Let There be Light

The development of "Hybrid" Cherenkov / scintillation neutrino detectors

Next-generation neutrino detection with EOS & THEIA



Gabriel D. Orebi Gann **UC Berkeley & LBNL** Pisa Meeting 2024





4.4.3





• Hybrid Cherenkov/ scintillation detector

- Multi-messenger astrophysics
- Probe the fundamental nature of matter: CPV and Majorana v
- Unique opportunity to engage a broad community in worldleading "big science"

Disclaimer: calls out a subset of the critical team involved in this effort; all citations at the end





Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:





Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification







Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:



Cherenkov light

- Emitted with a unique topology that preserves directional information
- Particle-dependent threshold

The whole is greater than the sum of the parts

The ratio of the two signals provides additional information on the type of particle interacting: Improved background rejection for precision measurements



Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification







Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:

Cherenkov light • Emitted with a unique topology that preserves directional information • Particle-dependent threshold

The whole is greater than the sum of the parts

The ratio of the two signals provides additional information on the type of particle interacting: Improved background rejection for precision measurements

Challenging technical goal: preserve directional Cherenkov signature against more abundant scintillation yield



Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification









Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:

Cherenkov light Emitted with a unique topology that preserves directional information • Particle-dependent threshold

The whole is greater than the sum of the parts

The ratio of the two signals provides additional information on the type of particle interacting: Improved background rejection for precision measurements

Challenging technical goal: preserve directional Cherenkov signature against more abundant scintillation yield



Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification











Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:

Cherenkov light Emitted with a unique topology that preserves directional information • Particle-dependent threshold

The whole is greater than the sum of the parts

The ratio of the two signals provides additional information on the type of particle interacting: Improved background rejection for precision measurements

Challenging technical goal: preserve directional Cherenkov signature against more abundant scintillation yield



Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification









Combine two well-tested methods for neutrino detection, for enhanced precision:



The whole is greater than the sum of the parts

The ratio of the two signals provides additional information on the type of particle interacting: Improved background rejection for precision measurements

Challenging technical goal: preserve directional Cherenkov signature against more abundant scintillation yield





Scintillation light

- Extremely high light yield: high efficiency for detection
- Particle-dependent response offers particle identification





We focus our studies on three technologies that optimize hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detection:







We seek to characterize behavior, understand and model performance at a microphysical level, and use results to extrapolate performance to kton scales.









We focus our studies on three technologies that optimize hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detection:

otherwise modifying the scintillation signal



We seek to characterize behavior, understand and model performance at a microphysical level, and use results to extrapolate performance to kton scales.

I. Novel targets, such as water-based liquid scintillator (WbLS). Enhances Cherenkov detection by "dialling down" or













We focus our studies on three technologies that optimize hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detection:

- otherwise modifying the scintillation signal
- Cherenkov/scintillation separation

We seek to characterize behavior, understand and model performance at a microphysical level, and use results to extrapolate performance to kton scales.

I. Novel targets, such as water-based liquid scintillator (WbLS). Enhances Cherenkov detection by "dialling down" or



2. Large-Area Picosecond Photon Detectors (LAPPDs). Fast-timing discrimination for vertex resolution and











We focus our studies on three technologies that optimize hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detection:

- otherwise modifying the scintillation signal
- Cherenkov/scintillation separation
- 3. Dichroicons ("chromatic quantum sensing").

We seek to characterize behavior, understand and model performance at a microphysical level, and use results to extrapolate performance to kton scales.

I. Novel targets, such as water-based liquid scintillator (WbLS). Enhances Cherenkov detection by "dialling down" or



2. Large-Area Picosecond Photon Detectors (LAPPDs). Fast-timing discrimination for vertex resolution and

Cherenkov/scintillation separation via spectral sorting





Builds on core (Wb)LS development at BNL (Yeh et al.)

Technical accomplishments

WbLS characterization







NN.















Builds on core (Wb)LS development at BNL (Yeh et al.)

Technical accomplishments

WbLS characterization





Fast timing photon detection



















Builds on core (Wb)LS development at BNL (Yeh et al.)

