

# **Prototype water Cherenkov detector measurements for** muon tomography applications

T. Avgitas<sup>a</sup>, F. Dogliotti<sup>b</sup>, J.-C. lanigro<sup>a</sup>, J. Marteau<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>IP2I, Univ Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IP2I Lyon, UMR 5822, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France <sup>b</sup>Muodim, 31, Rue Saint-Maximin 69003, Lyon, France



# Prototype Cherenkov Detector

- The prototype (fig. 1) consists of a cylinder of grey PVC with 1 cm thickness and 15.7 cm radius. The internal surface is covered with Tyvek to increase reflectivity and the water level stands at 1 m.
- A Hamamatsu H8804-300 MaPMT is used for the photon detection.
- Optically coupled to 64 optic fibers (Kuraray-Y11) for increased light collection efficiency.

# Characterisation Setup

- Hodoscope with two muon trackers on top and below the prototype (fig. 1 center).
- Energy discrimination for muons above 0.7 GeV with 40 cm of lead bricks in-between the bottom tracker planes.

## Introduction

Muography is an imaging technique based on the detection of muons produced naturally in the atmosphere with applications in Volcanology, Archaeology, Civil Engineering, Homeland Security etc. Various types of background impact absorption tomography measurements at high zenithal detection angles. Trackers combined with Cherenkov detectors have been proposed as means for better background rejection especially for low energy muons and electrons.

Background Types

- Fake Muons Charged hadrons or e\*
- Soft Muons Low momentum muons







• 2 DAQ runs performed, with and without lead.

### Validation

- Simulation shows similar behaviour for the prototype response at different energy regimes (fig. 2)
- Calculations [2] on the expected Cherenkov light yield <sup>3-1</sup> from muons & δ-rays shows also an expected drop for Tra the signal (fig. 3), at these energies.

Experimental Results			
No Lead		Lead	
Percent	MPV (p.e.)	Percent	MPV (p.e.)
88%	31.29 ± 0.06	70%	31.14 ± 0.05
12%	31.10 ± 0.1	30%	27.19 ± 0.15
55191		59566	
	Expe No Percent 88% 12%	Experimental P   No Lead   Percent MPV (p.e.)   88% 31.29 ± 0.06   12% 31.10 ± 0.1   55191	Experimental ResultsNo Lead $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $





- Propose an optimal fiber layout based on the expected Cherenkov signal (fig. 5)

- telescopes with an active Cherenkov detector.





- The two orders of magnitude difference between the simulation and the experiment can be explained by the PMT efficiency, the optic fibers collection efficiency and other effects that are not accounted for by the simulation.
- Comparing the Cherenkov response ratio of 3-fold coincidence tracks to 4-fold coincidence tracks shows that the detector differentiates between low energy and high energy muon tracks (fig. 4)
- The probability that the Detector response to low energy tracks is lower than 26 p.e. is 1.5 to 2 times higher than for high energy tracks to give signal in the same region.

- Designed a portable, compact, robust & low power Cherenkov Detector for muography experiments
- Proved that light collection with optical fibers is feasible.
- Operational with the same DAQ chain used for muon telescope elements [3] which means seamless installation to existing telescopes deployed at various sites around the globe.
- Detection of the expected drop in photon yield for low energy tracks is encouraging for future developments on particle energy discrimination.



### Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out with the support of the LabEx LIO of the Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, which has been part of a program for future development (reference number ANR-10-LABX-066) initiated by the French government and overseen by the Agence National de la Recherche (ANR). This study is part of the ANR-19-CE05-0033 MEGAMU project.

### References

[1] L. Bonechi, R. D'Alessandro, A. Giammanco, Atmospheric muons as an imaging tool, Reviews in Physics, Volume 5, 2020, 100038, ISSN 2405-4283, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revip.2020.100038. [2] J.A. Lezniak, Average added component of Cherenkov radiation due to knock-on electrons, Nuclear Instruments and Methods, Vol. 136, Issue 2, 1976, p. 299-306 [3] N. Lesparre, J. Marteau, Y. Déclais, D. Gibert, B. Carlus, F. Nicollin and B. Kergosien, Design and operation of a field telescope for cosmic ray geophysical tomography, Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst., 1, 33–42, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-1-33-2012, 2012.

