruted from the same ansazt:

$$
\frac{n_{B}\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}\right)}{T^{3}}=\hat{\mu}_{B} \chi_{2}^{B}\left(T^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

From the baryon density $n_{B}$ one finds the pressure, then all other quantities

$$
\frac{p\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}\right)}{T^{4}}=\frac{p(T, 0)}{T^{4}}+\int_{0}^{\hat{\mu}_{B}} \mathrm{~d} \hat{\mu}_{B}^{\prime} \frac{n_{B}\left(T, \hat{\mu}_{B}^{\prime}\right)}{T^{3}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad s, \epsilon, c_{s}^{2}, \cdots
$$

## Equation of state at finite $\hat{\mu}_{B}$

Thermodynamic quantities have uncertainties well under control up to $\hat{\mu}_{B} \simeq 3.5$
No pathological (non-monotonic) behavior typical of other expansions



Note: recently reduced errors by improving precision at $\mu_{B}=0$
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## Equation of state at finite $\hat{\mu}_{B}$

Very broad coverage in $\mu_{B}$ with small errors, no sign of critical lensing


Compatible with results on the width of crossover transition at finite $\mu_{B}$
Hydro with BQS has shown the need to extend to 4D eos abuali, pp et al, in preparation

## The QCD critical point

The crossover is "expected" to turn first order at larger $\mu_{B}$
Critical point would be in the same universality class as 3D Ising model


Vovchenko, 2312.09528
$\rightarrow$ recent estimates seem to "converge"

## Looking for critical behavior: experiment

Baryon fluctuations diverge at the critical point with increasing powers of the correlation length $\rightarrow$ higher order net-proton fluctuations are most promising



Suggestive behaviour, though errors are still large $\rightarrow$ STAR data coming soon

## Looking for critical behavior: extrapolations

Extrapolations from lattice can be made for fluctuations too, e.g.:


Lattice results similar to experiment, hard to draw conclusions for now

## Looking for critical behavior: Lee-Yang edge singularities

Complex zeroes of partition function (LYE edges) mode to real $\mu$ at critical point
Zeroes from Padé fits to lattice QCD fluctuations, then universality-motivated $T$-fit

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{L Y}\right)(T)=A\left(T-T_{c}\right)^{\beta \delta}
$$



- Assumes critical point exists and we are close to it
- Errors are large and hard to constrain


## Looking for critical behavior: critical equation of state

Combine lattice QCD alternative expansion scheme with 3D Ising critical behaviour


Family of equations of state for $T=10-800 \mathrm{MeV}, \mu_{B}=0-700 \mathrm{MeV}$

## Looking for critical behavior: critical equation of state

By construction, the equations of state agree with lattice QCD at low $\mu_{B}$, AND have the correct critical behavior near the CP



- Thermodynamic consistency constrains parameters, including location of CP
- As input to hydro simulations $\rightarrow$ comparison with data provides further constraints


## A touch on heavy-flavour: heavy quark diffusion

Influence of the meduim on heavy quarkonium encoded in transport coefficients
First dynamical lattice results for spatial diffusion coefficient $D_{s}$


Even smaller than previous (quenched) calculations and than phenomenological estimates $\Rightarrow$ very fast thermalization of heavy quarks in the medium

## Heavy $Q \bar{Q}$ potential

Quarkonium is suppressed in the medium compared to vacuum $(\mathrm{pp})$ events
Standard picture (Matsui, Satz) is that of dissolution by screening


New results on heavy quark-antiquark potential show no screening in the real part of the potential

The imaginary part of the potential still accounts for dissolution

## Quarkonium: open quantum systems

Quarkonium is treated as an open quantum system in contact with the environment
Evolution of the density matrix $\rho$ encodes all the information on the system. Lindblad equation with jump operators $L_{n}$ that encode the non-unitary evolution of the system (imaginary potential)

$$
\frac{d \rho}{d t}=-i[H, \rho]+\sum_{n}\left(L_{n} \rho L_{n}^{\dagger}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{L_{n}^{\dagger} L_{n}, \rho\right\}\right)
$$

Inclusion of jumps needed for quantitative agreement with data


## Summary

New exciting results in all areas of QGP theory!




## Summary

New exciting results in all areas of QGP theory!