Technical accomplishments

WbLS characterization





Fast timing photon detection





Quantum chromatic sorting





















- Hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detector (25-100 kton)
- Novel LS target e.g. WbLS, slow LS
- Fast, high-efficiency spectrally sensitive • photon detection with high coverage
- Isotope loading (Gd, Te, Li...) •
- Flexible! Target, loading, configuration
- Broad physics program



White paper - Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020)



THEIA









Scintillation



Theia as a DUNE module in Phase II



THEIA: An advanced optical neutrino detector Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020)

Long-baseline sensitivity comparable to a LAr DUNE module Complementary supernova sensitivity (primarily anti-v, fast response: can act as trigger) + broad (new!) additional physics program

- DUNE Phase II formal process includes Theia as 1 of 3 options
- Theia is technically mature, and brings a broad physics program beyond any alternative (LAr) tech.
- Strong international team actively engaged
- Current R&D support from HEP, NNSA; LDRD at BNL to study ND requirements
- Technical demonstrators underway (BNL 30t, Eos @ LBL, ANNIE, BUTTON)









Neutrinos as a probe of nature





Neutrinos as a probe of nature







Neutrinos as a probe of nature



























































































































Neutrinos for nonproliferation



Produced as a by-product of fission and fusion

Weakly interacting

Close to massless, travel ~c

Interaction products preserve incident direction





Provide a unique signature of nuclear fission / fusion

Signature can't be shielded

Near-instantaneous detection

Can be used to "point" back to the source

Test site transparency



Small modular reactors



Maritime sensing







Neutrinos for nonproliferation





- NuTools: 2021 study (DNN R&D) "exploring practical roles for neutrinos in nuclear energy and security"
- LBNL's focus is to advance the technology to enhance the capabilities of such a detector
 - Reduce the required scale, increase standoff, and provide additional synergies with Office of Science interests
- Close partnership with related efforts at BNL & LLNL



- Provide a unique signature of nuclear fission / fusion
- Signature can't be shielded
- Near-instantaneous detection
- Can be used to "point" back to the source

Test site transparency



Small modular reactors



Maritime sensing







The path to THEIA
















































Eos (Darwn)

Funded by NNSA, DNNR&D FY22-24

Let There be Light





EOS: performance demonstrator

Approach: design, construct and operate an integrated testbed to demonstrate the performance of novel technology

Novelty / technology:

- Novel scintillating liquids water-based scintillator, slow scintillator
- Ultra-fast photon detectors novel 8" PMTs (200 8" PMTs: R14688-100, 900ps FWHM)
- "Quantum chromatic sorting": dichroicons for spectrally sensitive photon detection
- Al/ML-based analysis techniques
- Deployable sources for studies of vertex, energy, direction reconstruction & PID
- 36-fiber light injection system for optical calibration

Designed for flexible upgrade paths & to be redeployed at a neutrino source \rightarrow demonstrate viability of future applications





Sited on UC Berkeley campus, in Nuclear Engineering (NE) department

EOS concept paper published: JINST 18 P02009 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/02/P02009





Eos Demonstrator timeline



- lacksquare
- Led by LBNL
- FY22-24

FY25-27?

\$10M supported by DOE-NNSA-DNN R&D





Challenges faced





Challenges faced

Outer vessel and assembly stand, May '23











Challenges faced

Outer vessel and assembly stand, May '23





Shipping, June 1 '23







June 2, 2023





Tank install (Sept 14th)





Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024





Upper PMT array (Oct 18)















Inner vessel (Oct 25)







· · · · · · ·] [1]



IV unveiling, Barrel PMTs (early Nov)









First dichroicon install (Nov 13)









Complete dichroicon install (Nov 17)





Lower array connection (Nov 24)

Detector lift (Jan 26)

Detector lift (Jan 26)

Detector lift (Jan 26)

- Time precision evaluated using a pico-second laser injected into a single-mode optical fiber, terminating in a teflon diffuser ball
- < 600ps time resolution across all PMTs (8", 10", 12")
- Stable and reproducible across runs

