

# Modification of $^7\text{Be}$ $\beta\text{-Decay}$ Rates in Laboratory Magnetoplasma and Perspectives for PANDORA



**B. Mishra**, A. Pidatella, A. Galatà, S. Taioli, S. Simonucci and <u>D. Mascali</u>, for the PANDORA collaboration

UNIVERSITÀ degli STUDI di CATANIA

Departimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli studi di Catania

INFN – LNS, Catania



#### PANDORA and In-Plasma Decay



Plasmas for Astrophysics, Nuclear Decay Observations and Radiation for Archaeometry is an upcoming facility at INFN – LNS

<u>Objective</u>: Investigate properties of nuclei and atoms *inside* a high energy density plasma for application to nucleosynthesis [1,2]

<u>First tasks</u>:

- Measuring light element opacity in-plasma for modelling blue kilonova spectra (*r*-process) [3]
- Measuring in-plasma β-decay rates of radioisotopes (s-process)

#### **Experimental Methodology:**

- Generate plasma in ECR magnetoplasma trap  $[n_e \sim 10^{11-12} \text{ cm}^{-3}, k_B T_e \sim 10 \text{ eV} 100 \text{ keV}]$
- Inject radio-isotopes like <sup>176</sup>Lu, <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>94</sup>Nb into plasma and allow ionisation/excitation
- Measure count rate of secondary-γ emitted from decay and correlate γ-emission rate with in-plasma decay rate

#### Challenges:

• ECR plasmas are similar but not identical to stellar plasmas – **non-uniform**, **non-local** and in **NLTE** 



## Plasma-Decay Model and PIC Simulations

#### <u>Step 1</u>: Develop a general model of in-plasma β-decay [Generalised TY83 Model]



<sup>7</sup>Be electron capture decay rate as a function of atomic configuration (left) and in a uniform plasma with  $n_e$ =10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>, under LTE and NLTE conditions (right)

#### Step 2: Couple with Particle-in-Cell (PIC) codes [3D Generalised TY83 Model]







Electron PIC codes for calculating 3D  $n_e$  and  $E_e \implies$ in ECR plasma trap [5]

forIon PIC codes for $d E_e \rightarrow$ calculating 3D CSD  $\rightarrow$ o [5]and LPD [6]

3D plasma-decay ➡ model predicts T<sub>1/2</sub> in ECR plasma trap

Self-consistent PIC simulations can produce maps of plasma density, energy and ionisation state. Plasma-decay models show that for <sup>7</sup>Be, regions of high  $\langle Z \rangle$  are correlated with lower decay rates.

## **Conclusions and Perspectives**

Measurement of in-plasma decay rates in PANDORA will be useful for improving *s*-process models

PIC-simulations coupled with generalised plasmadecay models can simplify complexity of ECR plasmas and predict spatial gradients in  $T_{1/2}$  of radio-isotopes

Simulations show that large modification of decay rates can be achieved by increasing plasma energy content and through efficient injection of isotopes into the magnetic trap

The generalised plasma-decay models are necessary to bridge the gap between low  $n_e$ , NLTE laboratory plasma and high  $n_e$ , LTE stellar plasma The PANDORA trap will operate at high power (~kW) and use an efficient injection system customised according to the isotope to optimise "plasmisation" and gradients in  $T_{1/2}$ 

Once model-predicted decay rates are benchmarked with experimental results, the model will be applied to the stellar interior for improved decay rates

<sup>7</sup>Be in itself will be an important measurement:

- Allow investigating effectiveness of chargebreeder techniques for light elements
- Demonstrate effect of hyperfine splitting of atomic orbitals
- Can have possible impact on calculating abundance of <sup>7</sup>Li





David Mascali Domenico Santonocito Angelo Pidatella Eugenia Naselli Giuseppe Torrisi Giorgio Mauro

**Alessio Galatà** 



Alberto Mengoni

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



Stefano Simonucci Sara Palmerini Maurizio Busso



Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications



...and the PANDORA collaboration

#### Step 1: Select isotope and transitions



Step 2: Calculate lepton phase volume

Step 3: Calculate decay rates

#### Continuum decay

 $f_{IF(m)}^{*} = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \int_{1}^{W_{max}(ij)} (W^{2} - 1)^{1/2} W(W_{max}(ij) - W)^{2} F_{0} S_{(m)}(ij) f_{d}(ij) dW$ 

Continuum capture

$$f_{IF(m)}^* = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \int_{W_{min}(ij)}^{\infty} (W^2 - 1)^{1/2} W(Q(ij)/m_e c^2)^2 F_0 S_{(m)}(ij) f_c(ij) dW$$