## THANK YOU!

## BACKUP

## Confinement and chiral symmetry breaking

At low temperature and density, quarks and gluons are confined inside hadrons. The approximate chiral symmetry of QCD is spontaneously broken



At large temperature and/or density, a deconfined medium is formed, with quasi-free quarks and gluons, with effectively restored chiral symmetry

## The QCD transition: crossover vs. first order

On the lattice we study the volume scaling of certain quantities to determine the order of the transition

Left: physical masses


Right: infinite masses (pure gauge)


- For a crossover (left), the peak height is independent of the volume
- For a first order transition, it scales linearly with the volume

Aoki et al. Nature 443 (2006), Borsányi, PP et al., PRD 105 (2022)

## The QCD transition: Columbia plot

As a function of the light ( $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{d}$ ) and strange quark masses, the order of the transition changes


- At the physical point $m_{s} / m_{u d} \simeq 27$, the transition is a smooth crossover!
- In the heavy-quark limit (pure gauge), the transition is first order


## Fluctuations of conserved charges

- Theory: grand canonical fluctuations are derivatives of the free energy:

$$
Z\left(V, T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)=\sum_{B, Q, S} e^{B \mu_{B}} e^{Q \mu_{Q}} e^{S \mu_{S}} Z_{C}(V, T, B, Q, S)
$$

wrt the associated chemical potentials:

$$
\chi_{i j k}^{B Q S}\left(T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)=\frac{1}{V T^{3}} \frac{\partial^{i+j+k} \ln Z\left(T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)}{\partial\left(\mu_{B} / T\right)^{i} \partial\left(\mu_{Q} / T\right)^{j} \partial\left(\mu_{S} / T\right)^{k}}
$$

- Experiment: moments/cumulants $\left\langle(\Delta N)^{n}\right\rangle_{\text {events }}$ of net-particle distributions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle B\rangle & =\frac{1}{V T^{3}} \frac{\partial \ln Z\left(T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)}{\partial\left(\mu_{B} / T\right)}=\chi_{1}^{B} \\
\left\langle B^{2}\right\rangle-\langle B\rangle^{2} & =\frac{1}{V T^{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} \ln Z\left(T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)}{\partial\left(\mu_{B} / T\right)^{2}}=\chi_{2}^{B} \\
\langle B S\rangle-\langle B\rangle\langle S\rangle & =\frac{1}{V T^{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} \ln Z\left(T, \mu_{B}, \mu_{Q}, \mu_{S}\right)}{\partial\left(\mu_{B} / T\right) \partial\left(\mu_{S} / T\right)}=\chi_{11}^{B S}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Heavy-ion collisions: event-by-event fluctuations

- Conserved charges in QCD are all quark numbers
$\longrightarrow$ B (baryon number), Q (electric charge), S (strangeness)
- Weak effects are not considered (time's too short)
- Charm is ignored (might not thermalize)
- Conserved charges are conserved only on average in experiment


STAR Collaboration: PRL 112 (2014) 032302

## Fluctuations of conserved charges

## How can CONSERVED CHARGES fluctuate?

- If we could measure ALL particles in a collision, they would not
- If we look at a small enough subsystem, fluctuations occur and become meaningful



## Simulations at imaginary chemical potential

- While for real chemical potential $\left(\mu^{2}>0\right) \operatorname{det} M(U)$ is complex, for imaginary chemical potential $\left(\mu^{2}<0\right)$ $\operatorname{det} M(U)$ is real
- We perform simulations at imaginary chemical potentials:

$$
\hat{\mu}_{B}=i \frac{j \pi}{8} \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$



We then analytically continue to $\mu^{2}>0$ by means of suitable extrapolation schemes

## Simulations at imaginary chemical potential

## Strangeness neutrality (or not)

Set the chemical potentials for heavy-ion collisions scenario, or simpler setup:

$$
\left\langle n_{S}\right\rangle=0 \quad\left\langle n_{Q}\right\rangle=0.4\left\langle n_{B}\right\rangle \quad \text { or } \quad \mu_{Q}=\mu_{S}=0
$$



## Chiral observables at imaginary $\mu_{B}$

Chiral condensate and chiral susceptibility at imaginary chemical potential


Borsányi, PP et al. PRL 125 (2020), 052001

## Lattice QCD at finite $\mu_{B}$ - Taylor coefficients

## cane

- Fluctuations of baryon number are the Taylor expansion coefficients of the pressure

$$
\chi_{i j k}^{B Q S}(T)=\left.\frac{\partial^{i+j+k} p / T^{4}}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{B}^{i} \partial \hat{\mu}_{Q}^{j} \partial \hat{\mu}_{S}^{k}}\right|_{\vec{\mu}=0}
$$

- Signal extraction is increasingly difficult with higher orders, especially in the transition region
- Higher order coefficients present a more complicated structure




## Equation of state at finite $\hat{\mu}_{B}$

Energy density up to $\hat{\mu}_{B}=3.5$ with $\mu_{S}=0$ and $n_{S}=0$



## Observables

## Nuclear modification factor

$$
R_{A A}=\frac{1}{N_{\text {coll }}} \frac{\frac{d N^{A A}}{d p_{T}}}{\frac{d N^{p p}}{d p_{T}}} \quad(=1 \text { if no medium })
$$

## Anisotropic flow

Fourier coefficients of azimuthal distribution
$\rho(\phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[1+2 \sum_{n} v_{n} \cos \left(n\left(\phi-\psi_{s}\right)\right)\right] \quad(=0$ if no medium $)$