Preliminary data

Next step: evaluate energy / vertex / direction reconstruction

The EOS team

USA rererer BERKELEY LAB Penn Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory BOSTON UNIVERSITY Fenn4in **Fermilab** BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY RUTGERS IDWA SOUTH DAKOT BARTOSZEK ENGINEERING 5 SCHOOL OF MINES & TECHNOLOGY University of Colorado Boulder A STATE DE

Team breakdown:

3 national laboratories **18** top-tier US institutions 8 international collaborating institutions

> 10 postdoctoral scholars 9 graduate students 18 undergraduate students

THEIA (proto-)Collaboration

¹Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA ²Physics Department, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-7300 ³Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720-8153, USA ⁴Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA ⁵Institute of Physics and Excellence Cluster PRISMA⁺, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany ⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396 ⁷Universidade de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências (FCUL), Departamento de Física, Campo Grande, Edifício C8, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal ⁸Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas (LIP), Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003, Lisboa, Portugal ⁹Institut für Experimentalphysik. Universität Hamburg. 22761 Hamburg. Germany ¹⁰ The Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA ¹¹University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96822, USA ¹²Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

³⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA ³¹King's College London, Department of Physics, Strand Building, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK ³²Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA ¹³Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland ³³University of California Los Angeles, Department of Physics & ¹⁴Physics Department, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany Astronomy, 475 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1547, USA ¹⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA ³⁴Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Physics and Laboratory ¹⁶University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA for Nuclear Science, 77 Massachusetts Ave Cambridge, MA 02139, USA ¹⁷Boston University, Department of Physics, Boston, MA 02215, USA ³⁵INFN/SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy, ¹⁸University of Milano-Bicocca & INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 3, 20126 Milan, 1 Kavli IPMU (WPI), University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, 277-8583 Kashiwa, Japan ¹⁹University of Alberta, Department of Physics, 4-181 CCIS, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E1, Canada ³⁶Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA ²⁰Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA ³⁷Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 34126, Korea ²¹SNOLAB, Creighton Mine 9, 1039 Regional Road 24, Sudbury, ON P3Y 1N2, Canada ³⁸University of California, Irvine, Department of Physics, CA 92697, Irvine, USA ²²Laurentian University, Department of Physics, 935 Ramsey Lake Road, Sudbury, ON P3E 2C6, Canad ³⁹Department of Physics, Erciyes University, 38030, Kayseri, Turkey ²³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA ⁴⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ²⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803 ⁴¹State University of New York at Stony Brook, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook, New York, USA ²⁵Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Universität Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany ⁴²Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China ²⁶Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany ⁴³Department of Physics, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD 57701, USA ²⁷III. Physikalisches Institut B, RWTH Aachen University, 52062 Aachen, Germany ⁴⁴Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA ²⁸ University of Sheffield, Physics & Astronomy, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK ⁴⁵University of Colorado at Boulder, Department of Physics, Boulder, Colorado, USA ²⁹Queen's University, Department of Physics, Engineering ⁴⁶Institut für Kern und Teilchenphysik, TU Dresden, Zellescher Weg 19, 01069, Dresden, Germany Physics & Astronomy, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada

O. A. Akindele,¹ M. Askins,^{2,3} D. M. Asner,⁴ A. Augusthy,⁵ A. Bacon,⁶ Z. Bagdasarian,^{2,3} N. Barros,^{6,7,8} E.W. Beier,⁶ M. Bergevin,¹ D. Bick,⁹ E. Blucher,¹⁰ M. Bondin,¹ R. Bonventre,³ E. Bourret,³ E. J. Callaghan,^{2,3} J. Caravaca,^{2,3} S. Dazeley,¹ M. Diwan,⁴ S.T. Dye,¹¹ J. Eisch,¹² A. Elagin,¹⁰ T. Enqvist,¹³ U. Fahrendholz,¹⁴ M. Faní,¹⁵ V. Fischer,¹⁶ M. J. Ford,¹ K. Frankiewicz,¹⁷ N. Goehlke,⁵ C. Grant,¹⁷ D. Guffanti,¹⁸ C. Hagner,⁹ A. Hallin,¹⁹ B. Harris,⁶ C. M. Jackson,²⁰ R. Jiang,¹⁰ T. Kaptanoglu,^{2,3} J.R. Klein,⁶ Yu. G. Kolomensky,^{2,3} C. Kraus,^{21,22} F. Krennrich,²³ T. Kroupová,⁶ T. Kutter,²⁴ T. Lachenmaier,²⁵ K. Lande,⁶ L. Lebanowski,^{2,3} J.G. Learned,¹¹ V.A. Li,¹ S. Linden,⁴ V. Lozza,^{7,8} M. Lu,¹⁴ L. Ludhova,^{26,27} M. Malek,²⁸ S. Manecki,^{22,29,21} J. Maneira,^{7,8} J. Maricic,¹¹ J. Martvn,⁵ A. Mastbaum,³⁰ C. Mauger,⁶ M. Maver,¹⁴ J. Migenda,³¹ F. Moretti,³ J. Napolitano,³² B. Naranjo,³³ S. Naugle,⁶ M. Newcomer,⁶ L. Oberauer,¹⁴ G. D. Orebi Gann,^{2,3} J. Ouellet,³⁴ T. Pershing,¹⁶ S.T. Petcov,³⁵ L. Pickard,^{2,3} R. Rosero,⁴ M. C. Sanchez,³⁶ J. Sawatzki,¹⁴ S. Schoppmann,⁵ S.H. Seo,³⁷ J. Shen,⁶ M. Smiley,^{2,3} M. Smy,³⁸ A. Stahl,²⁷ H. Steiger,¹⁴ M. Stender,⁹ M. R. Stock,¹⁴ H. Sunej,⁴ R. Svoboda,¹⁶ E. Tiras,^{39,40} W. H. Trzaska,¹³ M. Tzanov,²⁴ M. Vagins,³⁸ R. Van Berg,⁶ C. Vilela,⁴¹ Z. Wang,⁴² J. Wang,⁴³ A. Weber,⁵ M. Wetstein,²³ M. J. Wilking,¹⁵ L. Winslow,³⁴ P. Wittich,⁴⁴ B. Wonsak,⁹ E. Worcester,^{4,41} M. Wurm,⁵ G. Yang,⁴ M. Yeh,⁴ E. D. Zimmerman,⁴⁵ S. Zsoldos,^{2,3} and K. Zuber⁴⁶

Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024

Summary

- Hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation technology can interrogate a broad program of compelling science
- Conventional neutrino physics & rare-event searches in a single, large detector
- A hybrid detector module would add to the LBL program at DUNE and bring a broad program of additional physics
- THEIA offers inspirational physics to motivate a new, broad community of scientists
- Technological developments have evolved from bench-top to fully integrated demonstrators
- Prototypes underway will demonstrate the full range of capabilities

CHESS

• Exciting physics to come!

Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024

Non-comprehensive list of citations

Physics

- Eur. Phys. Jour. C (2018) 78: 435 \bullet https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5925-7
- Eur. Phys. Jour. C (2020) 80:418 ۲ https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7977-8
- arXiv:2202.12839 [hep-ex] \bullet
- Eur. Phys. Jour. C 82 (2022) 12, 1151 \bullet https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-11106-1
- Phys. Rev. D 103, 052004 (2021) \bullet https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.052004
- NIMA 943 (2019) 162420 \bullet https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.162420
- JINST 9 (2014) P06012 \bullet https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/06/P06012
- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1409.5864

Photon detection

- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2203.07479 \bullet
- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1603.01843
- NIM A 958 (2020) 162834 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.162834
- JINST 19 (2024) P02032 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/19/02/P02032

Scintillator development / studies

- Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 9 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.092006
- NIM A 972 (2020) 164106 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.164106
- Materials Advances, I (2020) 71 76 https://doi.org/10.1039/D0MA00055H

