## Status, Experimental Results and Perspectives of the ALICE experiment.

Valeria Muccifora



Recent results

Perspectives and upgrades

INFN

Frascati

### **Quark Gluon Plasma**

 ◆ Explore the deconfined phase of QCD matter
 ◆ High-energy nucleus-nucleus → large energy density (~15 GeV/fm<sup>3</sup> at LHC) OVER a large volume (~ 5000 fm<sup>3</sup> at LHC)



## Quark Gluon Plasma and Heavy ions

Quark Gluon Plasma is a state of strongly interacting matter in which quarks and gluons are no more confined into hadrons

QGP is formed at high temperatures and/or density  $\rightarrow$  conditions similar to those achieved few micro-seconds after the Big-Bang



How can QGP be produced in laboratory?

#### heavy-ion collisions

How to understand the properties of the created hot medium?

study specific probes as jets, open heavy flavors, quarkonium...



## The exploration of the QGP: soft and hard probes



#### "Soft" probes (e.g. light-flavour particle spectra and flow at low p<sub>T</sub>) Probe system as a whole Test hydrodynamic description to extract global properties of the medium and of its evolution (e.g. temperature, density, homogeneity, viscosity, expansion velocity)

### "Hard" probes

 (e.g. high p<sub>T</sub> particles, heavy flavours, quarkonia, jets) Access microscopic processes in the medium Resolve medium constituents (quarks and gluons)
 Study spectra (e.g. transport coefficients, mean free path) quantities

## The experiments

# **The Experiments**

- ALICE
  - Experiment designed for Heavy Ion collision
    - only dedicated experiment at LHC, must be comprehensive and able to cover all relevant observables
    - VERY robust tracking for p<sub>T</sub> from 0.1 GeV/c to 100 GeV/c
      - high-granularity 3D detectors with many space points per track (560 million pixels in the TPC alone, giving 180 space points/track)
      - very low material budget (<  $10\%X_0$  in r < 2.5 m)
    - PID over a very large p<sub>T</sub> range
      - use of essentially all known technologies: TOF, dE/dx, RICH, TRD, topology, EM calor.
    - Hadrons, leptons and photons + Excellent vertexing
- ATLAS and CMS
  - General-purpose detectors, optimized for hard processes
    - Excellent Calorimetry = > Jets
    - Excellent dilepton measurements, especially at high pT
    - Very large acceptance tracking

#### The geometry of a Heavy Ion Collision



Number of participants (N<sub>part</sub>):

number of incoming nucleons (participants) in the overlap region Number of binary collisions  $(N_{bin})$ :

number of equivalent inelastic nucleon-nucleon collisions

More central collisions produce more particles

$$N_{bin} \ge N_{part}$$

### The geometry of a Heavy Ion Collision



ZERO Degree Calorimeters

 With the full VZERO detector the resolution ranges from 0.5% in central collisions to 2% for peripheral

centrality [%]

VZERO amplitude (a.u.)

## **Kinematic Variables**

**Rapidity:**  $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{E + P_Z}{E - P_Z})$ 

**Pseudo-rapidity:**  $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{P + P_Z}{P - P_Z}) = -\ln(\tan\frac{\theta}{2})$ 

**Transverse Momentum:**  $p_T = \sqrt{p_X^2 + p_Y^2}$ 

Transverse Mass: 
$$m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_0^2}$$



## Heavy lons at the LHC

#### • Run1:

year	system	energy √s <sub>NN</sub> TeV	integrated luminosity
2010	Pb – Pb	2.76	~ 0.01 nb <sup>-1</sup>
2011	Pb – Pb	2.76	~ 0.1 nb <sup>-1</sup>
2013	p – Pb	5.02	~ 30 nb <sup>-1</sup>

• Run2: (2015, 2016, 2017) Pb-Pb 1nb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  5.1 TeV p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$  8.2 TeV

+ pp "reference" runs in 2010 and 2013 (2.76 TeV), 2015 (5.02 TeV).

LS2: Experiments and LHC upgrades

 Run3 + Run4 (2020, 21, 22): Pb-Pb 10nb<sup>-1</sup> at √ s<sub>NN</sub> 5.5 TeV with major detectors improvements plus pPb.