ALICE, JHEP 11 (2018) 013


Arslandok et al., 2303.17254

## QCD critical point: an additional problem

Critical bmore ehaviour in QCD is present independently of this "high-T" critical point Critical point at the liquid-gas transition $T \simeq 20 \mathrm{MeV}, \mu_{B} \simeq 900 \mathrm{MeV}$



Additional (different) critical behaviour in the limit $m_{q} \rightarrow 0$ at $\mu_{B}=0$

## The width of the transition at finite chemical potential

We can extrapolate our results for $\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle$ along contours of constant $\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle$ (left) or constant $\mu_{B} / T$ (right)



The extrapolated $\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle$ at finite $\mu_{B}$ is quite precise for $\mu_{B}<3 T$

## The width of the transition at finite chemical potential

As a side product of our analysis, we get at $\mu_{B}=0$ the most accurate determination of $T_{c}$ :

$$
T_{c}\left(L T=4, \mu_{B}=0\right)=158.0 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

while for the width we obtain

$$
\Delta T\left(L T=4, \mu_{B}=0\right)=15.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

We also note that the width of the transition has a very mild chemical potential dependence

## The strength of the transition at finite chemical potential

- We can look at the strength of the transition by looking at the peak value of $\chi(T)$
- In the case of a true transition, this peak value would diverge for $V \rightarrow \infty$
- We see again an extremely mild dependence on $\hat{\mu}_{B}$, suggesting that the strength of the transition does not change



## Equation of state from the lattice

The pressure cannot be determined directly (not a derivative of $\ln Z$ wrt to a parameter), but via an integral of the trace anomaly $I(T)$ :

$$
\frac{p(T)}{T^{4}}=\frac{p\left(T_{0}\right)}{T_{0}^{4}}+\int_{T_{0}}^{T} \frac{d T^{\prime}}{T^{\prime}} \frac{I\left(T^{\prime}\right)}{T^{\prime 4}}
$$

where the trace anomaly $I(T)$ can be determined directly on the lattice:

$$
\frac{I(T)}{T^{4}}=N_{\tau}^{4}(T>0-T=0)
$$

but needs renormalization, which means (a lot of) simulations at $T=0$ are needed


## I. Pressure constant

We calculate the integration constant $\frac{p\left(T_{0}\right)}{T_{0}^{4}}$ at a chosen $T_{0}=185 \mathrm{MeV}$.

The pressure is determined as an integral in the quark masses down from infinity (where $p=0$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p\left(T_{0}\right)}{T_{0}^{4}}= & \int_{m_{s}}^{m_{l}} d m_{2}\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle_{R, 2}\left(m_{2}\right) \\
& +\int_{\infty}^{m_{s}} d m_{3}\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle_{R, 3}\left(m_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We first integrate in the two light flavours up to $m_{s}$, then the three flavours up to infinity by fitting an exponential.


Note: the chiral condensates $\langle\bar{\psi} \psi\rangle_{R, i}$ are the renormalized ones

## I. Pressure constant

We calculate the integration constant with two settings of the scale, with and without applying the tree level improvement on the observables $\rightarrow 4 \mathrm{x}$ systematics


For the first time, we have results for up to $N_{t}=16$ which allow us to discard $N_{t}=8$ in the continuum extrapolation. $\Rightarrow \sim 2 x$ improvement in uncertainty

## II. Trace anomaly

We determine on our lattices $32^{3} \times 8,40^{3} \times 10,48^{3} \times 12,64^{3} \times 16$ the trace anomaly:

then perform a global continuum extrapolation + spline fit in $T$.

## Equation of state at $\hat{\mu}_{B}=0$

Now we have both ingredients to determine the equation of state at $\mu_{B}=0$, as shown previously:

$$
\frac{p(T)}{T^{4}}=\frac{p\left(T_{0}\right)}{T_{0}^{4}}+\int_{T_{0}}^{T} \frac{d T^{\prime}}{T^{\prime}} \frac{I\left(T^{\prime}\right)}{T^{\prime}{ }^{4}}
$$

From the pressure, the other quantities follow. At $\mu_{B}=0$, normalized quantities $\hat{O}(T)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{s} & =4 \hat{p}(T)+T \frac{d \hat{p}(T)}{d T} \\
\hat{\epsilon}(T) & =\hat{s}(T)-\hat{p}(T) \\
c_{s}^{2}(T) & =\frac{\hat{I}(T)+4 \hat{p}(T)}{7 \hat{I}(T)+12 \hat{p}(T)+T \frac{d \hat{I}(T)}{d T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation of state at $\hat{\mu}_{B}=0$

We can compare the resulting equation of state at $\mu_{B}=0$ to our previous result from 2014 Borsányi+ '14


Note: full systematics analysis still in the making, more statistics coming at $N_{\tau}=16$