•

•

ullet

•

•

 \bullet

۲

- Eur. Phys. Jour. C (2023) 83:134 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11242-2
- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.01100
- JINST 16 (2021) P05009 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05009
- NIM A 925 (2019) 1 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2019.01.014
- Astro.Part. Phys. 109 (2019) 33 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2019.02.00
- J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 43 (2016) 093001. https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/9/093001
- NIM A 830 (2016) 303 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.05.132
- JINST 10 (2015) P12009 <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/10/12/P12009</u>
- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.05743

Technology demonstrators

- JINST 18 (2023) P02009 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/02/P02009
- Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 072002 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.072002
- JINST 19 (2024) 05, P05070. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/19/05/P05070</u>
- <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.13231</u>
- Phys. Rev. C 95, 055801 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.95.055801
- Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77:811 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5380-x
- Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 867 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8418-4
- Eur. Phys. J. C 82-2 (2022) 169 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10087-5
- Eur. Phys. Jour. C (2023) 83:1094 https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-12278-0
- Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 7 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.072003
- https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2312.09293

Acknowledgements

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. The project was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development (DNN R&D) (FY19, FY20-24). This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under Award Number DE-SC0018974 (FY18-20). This work was funded in-part by the Consortium for Monitoring, Technology, and Verification under Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration award number DE-NA0003920 (FY20-24), and the Nuclear Science and Security Consortium under Award Number DE-NA0003180 (FY19-20).

Thank you!

- Thank you to DNN R&D: Chris Ramos, Brian Yoxall, Craig Sloan for their support
- Thank you to DOE-SC HEP: Helmut Marsiske, Brian Beckford, Alan Stone, Glen Crawford
- Thank you to DOE-SC NP: Paul Sorensen, Tim Hallman
- Thank you to this audience for your attention!

g Sloan for their support oford, Alan Stone, Glen Crawforc

www.joelthai.photo

BACKUP

Subsystem status

Laser light injection

Calibration

- Low-energy γ ¹³⁷Cs
- High-energy γ's AmBe/PuBe
- Light injection system mounted fibers, deployed diffuser
- Natural sources cosmogenics, radioactivity

Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024

EOS detector design & site selection

- Sited on UC Berkeley campus, in Nuclear Engineering (NE) department
- Supported by NSSC & NE colleagues
- High-bay area with 5-ton capacity bridge crane

laser light injection system

Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024

Community planning

Snowmass Neutrino Frontier

• NF: Detectors: one of 5 priorities for development

• NF: Long-term outlook also BSM, terrestrial & astrophysical nu

Snowmass Instrumentation Frontier

• IF: Going beyond DUNE also photon detectors & spectral sorting • Pursuit of hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation Detectors: Many different technologies are being developed for these, including water-based liquid scintillator, slow fluors, fast timing with LAPPDs and other devices, and spectral photon sorting with dichroicons. At very large scales like the proposed Theia detector, these could have very broad physics programs.

ordering region. These next-generation LAr detector ideas go by different names, such as "SLoMo", "SoLAr", and "LArXe." Another idea is the proposed Theia detector, which is a hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detector that could do precision measurements of very low-energy solar neutrinos, diffuse supernova neutrino detection, perform searches for sterile neutrinos, and also push well beyond DUNE in precision tests of the three-flavor mixing model (including, for example, studies of the second oscillation maximum). On the low-threshold side, much will depend on what the

Of particular community interest is the development of hybrid Cherenkov-scintillation detectors, which can simultaneously exploit the advantages of Cherenkov light's reconstruction of direction and related highenergy particle identification (PID) and the advantages of scintillation light, high light-yield, low-threshold detection with low-energy PID. Hybrid Cherenkov-scintillation detectors could have an exceptionally broad dynamic range in a single experiment, allowing them to have both high-energy, accelerator-based sensitivity while also achieving a broad low-energy neutrino physics and astrophysics program. Recently the Borexino

NP LRP - Fundamental Symmetries, Neutrons, and Neutrinos Whitepaper

Among possible beyond-ton-scale experiments are: NEXT, which will employ high pressure xenon gas time projection chambers with barium tagging; THEIA, a large-scale hybrid Cherenkov/scintillation detector that will be an outgrowth of the SNO+ and KamLAND-Zen experiments; Selena, which will employ high-

