CALICO 16TH PISA MEETING ON ADVANCED DETECTORS

# CALICE scintillator-SiPM calorimeter prototypes: R&D highlights and beamtests

#### Yong Liu (IHEP), for CALICE and CEPC Calorimeter Teams 16<sup>th</sup> Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors, La Biodola, Isola d'Elba May 29, 2024











#### High granularity calorimetry





PM2024 Poster: F. Guo, <u>High-granularity</u> crystal calorimeter R&D (May 28, 2024)

- Future Higgs/EW/top factories
  - Requires unprecedented energy resolution for jet measurements
  - A major calorimetry option: highly granular (imaging) + particle flow algorithm (PFA)
- PFA calorimetry: various options explored in the CALICE collaboration
- Focus in this talk: scintillator-SiPM ECAL and HCAL prototypes



# Particle-flow algorithm

Components in jets	Sub-Detectors	Energy fraction (average) within a jet	Detector Resolution
charged particles ( $X^{\pm}$ )	Tracker	60% E <sub>j</sub>	$10^{-4}E_{X}^{2}$
photons ( $\gamma$ )	ECAL	30% E <sub>j</sub>	$0.15 \sqrt{E_{\gamma}}$
neutral hadrons (h)	ECAL+HCAL	10% E <sub>j</sub>	$0.55 \sqrt{E_h}$

- Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA)
  - To achieve unprecedented jet energy resolution of  $\sim 30\%/\sqrt{E_{jet}}$
  - (Reminder: multiple particles within a jet)
  - Choose a sub-detector best suited for each particle type
  - Charged particles measured in tracker
  - Photons in ECAL and neutral hadrons in HCAL
- Separation of close-by particles in the calorimeters
- PFA-oriented calorimeters: high granularity (1~10 million channels)







# Scintillator-tungsten ECAL prototype



- ScW-ECAL prototype: developed in 2016-2020
  - Transverse area of ~22x22 cm, 32 longitudinal sampling layers
  - 6,720 channels, ~350 kg, SPIROC2E (192 chips)
- Beamtest campaigns at CERN in 2022-2023
  - Along with AHCAL prototype

in mm uni

S12571-015P

90mm

 $\rightarrow$  30 sampling layers

 $\Box \rightarrow 2$  sampling layers

(in the rear part)

45mm

45mm

90mm

scintillator strip

SiPM



# Scintillator-Steel HCAL (AHCAL) prototype







#### 1 full layer: 3 HBUs + cassette



**Beamtest Setup** 



- AHCAL prototype using "SiPM-on-Tile" design
  - Transverse size  $72 \times 72$  cm<sup>2</sup>, 40 longitudinal layers (~4.6 $\lambda_I$ )
  - 12960 readout channels, SPIROC2E (360 chips), ~5 ton in weight
  - Developed during 2018 2022



#### CERN beamtests in 2022-2023

 Oct 19 - Nov 2, 2022
 Apr 26 - May 10, 2023
 May 17 - 31, 2023

 SPS H8 beamline
 SPS H2 beamline
 PS T9 beamline



- Successful beamtest campaigns
  - Two prototypes (ScW-ECAL and AHCAL)
  - Both mounted on a motorised stage (XYZ+U)
  - Impressions: a few cubic meters and ~10 tons







#### CERN beamtests in 2022-2023



- Collected decent statistics of testbeam data samples
  - Muons: 10 GeV (PS-T9), 108/160 GeV (H8), 120 GeV (H2)
  - Electrons/positrons: 0.5 5 GeV at PS; 10 120 GeV at SPS (also up to 250 GeV)
  - Pions: 1 15 GeV at PS, 10 120 GeV (also 150 350 GeV) at SPS















#### Event display with ScECAL+AHCAL



One run of different position scans: muon beam out of ScW-ECAL acceptance



#### Hadronic showers in ECAL+HCAL at PS





#### Beam purity issue at SPS

- Observed significant beam contamination SPS-H8
  - Mixture of pions, muons, positrons in H8 beam data
  - Beam purity at SPS-H2 (2023) is significantly better than SPS-H8 (2022)
  - Particle identification techniques developed: to select high-purity data samples





# PID technique based on Fractal Dimension

- Fraction Dimension (FD)
  - Self-similarity in patterns of particle showers in the transverse plane

• 
$$FD = \left\langle \frac{\log(R_{\alpha,1})}{\log(\alpha)} \right\rangle$$
 where  $R_{\alpha,1} = \frac{N_1}{N_{\alpha}}$  and  $N_{\alpha}$  is number of hits scaled by the factor  $\alpha$ 





FD methodology based on M. Ruan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 012001



#### PID studies with beamtest data

#### • FD characteristics of different beam particles

• Imaging capability of high granularity calorimeter: diagnosis with event display





- SPS-H2 beam purity >80% for electron and pion beams >30 GeV
- Significantly better beam purity at H2 than H8
- Noise-only events now become a dominating factor (~10%): ongoing studies



SPS-H2 Pion Beam

**SPS-H2 Electron Beam** 



#### • PID based on ANN (ResNet): input tensor of energy deposition per AHCAL tile

- ANN results mostly consistent with Fractal Dimension (FD)
  - Difference within ~1% level for both electrons and pions



ResNet: He K, Zhang X, Ren S, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition" Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2016: 770-778.



