# Open heavy-flavour production from the high-mass dilepton spectrum in pp collisions with ALICE

Sesto Incontro Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN2024) Trento 26/02/2024





**Michele Pennisi** for the ALICE Collaboration







- Heavy quarks are produced in initial hard-scattering processes in hadronic collisions
- Description of open heavy-flavor (i.e. bound states of charm or beauty quark with a light quark) production mechanism represents a challenge for theory
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Test both the perturbative and non-perturbative regimes of QCD
  - $\Rightarrow$  Fragmentation fraction: phenomenological functions parameterized on  $e^-e^+$  data









- Heavy quarks are produced in initial hard-scattering processes in hadronic collisions
- Description of open heavy-flavor (i.e. bound states of charm or beauty quark with a light quark) production mechanism represents a challenge for theory
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Test both the perturbative and non-perturbative regimes of QCD
  - $\Rightarrow$  Fragmentation fraction: phenomenological functions parameterized on  $e^-e^+$  data



![](_page_2_Figure_8.jpeg)

With this analysis, the first measurement of charm and beauty quark pair production at forward rapidity at LHC energies is provided

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### A Large Ion Collider Experiment (Run2)

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

## A Large Ion Collider Experiment (Run2)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Previous measurements for **charm** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- **ALICE**: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - □ @13 TeV: *Phys.Lett.B* 788 (2019) 505-518
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - © @5.02 TeV: *Phys.Rev.C* 102 (2020)

□ <u>Cacciari et al, JHEP 10 (2012) 137</u> (FONLL)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

□ <u>Cacciari et al, JHEP 10 (2012) 137</u> (FONLL)

Previous measurements for **charm** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- **ALICE**: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - □ @13 TeV: *Phys.Lett.B* 788 (2019) 505-518
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - □ @5.02 TeV: *Phys.Rev.C* 102 (2020)

Previous measurements for **beauty** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- **ALICE**: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - @13 TeV: <u>Phys.Lett.B 788 (2019) 505-518</u>
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - □ @5.02 TeV: *Phys.Rev.C* 102 (2020)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Cacciari et al, JHEP 10 (2012) 137 (FONLL)

Previous measurements for **charm** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- ALICE: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - @13 TeV: <u>Phys.Lett.B 788 (2019) 505-518</u>
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - @5.02 TeV: <u>Phys.Rev.C 102 (2020)</u>

Previous measurements for **beauty** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- ALICE: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - □ @13 TeV: *Phys.Lett.B* 788 (2019) 505-518
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - © @5.02 TeV: *Phys.Rev.C* 102 (2020)
- **PHENIX**: low-mass dimuons 1.2< $|\eta|$ <2.2:
  - @200 GeV: <u>Phys.Rev.D 99 (2019)</u>

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

All the measurement are compatible with Fixed-Order-Next-Leading-Logarithm (FONLL) predictions, which represent the theoretical standard in open heavy-flavor calculations

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>□ &</sup>lt;u>Cacciari et al, JHEP 10 (2012) 137</u> (FONLL)

Previous measurements for **charm** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- **ALICE**: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - @13 TeV: <u>Phys.Lett.B 788 (2019) 505-518</u>
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - © @5.02 TeV: <u>Phys.Rev.C 102 (2020)</u>

Previous measurements for **beauty** cross-section with dileptons in pp collisions:

- **ALICE**: low-mass dielectrons at midrapidity:
  - @13 TeV: <u>Phys.Lett.B 788 (2019) 505-518</u>
  - @7 Tev: <u>JHEP 09 (2018)</u>
  - @5.02 TeV: <u>Phys.Rev.C 102 (2020)</u>
- PHENIX: low-mass dimuons 1.2<|η|<2.2:</li>
   □ @200 GeV: <u>Phys.Rev.D 99 (2019)</u>

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Continuum regions (above **above**  $m_{\mu\mu} = 4 \text{ GeV/}c^2$ ) are mainly populated by:

Semileptonic decays of pairs of open heavy-flavor (HF) hadrons

Combinatorial bkg. from light-flavor (LF) hadrons

Drell-Yan mechanism

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

Drell-Yan mechanism

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Continuum regions (above **above**  $m_{\mu\mu} = 4 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ ) are mainly populated by:

Semileptonic decays of pairs of open heavy-flavor (HF) hadrons

Combinatorial bkg. from light-flavor (LF) hadrons

especially pions and kaons  $\label{eq:K-state} \begin{array}{l} \Pi \ -> \mu + v_{\mu} + cc. \\ K \ -> \mu + v_{\mu} + cc. \end{array}$ 

two possible bkg. sources:

μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> <- LF : both μ produced by LF hadron decay</li>
 μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> <- LF,HF : one μ from HF, the other mu from LF</li>