Cherenkov vs Scintillation

- Solar neutrinos Unique low-threshold, directional detection Particle and event ID from LS time profile, quenching, Ch/S ratio • Few-% level sensitivity to CNO v
 - Plus precision pp, 8B shape

Physics Highlights

- Neutrinoless double beta decay
- Inner containment vessel with high-LY LS and isotope
- Background reduction via event imaging: PID, multi-site, directionality

Gabriel D. Orebi Gann, Pisa 2024
First light (Mar 8)









- SNS provides both neutrinos and anti neutrinos
- Detection of Inverse Beta Decay: relevant for reactors
- Detection of Elastic Scattering events: directionality "holy grail"
- Neutron studies: evaluate background rejection
- Possible space identified at ORNL
- Additional technology development opportunity:
 - Li-loaded WbLS (5% organic, 10% Li)
 - Enhanced V_e detection : CC on ⁷Li, spectral precision
- Supernova-relevant demonstration
- **Beyond-SM** searches

Channel	Rate at 20m Standoff (ev/yr)
$ u_e e ext{ ES} $	136.89
$ u_{\mu}e ext{ ES} $	20.89
$\bar{ u}_{\mu}e \ \mathrm{ES}$	22.48
ν_e - ⁷ Li CC	533.30
ν_e - ¹⁶ O CC	459.34
ν_e - ¹² C CC	37.08

event rates expected for 4 tons of LiWbLS

EOS @ SNS (ORNL)



 $\sigma(E) \ (\mathrm{cm}^2)$









Physics program

Primary physics goal	Reach	
Long-baseline oscillations	>5 σ for 30% of δ_{CP}	C
Nucleon decay p→∨K+	T>3.8 x 10 ³⁴ year	(s
Supernova burst	<i(2)° pointing<br="">20K(5K) events</i(2)°>	
Diffuse Supernova Neutrino	5σ	L
CNO neutrinos	<5(10)%	
MSW transition	5σ	L
Geoneutrinos	< 7 %	m
Οννβ	T _{1/2} > 1.1 x 10 ²⁸ year (90%C.L.)	

Context

- Comparable / complementary to **DUNE**
- **Complementary to DUNE** ensitivity to different modes)
- **Complementary to DUNE**
- (nu vs anti-nu)
- **Jnique background rejection**
- (deep, Cher+scint ratio)
- Unique capability, order of magnitude improvement
- Inique capability: depth, low-
- bkg, low-threshold, PID
- Only plausible high stats easurement in North America

Beyond ton-scale sensitivity

- Low-energy (< 5 MeV) Solar vs
 - No long-lived cosmogenics
 - Naturally neutron-shielding
 - High light-yield for good resolution/low threshold
- SN Burst and Diffuse SN anti-v Background
- Literally complementary to LAr: anti-v vs. v
- Atmospheric NC rejected via Cher+scint
- $0\nu\beta\beta$ with <u>natural</u> isotopic (e.g. <u>nat</u>Te) loading
- Beyond tonne-scale at low cost
- Long-baseline oscillations

.

- Similar CP sensitivity to 10 kt LAr
- Lighter target, different systematics
- Very narrow energy resolution

Antinu signal >> nu signal

Require UAr + n shield to achieve this physics in LArTPC DUNE due to intrinsic 39Ar and 42Ar & neutron background





Long-Baseline Sensitivity



Performance of small (25kt) Theia module competitive with 10kt LAr TPC

Theia-100 (70kt FV) Theia-25 (17kt FV)

> Synergy with LAr TPC Independent systematics High-energy events

Ring-imaging of a water Cherenkov detector

- Particle ID from Cher/scint separation
 - n and low-E hadron detection (low threshold)
 - reduce wrong-sign component (V vs anti-V)
 - ▶ reduce NC background by detecting $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