Bound decay/ Bound capture

$$f_{IF(m)}^* = \sum_{ij} p_{ij} \sum_{x(ij)} \sigma_x \frac{\pi}{2} [g_x \, or \, f_x]^2 (Q(ij)/m_e c^2)^2 S_{(m)x(ij)}$$

 $\lambda_{tot} = \sum \frac{\ln 2}{f_{IF(m)}t_{1/2}} f^*_{IF(m)}$ 

Summation over all possible decay channels

<sup>7</sup>Be undergoes electron capture to <sup>7</sup>Li 3/2<sup>-</sup> -> 3/2<sup>-</sup> (gs -> gs transition, allowed) 3/2<sup>-</sup> -> 1/2<sup>-</sup> (gs -> es transition, allowed) BR = 10.44 %



The lepton phase volume quantifies the number of ways a decay can occur. The phase volume changes with variations in atomic configuration, depending on type of decay

Information needed: level probability distribution (LPD), orbital occupancy, orbital electron wavefunction, decay energy and shape factor



 $Q = Q_0 + (E_{X,K}^* - E_{Y,K'}^*) + (\epsilon^{i,j} - \epsilon^{i',j'}) + (\Delta_X - \Delta_Y)$ 

#### The decay energy depends on not just the difference in nuclear masses, but on the overall system energy which includes atomic/ionic energy



Information needed: energy of different atomic configurations of parent system and coupling with daughter system



All configurations should have at least one K-shell electron

No selection on daughter configuration K-shell vacant states autoionising

All configurations should have at least one L-shell electron

No selection on daughter configuration L-shell vacant states autoionising



The decay energy changes according to the configuration of the ion. Previously blocked channels can open up and vice versa

Conservation of total angular momentum implies that only certain electron orbitals can interact with the nucleus, depending on the spin and parity of the decay

Information needed: spin-parity of electron orbitals and decay transition

$$S_{(m)x} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m = a, nu \text{ and } x = ns_{1/2}, np_{1/2} \\ q^2 & \text{for } m = u \text{ and } x = ns_{1/2}, np_{1/2} \\ 9/R^2 & \text{for } m = u \text{ and } x = np_{3/2}, nd_{3/2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The probability of electron capture from bound states depends on the square of the radial component of the orbital wavefunction evaluated on the nuclear surface

Information needed: formalism for radial wavefunctions of different orbitals

Radial component of Dirac equation – Coupled differential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\frac{\kappa}{r}P(r) - \left(2c + \frac{V-\epsilon}{c}\right)Q(r) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}Q(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{\kappa}{r}Q(r) + \left(\frac{V-\epsilon}{c}\right)P(r)$$

$$\mathbf{For \, V = \mathbf{Z/r \ (in \ atomic \ units)}}$$

$$P(r) = \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{c^2}\right)^{1/2} \xi \left(\frac{\rho}{N}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho/2N} \left[-n_r F_1 + (N-\kappa)F_2\right]$$

$$Q(r) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{c^2}\right)^{1/2} \xi \left(\frac{\rho}{N}\right)^{\gamma} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho/2N} \left[n_r F_1 + (N-\kappa)F_2\right]$$

- N = apparent principal quantum number
- *n<sub>r</sub>* = number of nodes in orbital spatial distribution
- $\xi = normalisation$
- *ρ* = 2Zr = radial function
- *ε* = quantised electron energy
- $\kappa = -(j+1/2)a, a=\pm 1$
- $F_{1\nu}$ ,  $F_2$  = confluent hypergeometric functions





Larger of  $f_x^2$  or  $g_x^2$  as calculated for <sup>7</sup>Be taking R =  $R_0 A^{1/3}$ 

Wavefunctions evaluated on nuclear surface have small values on account of small nuclear size

Only 1s and 2s contributions may be considered



The ion CSD and LPD strongly depends on electron density and temperature

Information needed: CSD and LPD of <sup>7</sup>Be for various  $n_e$  and  $T_e$ (calculated using FLYCHK)

Mean charge of plasma, and consequently ion CSD and LPD show vastly different trends in LTE and NLTE conditions

TY83 cannot be directly applied to laboratory plasmas which are necessarily NLTE

The generalised plasma-decay model can solve this issue





<sup>7</sup>Be ground state (neutral and 04g02 level config)

T<sub>1/2</sub> calculated = 53.44 days Branching ratio = 10.4 %  $T_{1/2}$  measured (ENSDF) = 53.22 ± 6 days Branching ratio = 10.44 ± 0.2%