#### 2023 SPS-H2 beam purity: preliminary results

- Updates on SPS-H2 beam purity: based on Fractal Dimension
  - Excluding noise-only events, incomplete EM/hadronic showers
    - Regarded as an instrumentation issue (but still need to understand possible reasons)
  - Electron beam purity >94%, pion purity > ~90% when p>30GeV





### 2022 SPS-H8 beam purity: preliminary results

- Revisited SPS-H8 beam purity: mixture of  $\mu^+/e^+/\pi^+$  (characteristics of <u>hit patterns</u>)
- Positron beam: largely dominated by hadrons, barely no positrons >60 GeV
- Hadron beam: a considerably large fraction of positrons, esp. in low energy region





#### Simulation and digitisation

- Geant4 simulation including detailed geometry of ScW-ECAL and AHCAL prototypes
- Digitisation: energy depositions (Geant4)  $\rightarrow$  digits in ADC
  - Same technology: scintillator-SiPM and ASIC in two prototypes
  - Procedure implemented for each readout channel



# AHCAL prototype: muon data/MC

- MIP calibration: provide energy scale for each channel
- Crucial inputs for energy reconstruction of electrons and pions

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### MC is in general consistent with muon data

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Critical issue: non-linearity effects in SiPM and ASIC (SPIROC2E) with large signals
- Digitisation significantly improves MC/data consistency
- But still requires a better digitization model for SiPM+ASIC saturations effects

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

# AHCAL performance: preliminary results

- AHCAL prototype using pion data sets after PID selections
  - Energy linearity within  $\pm 1.5\%$
  - Energy resolution 56.2%/ $\sqrt{E(GeV)} \oplus 2.5\%$  (expected 60%/ $\sqrt{E(GeV)} \oplus 3\%$ )

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Ongoing studies to address critical issues : non-linearity effects and corrections (SiPMs, ASICs), MC validation

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

- CEPC scintillator-based calorimeter prototypes
  - Successful beam test campaigns at CERN PS/SPS during 2022-2023
  - Collected decent statistics of data samples in the wide energy range
  - Invaluable for detector performance evaluation and shower studies
- PID and validation studies: preliminary results promising
  - Particle Identification with imaging calorimeters: muons, electrons, pions
  - Prototype simulation + digitisation: validation studies with beam data
  - Ongoing efforts to improve data/MC consistency
- Future: ECFA DRD-on-Calorimetry (DRD6) collaboration
  - Common software, DAQ and beamtest campaigns
  - Geant4 validation and PFA performance studies with beamtest data sets

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Acknowledgements

- Successful beam test campaigns during 2022-2023
- All these beam data taken would only be possible
  - With strong teamwork and enormous and substantial support received from CERN, CALICE and EuroLabs

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 101057511.

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Backup

# CALICE scintillator-tungsten ECAL option

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ScW-ECAL: scintillator strips with SiPM readout + CuW absorber
  - A cost-effective option with plastic scintillator and less readout channels than SiW-ECAL
  - Effective transverse granularity of 5×5mm<sup>2</sup>
  - Pattern recognition issue ("ghost hits"): to be addressed by the "Strip-Splitting" algorithm
- ScW-ECAL technological prototype: developed in 2016-2020

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ScW-ECAL data analysis: MIP calibration

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- MIP calibration with 100 GeV muon data
  - Extracted MPV value from Landau distribution convoluted with Gaussian
  - Trigger threshold and SiPM bias voltage optimized
  - Muon tracking algorithm applied to improve fitting quality
  - A small fraction of channels failed, due to insufficient statistics

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ScW-ECAL electron data: EM shower studies

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Simulation including digitisation: photon fluctuations, trigger or energy threshold (0.5 MIP), SiPM saturation
- Still discrepancy in MC/data: energy response, shower profile
- Observed contamination from pions
- Ongoing efforts: simulation + digitisation, PID for better purity, impacts of SiPM noises

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Validation of AHCAL simulation with beam data

- <u>Pion data</u>: ongoing studies to address critical issues
  - Digitisation significantly improves MC/data consistency: discrepancy from 10% to 8%
  - Critical issue: non-linearity effects (saturations in SiPM and ASIC with large signals)
  - Requires a better model for saturations effects in digitisation

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

Jiyuan Chen, Hongbin Diao, Dejing Du,

Siyuan Song, Jiaxuan Wang, Xin Xia

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Validation of AHCAL simulation with beam data

<u>Electron data</u>: ongoing studies to address critical issues

Jiyuan Chen, Hongbin Diao, Dejing Du, Siyuan Song, Jiaxuan Wang, Xin Xia

- Digitisation significantly improves MC/data consistency: discrepancy from 21% to 7%
- Critical issue: non-linearity effects (saturations in SiPM and ASIC with large signals)
- Requires a better model for saturations effects in digitisation

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

• Hadronic showers with 10 GeV pions

Test Beam AHCAL E Dep @57 MeV Multiple MIP tracks from 10 GeV muons ANN Predicts: mu 18.0 14.4 Test Beam Test Beam 10.8 AHCAL E Dep @442 MeV AHCAL E Dep @363 MeV ANN Predicts: pion 7.2 ANN Predicts: pion 3.6 18.0 0.0 18.0 14.4 40 14.4 10.8 30 10.8 0.0 3.6 7.2 10.8 14.4 18.0 7.2 20 7.2 3.6 10 3.6 0.0 0 0.0 40 40 30 0.0 3.6 7.2 10.8 14.4 18.0 30 20 0.0 3.6 7.2 10.8 Test Beam 20 10 Test Beam Test Beam AHCAL E Dep @78 MeV 10 AHCAL E Dep @329 MeV 0 ANN Predicts: mu AHCAL E Dep @443 MeV .3 14.4 18.0 ANN Predicts: pion ANN Predicts: pion 0 18.0 18.0 18.0 14.4 14.4 14.4 10.8 10.8 10.8 7.2 7.2 7.2 3.6 3.6 3.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 40 40 40 30 30 0.0 3.6\_ 30 0.0 3.6 7.2 10.8 14.4 18.0 20 0.0 7.2 10.8 14.4 18.0 ... 3.6 7.2 10.8 14.4 18.0 20 20 10 10 10 0 0 0