• THEIA 100: large size \rightarrow sensitivity to 2nd oscn max

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

Solar Neutrinos with THEIA

- Dominant background: natural radioactivity e.g. ²¹⁰Bi
- Theia offers unique low-threshold, directional detection
- Particle and event ID from LS time profile, quenching, Ch/S ratio



- Unique few-% level sensitivity to CNO v
- Precision pp: luminosity, understand solar energy production
- Unique probe of matter effect / mattervacuum transition
- Potential Li loading for CC (Haxton)





The challenge of backgrounds



Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020); Eur. Phys. J. C (2018) 78: 435



ovBB with THEIA

25-100 kton hybrid optical neutrino detector 8-m radius balloon with high-LY LS and isotope 7-m fiducial, 3% ^{nat}Te (or ^{enr}Xe), 10 years



Builds on critical developments by KLZ & SNO+ collaborations Phys.Rev.Lett. 110:062502 (2013); Adv.High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 6194250; Phys. Rev. D 87 no. 7:071301 (2013)

Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020)







Sensitivity



NP-LRP White Paper on neutrino less double beta decay, by the NP community: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2212.11099.pdf

Supernova Detection

- ~90% events are IBD
 Highly complementary to V_e LAr signal
 Fast, can act as trigger for DUNE
- ES \Rightarrow pointing accuracy < I \circ
- CC & monoE γ from NC \Rightarrow burst T & subsequent mixing
- Flavour-resolved neutrino spectra
- High-stats, low-threshold signal with good resolution
- Pre-supernova
 V sensitivity
- Enhanced CC with



Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020)

Reaction		Rate
(IBD)	$\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$	19,800
(ES)	$\nu + e \rightarrow e + \nu$	960
$(v_e O)$	${}^{16}\mathrm{O}(v_e, e^-){}^{16}\mathrm{F}$	340
$(\bar{\nu}_e \mathbf{O})$	${}^{16}{ m O}(\bar{\nu}_e, e^+){}^{16}{ m N}$	440
(NCO)	${}^{16}O(\nu,\nu){}^{16}O^*$	1100

NSD colloquium, G. D. Orebi Gann

Diffuse Supernova v Background

- Diffuse v "glow" from past core-collapse supernovae
- Astrophysics of SNe
- Signature: IBD detection of antineutrino signal
 - Prompt e+ and delayed n-capture signal
- Main background: NC interaction of atmospheric V
 - v hits C nucleus, causing recoil
 - n captures
 - Can mimic signal
- Cherenkov/scintillation ratio provides a powerful handle for background
- 5σ in 125 kton-yrs



NSD colloquium, G. D. Orebi Gann

- **Geo-v** observation by KL, Borexino (< 220 ev)
- **THEIA**: large statistics, complementary site: 218 ev/yr (25 kt)
- Full spectral analysis with BDT for bkg rejection
- Future improvements: PID (p/e+, e-/e+)
- Could offer first evidence for surface variation
- U/Th ratio to 15% precision in 10 years
- **Reactor v** prospects: ~ 20 reactor ev/kt-yr
- Demonstrate techniques for remote reactor monitoring
- Range & direction at >1000km standoff



Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020); arXiv:2204.12278

Anti-v Detection



10

Geo 238U Geo 232Th 50 Reactor cores Rate dR/dE (NIU/MeV) 10 -Antineutrino Energy (MeV) Geoneutrino Reactor ates [Hz] 17N Atm NCQE Fast neutrons ^{1°}O (alpha, n ²¹⁴Bi PMT 0.5 ²⁰⁸TI PMT ⁴⁰K PMT ²¹⁴Bi Wate 0.4 ⁰⁸TI Water year data, 25 kton 300 400 500 600 700 50 60 7σ 80 #Th ev 50 100 150 200 250 300

Nucleon Decay

Testing the existence of GUTs with THEIA:

- Large size (statistics), deep location, very clean
- n tagging (low threshold plus potential isotope loading)
- Sub-Cherenkov threshold detection



 \Rightarrow Directly visible K⁺

Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 416 (2020)

Directionality + n tag