Drell-Yan mechanism

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

Continuum regions (above **above**  $m_{\mu\mu} = 4 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ ) are mainly populated by:

Semileptonic decays of pairs of open heavy-flavor (HF) hadrons

Combinatorial bkg. from light-flavor (LF) hadrons

Drell-Yan mechanism

LF background dominates at low masses, its role becomes quickly negligible at high mass, allowing to study the HF quark production in almost not contaminated environment

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Analysis outline**

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Analysis outline

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Analysis outline**

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Estimation of charm and beauty yields from data

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Estimation of charm and beauty yields from data

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Estimation of charm and beauty yields from data

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

Template fit with the shapes of the main  $\mu^+\mu^-$  sources in the continuum region

- Simultaneous unbinned fit to *m* and *p<sub>T</sub>* data distributions with cocktail of HF sources from the HF-enriched PYTHIA8 simulation
- Good agreement between the fit and the data in the mass region studied
- Slight underestimation at high- $p_T$  (6 <  $p_T$  < 10 GeV/c) due to a possible contribution from **Drell-Yan** (ongoing studies)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Few ingredients for estimating the cross section

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

How the cross section is computed:

 $\int d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{data}/dy = rac{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,data}}{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,MC}} imes d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{MC}/dy$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Few ingredients for estimating the cross section

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

How the cross section is computed:

 $d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{data}/dy = rac{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,data}}{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,MC}} imes d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{MC}/dy$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

are the **charm** and **beauty** dimuon yields extracted from the fit and from the HF-enriched simulation, normalized to the number of equivalent MB events in data and MC, respectively

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

How the cross section is computed:

 $d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{data}/dy = rac{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,data}}{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,MC}} imes d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{MC}/dy$ 

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

are the **charm** and **beauty** dimuon yields extracted from the fit and from the HF-enriched simulation, normalized to the number of equivalent MB events in data and MC, respectively

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

are the **charm** and **beauty** quark pair cross sections in PYTHIA simulation, estimated as:

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Few ingredients for estimating the cross section

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

How the cross section is computed:

 $\dot{oldsymbol{\delta}} \; d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{MC}/dy \propto N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{2.5 < y < 4}$ 

 $d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{data}/dy = rac{N^{c\overline{c}/bb}_{\mu\mu,data}}{N^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{\mu\mu,MC}} imes d\sigma^{c\overline{c}/b\overline{b}}_{MC}/dy$ 

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

are the **charm** and **beauty** dimuon yields extracted from the fit and from the HF-enriched simulation, normalized to the number of equivalent MB events in data and MC, respectively

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $imes \sigma_{pp}^{PYTHIA}$  ,

**charm** and **beauty** quarks pairs produced at forward rapidity (2.5 < y < 4) x event

PYTHIA8 cross section of a inelastic pp collision

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Studying open heavy-flavor represents a fascinating challenge for theory, allowing to test different regimes of QCD
- ALICE unique experimental set-up provides the possibility of study HF in a broad rapidity interval
- First charm and beauty quark pairs cross section measurement at forward rapidity at the LHC energies
- Both forward and midrapidity results are in fair agreement with FONLL predictions

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

Michele Pennisi - Sesto Incontro Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN2024) - Trento 26/02/2024

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

Michele Pennisi - Sesto Incontro Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN2024) - Trento 26/02/2024

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

HF-cocktail using a Next-to-Leading-Order (NLO) calculation Introducing Drell-Yan contributions The contribution of Drell-Yan is currently investigated Preliminary studies at generator level using PYTHIA8 with Monash tune show promising possibilities of measuring DY cross-section by searching above  $m = 20 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ Add the DY contribution to the POWHEG template DY cross section has never been measured at forward rapidity at LHC energies!

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

1 1 1

# Additional Material

AVE

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

- ↔ Closure test with a toy MC
  - verify the goodness of the extraction procedure
  - test the fit procedure foreseen for real data
- ↔ Procedure:
  - ToyMC created with 100k dimuons using the fraction from PYTHIA HF enriched simulation
  - Unbinned fit (p<sub>t</sub> and m simultaneously) to the TOY with the three shapes from MC (as done for data)
  - Useful to check the goodness of the pdf extraction and fit procedure foreseen for real data

<u>The number of charm and beauty dimuons obtained as</u> <u>the output of the fit compatible with the input given</u> <u>within the uncertainty</u>

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

The following systematic uncertainties have been evaluated:

	uncertainty on N° μμ <- c,c	uncertainty on N° μμ <- b,b
signal extraction	9%	28%
HF mixed fraction	0.7%	5.4%
trigger response	0.06%	0.04%
pythia tune (mode2)	8.7%	23%