Three phases, each jumping one order of magnitude in statistics and progressively improving detectors

LS1 New installations:

- -5 TRD modules
- -8 Dcal modules
- -Add 1 PHOS module
- -Replacement of the whole DAQ/HLT,
- -New redout for the TPC

And a major of consolidation effort all over



## **Alice detector**

 $dN_{ch}/d\eta = 4000$ 

Excellent track and vertex reconstruction capabilities (TPC, ITS) Particle identification over a wide momentum range



## **ALICE performance: PID**



## p-A and AA collisions



Effects not due to QGP formation can modify the yield of hard probes in nuclear collisions (cold nuclear matter CNM):

**-nuclear modification of the PDFs(**shadowing at low Bjorken-*x* is the dominant effect at LHC energies)

 $-k_t$  broadening (due to multiple elastic collisions of the parton before the hard scattering)

-energy loss in CNM

-other FS effects?



Hot nuclear matter: can be studied by

- Global observables and collective behaviour
  - charged particle multiplicity
  - Flow
- Hight  $p_{\tau}$ 
  - Charged particles
  - Jets
- Open heavy flavors
- Quarkonia
  - Charmonia
  - Bottomonia

### Charged particle multiplicity at midrapidity

ALICE Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 222302



 $< dN_{ch}/d\eta > vs \sqrt{S_{NN}}$  (0-5% most central collisions)

- Behaviour observed at lower energies confirmed
- Pb-Pb different from pp and pA
- 20% increase for Pb-Pb from 2.76 to 5.02 TeV



#### $< dN_{ch}/N_{part} >$ **vs** $N_{part}$

- Shape very similar to the one observed at lower energy AA collisions.
- Increase with a factor 1.8 from peripheral to central AA collisions.

## **Anisotropic Flow**

- Azimuthal anysotropy of emitted particles pressure gradient initial space anisotropy of the overlap region
- Quantified by Fourier decomposition of particle azimuthal distribution relative to the reaction plane

$$E\frac{\mathrm{d}^3 N}{\mathrm{d}^3 p} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{p_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{d} p_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{d} y} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \mathrm{cos}(n\phi)\right]$$

• Sensitive to hydrodinamic properties of the expanding medium

Elliptic, v<sub>2</sub>

Triangular v<sub>3</sub>



Main message from lower energies studies (RHIC, LHC RUNI)

- ✓ Values of flow coefficients are large
- ✓ In the transition from RHIC to LHC energies elliptic flow v<sub>2</sub> increases by 30% as predicted by hydrodinamic model that include viscous corrections.

Indication for a strongly interacting, low viscosity «perfect» fluid.



## **Anisotropic Flow**

#### Results at 5.02 TeV: centrality and energy dependence of flow coefficients



Centrality dependence: results at 5.02 TeV and 2.76

- ✓ Increase (by 3%, 4.3%, 10.2%) for v₂, v₃, v₄ in 0-50% centrality from 2.76 to 5.02 TeV.
- ✓ Ratio (5.02 and 2.76 TeV) sensitive to shear viscosity-to-entropy ratio (η/S), (constraint to teorethical calculations) → data indicate no or small changes in η/S with energy.

#### Energy dependence of v<sub>2</sub> (20%-30% centrality)





18

## High-*p*<sub>T</sub> hadrons and Jets

nucleon collsions



#### Parton energy loss:

a parton passing through the QCD medium undergoes energy loss which results in the suppression of high- $p_{\rm T}$  hadron yields, via

- Collisional energy loss with partons in the medium
- Radiation of gluons (gluonstralhung)

Experimental observable: Nuclear Modification Factor R<sub>AA</sub>

$$R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{dN_{AA} / dp_T}{dN_{pp} / dp_T} \quad \text{QCD medium}$$

20

## **R**<sub>AA</sub> of high *p*<sub>T</sub> charged particles



Nuclear modification factor  $R_{AA}$  for nonidentified charged particles measured at mid rapidity in different centrality bins

Strong suppression of charged particle production confirmed  $R_{AA}$  at 5.02 TeV similar to 2.76 TeV

Minimum at  $p_{\rm T} \approx 6-7 \, {\rm GeV/c}$ 

Rise in the  $p_{\rm T}$  region between 6 and 50 GeV/c

**Clear evolution with centrality** 

More central collisions: longer path length, denser medium lead to more suppression

## $R_{AA}$ of high $p_T$ charged particles

### **R<sub>AA</sub>: comparison to models**



Data reproduced by models including:

#### **Radiative energy loss**

Vitev et al., Phys Rev. D 93 074030 (2016)

Majumder et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 202301 (2016)

#### **Radiative and collisional energy loss**

Djordjevich at al., arXiv:1601.07852

Rise of  $R_{AA}$  constrains energy loss mechanism (relative loss decreases with  $p_T$ )



### **R**<sub>AA</sub> in p Pb for charged hadrons

 R<sub>pA</sub> expected to be sensitive to initial state, but not to final state effects.

