

This project has received funding from the European Union's Research and Innovation programme under GA No 101094300.



Non Collider Collaboration



Machine-detector interface: status and future developments

Riunione di referaggio, 4 settembre 2023

Donatella Lucchesi









Beam background sources in the detector region X Muon decay along the ring, $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$: dominant process at all center-of-mass energies

- * electromagnetic showers \Rightarrow electrons and photons
- * photons from synchrotron radiation from the energetic electrons in collider magnetic field
- * Photonuclear interaction with materials, mainly shielding, \Rightarrow hadronic component
- ***** Bethe-Heitler muon, γ + *A* → *A*′ + μ ⁺ μ [−]
- X Incoherent e^-e^+ production $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-e^+e^-$: could be important at √s~10 TeV
 - * small transverse momentum $e^-e^+ \Rightarrow$ trapped by detector magnetic field
- X Beam halo: level of acceptable losses to be defined, not an issue now



Single muon decay tracks

F. Collamati et al. 2021 JINST 16 P11009

Shielding structure, the nozzles

F. Collamati et al. 2021 JINST 16 P11009





Designed by MAP (Muon Accelerator Program)

N.V. Mokhov et al. *Muon collider interaction region and machinedetector interface design* Fermilab-Conf-11-094-APC-TD



September 4, 2023

Optimization of Interaction Region at $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ **TeV**

Y.I. Alexahin et al. *Muon Collider Interaction Region Design* FERMILAB-11-370-APC N.V. Mokhov et al. *Muon collider interaction region and machine-detector interface design* Fermilab-Conf-11-094-APC-TD



Quadrupoles in Nb₃Sn \rightarrow detailed characteristics in the papers.

Dedicated dipoles to minimize the number of decay electrons in the coils and in the inner part of the detector.

The effect of the nozzles

F. Collamati et al. 2021 JINST 16 P11009

- Muon beam 0.75 TeV, IR designed by MAP
- Beam-induced Background generated with FLUKA
- Compare what arrives on the detector with and without the nozzle



Without the nozzle the detector is flooded by high energy particles

September 4, 2023

0.8

0.6

UON Collide

charged hadrons

[]]]]

0.0

0.2

0.4

Ekin (GeV)

104

Charged

Y: 1.7e+04

N: 4.1e+04

Survived beam-Induced background properties

Particles arriving on the detector with the nozzle:

- Muon beam 0.75 TeV, IR designed by MAP
- Beam-induced Background generated with MARS15





N. Bartosik et al 2020 JINST 15 P05001



Detector read-out window [-1ns,15ns] Partially out of time vs beam crossing t₀

Despite the nozzles, huge number of particles arrives on the detector

September 4, 2023

Origin of particles creating hits in the detector: tracker layer 0





Hadronic **ECAL-barrel** calorimeter N. Bartosik, MDI meeting low 10⁷ BIB particles ECAL Barrel contribution ECAL -Endcap ECAL +Endcap 10 10⁵ 10⁴ ECAL-endcap ዸ፝፞፞፞ኯ same side beam Electromagnetic ECAL-endcap calorimeter opposite to beam -100-50 150 100 200 Z origin [cm] Beam pipe 🔸 Nozzle Nozzle tip

Origin of particles creating hits in the detector: calorimeter

z position of the original particle background that generated a given hit in ECAL Background dominated by photons, detailed study of the origin needed to mitigate effects on ECAL inner layers



Beam-induced background study at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV







- decay on detector surface:
- $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \text{ TeV } z_{\mu} \leq 25 \text{ m}$

100

10²

0.0

Interaction Regions at $\sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$ [Y. Alexahin, et al. Journal of Instrumentation (2018) 11002]



Triplet FF quadrupole apertures and 5 σ beam envelopes for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV and $\beta * = 5$ mm.

Defocusing magnets with 2 T dipole component in cyan.

The order of focusing and defocusing quadrupoles chosen to minimize horizontal beta-function at the B1 dipole used for dispersion generation.

Chosen for the first $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV study



Quadruplet FF quadrupole apertures and 5 σ beam envelopes for $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV and $\beta * = 5$ mm.

Defocusing magnets with 2 T dipole component in cyan.

September 4, 2023

Beam-induced background comparison $\sqrt{s} = 1.5 vs. \sqrt{s} = 3 \text{ TeV}$ L. Castelli



No time requirements

Z position of the muon generating a given particle arriving to the detector area

Time window [-1,15] ns

Optimized IR lattice & nozzles at $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV to minimize e^-e^+ in the inner part of the detector





First look at beam-induced background at $\sqrt{s} = 10$ TeV

- Interaction region designed by IMCC (K. Skoufaris & C. Carli, CERN)
- Nozzles designed for 1.5 TeV
- L*=6 m and detector magnetic field 5 Tesla
- Beam-induced background generated with Fluka



Distance from IP of primary muons decay like $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV, need to extend it



Beam-induced background fluxes & characteristics determined by IR & nozzles \Rightarrow iterative optimization process



September 4, 2023



Neutron per crossing position $\times 10^3$

Near future activities



- Optimization of baseline nozzles for 3 TeV starting from the MAP IR designs
 By evaluating the detector occupancy (PhD INFN-Accelerators)
- Definition of a "baseline" 10 TeV IR, design "baseline" nozzles to produce and study beam-induced background
 - Needed to produce a detector concept at 10 TeV (accelerator & MDI group)
- Investigate a different nozzle concept: shape, new/different materials
 - It will be done for 10 TeV, if successful we will re-think the 3 TeV (PhD Padova CERN funds)

Started a collaboration with CERN engineers to engineering nozzles, several, ~40, tons of tungsten to be placed in the detector and close to FF