	Common uncertainties
f <sub>Norm</sub>	2.9%
MCH efficiency	2%
MTR efficiency	2%
Matching efficiency	1%

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Idea: modification the beauty and charm distributions to see the impact on the fit result
- ✤ <u>How</u>:
  - $\succ$  generate a linear deviation contained in the 99% CL band of the PDF extraction fit
  - weight the MC distributions with the 2 linear deviations and extract 2 new sets of PDF
- Simultaneous unbinned fit in p<sub>t</sub> and m with the 2 sets of modified PDFs (HF-mixed component PDF kept fixed to the original)

#### Up2Low

- → Variation on charm yield: 10.1%
- → Variation on beauty yield: 27.1%

#### Low2Up

- → Variation on charm yield: 10.9%
- → Variation on beauty yield: 30.1%

![](_page_41_Figure_14.jpeg)

charm see in the back-up

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Signal extraction systematic: some details

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Signal extraction systematic: some details

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

- <u>Idea</u>: modification the beauty and charm distributions to see the impact on the fit result
- <u>How</u>:

\*

generate a linear deviation contained in the 99% CL band of the PDF extraction fit

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Trigger efficiency systematic: some details

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

→ the new weighted mass and pt dimuon distributions used to re-evaluate the charm and beauty yields

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

# HF mixed systematic: some details

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Idea: HF-mixed component is purely combinatorial Creation of only-statistical toy-MC, not containing any information about the physics
- <u>How</u>: Varying the fraction of charm/beauty muons the % of HF mixed over total changes between 2 and 4% in the toy and in the MC either
- <u>Then</u>: normalization of HF mixed can be kept fixed when fitting the data

![](_page_45_Figure_6.jpeg)

HF-mixed at 2%

![](_page_45_Figure_8.jpeg)

 Systematic : charm and beauty yield obtained using different values of HF-mixed fraction

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $\frac{\text{Measurement of the differential Drell-Yan cross}}{\text{section in proton-proton collisions at }\sqrt{\text{s}= 13 \text{ TeV}}}$  $\frac{\text{with CMS}}{\text{Section Section}}$ 

Table 3: Summary of the measured values of  $d\sigma/dm$  (pb/GeV) in the dimuon channel with the statistical ( $\delta_{stat}$ ), experimental ( $\delta_{exp}$ ) and theoretical ( $\delta_{theo}$ ) uncertainties, respectively. Here,  $\delta_{tot}$  is the quadratic sum of the three components.

m(GeV)	$\frac{d\sigma}{dm}$ (pb/GeV)	$\delta_{\text{stat}}$	$\delta_{exp}$	$\delta_{ m theo}$	$\delta_{\rm tot}$
15-20	$2.5 \times 10^{2}$	$2.4 \times 10^{0}$	$1.1 \times 10^{1}$	$1.4  imes 10^1$	$1.8 \times 10^{1}$

 $\sigma_{DY \to \mu\mu}$  (15<m< 20 GeV) = 0.25 x 5 = 1.25 nb

 $\rightarrow$  to be compared with 0.99 nb from PYTHIA

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

↔ How the cross section is computed:

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

↔ These two quantities have been calculated by using:

$$egin{cases} N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{fit}}_{\mu\mu\,,\,MB\,data} \Rightarrow & rac{N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{fit}}_{\mu\mu}}{N^{MB\,data}_{ev}} \; with \; N^{MB\,data}_{ev} = N^{CMUL}_{ev} imes f_{norm} \ N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{PYTHIA}}_{\mu\mu\,,\,MB\,data} \Rightarrow & rac{N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{FYTHIA}}_{ev}}{N^{MB\,PYTHIA}_{ev}} \; with \; N^{MB\,PYTHIA}_{ev} = N^{Sim}_{ev} imes Pythia_{eq} \end{cases}$$

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

↔ How the cross section is computed:

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

Is the charm and beauty yield extracted from the fit, normalized to number of equivalent minimum bias events

 $N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{PYTHIA}}_{\mu\mu\,,\,MB\,data}$ 

Is the number of charm and beauty dimuons in HF-enriched MC, normalized to the bumber of equivalent MB events in the simulation

#### ↔ Obtaining:

.0.	$N^{car{c}/bar{b}^{PYTHIA}}_{\mu\mu}$	$N_{\mu\mu}^{car{c}/bar{b}^{fit}}$	$d\sigma^{meas}_{car{c}/bar{b}}/dy_{2.5 < y < 4}$
charm	1.682e+04	5.228e+04 ± 0.068e+04(stat.)	1.55 ± 0.02 (stat.) ± 0.17(syst.) mb
beauty	2.836e+04	1.928e+04 ± 0.068e+04(stat.)	24.6 ± 0.9(stat.) ± 7.5(syst.) µb