ALICE PRL 110(2013) 082302



 $R_{pA} = \frac{dN_{pA} / dp_T}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle dN_{m} / dp_T}$ 

- $R_{pPb}$  consistent with unity for  $p_T$ >2GeV/c.
- Small Cronin-like enhancement visible at low p<sub>T</sub>.
- Consistent with R<sub>AA</sub> of particles which are not sensitive to QGP dynamics (γ, W<sup>+-</sup>, Z<sup>0</sup>).

The strong suppression of hadron production at high p<sub>T</sub> in nucleus-nucleus collisions is not due to an initial-state effects. -Evidence of parton energy loss







Jets: spray of particles from hard parton fragmentation  $\rightarrow$  closer access to parton energy

Out of cone radiation  $\rightarrow$  influence on Jet R<sub>A</sub>

In-cone radiation → may lead to Jet shape modifications, *«jet broadening»* 

Charged-particle jet R<sub>AA</sub>: Strong suppression observed at 5.02 TeV

Clear evolution with centrality



### **Open heavy flavors**

- Large mass (m<sub>c</sub>≈1.5 GeV, m<sub>b</sub>≈5 GeV) → produced in hard processes at the initial stage of the collision with short formation time, much smaller than QGP lifetime
- Flavor conserved by strong interaction + production of HF in QGP is subdominant → interaction with QGP do not change flavor identity

HF are hardly distroyed/created by the medium and are transported through the full system evolution

Tool for understanding the general properties of parton energy loss in a deconfined medium since this is expected to depend (also) on the Casimir factor  $C_R$  and on the quark mass (dead cone effect)



## **Open heavy flavors**

First R<sub>AA</sub> results at 5.02 TeV: muons from HF decays at forward rapidity



### Quarkonia suppression and re-generation

- Matsui & Satz: charmonia are dissociated in QGP because of color screening → J/ψ suppression
- The screening radius λ<sub>D</sub>(T) (i.e. the maximum distance which allows the formation of a bound QQ pair) decreases with the temperature T → Difference between binding energies of quarkonia states

→ sequential melting, QGP Thermometer (Digal,Petrecki,Satz PRD 64(2001) 0940150)

Increasing the energy of the collision, the  $c\bar{c}$  pair multiplicity increases and may led to charmonium production via recombination

Charmonium production may be enhanced via (re)combination of cc pairs at hadronization (statistical approach) or during QGP stage (kinetic recombination approach)









## $J/\psi$ : $R_{AA}$ vs centrality





#### Comparison to 2.76 TeV and to models



Extended  $p_{\rm T}$  range at 5.02 TeV, up to 12 GeV/c

In the common  $p_T$  interval, similar behaviour for the two energies.

We observe less suppression at low  $p_{T}$ , as expected in case of a strong regeneration component

The observed behavior is reasonably well reproduced by transport models (Du and Rapp)

### Particle ratio and R<sub>PbPb</sub> for identified light flavor hadron



### R<sub>pPb</sub> for identified light flavor hadrons



#### At intermediate $p_{T}$ Cronin region: Hint of mass ordering

- No enhancment for pions and kaons
- Pronounced peak for protons
- Even stronger for heavier mass.

Mass ordering can be connected to collective behaviour (flow-like effects) in p-Pb collisions?

ALI-PREL-107381



#### Particles specie dependences point to relevance of final-state effects

### Long-range angular correlation of $\pi$ , K and p and v<sub>2</sub> for identified hadrons in p-Pb

Correlation between trigger h and identified hadron. Jet correlation subtracted.



Double-ridge structure with a near side ridge at  $\Delta \phi=0$  and away-side at  $\Delta \phi=\pi$  observed for h,  $\pi$ , k and p Resembling what seen in Pb-Pb

Fourier decomposition: v<sub>2</sub> vs p<sub>T</sub>



 $V_2 \stackrel{\pi}{\sim} V_2 \stackrel{\kappa}{\sim} V_2 \stackrel{p}{\sim} V_2 \stackrel{\pi}{\sim} at p_T < 2GeV$  $V_2 \stackrel{p}{\sim} V_2 \stackrel{\pi}{\sim} at p_T > 2GeV$ 

Large v2 values! Mass ordering similar to Pb-Pb, where data are reproduced by hydrodynamical models.

# Summary

#### Hot QCD

- Strong jet quenching at high *p*<sub>T</sub>→ energy loss of partons in QGP: wealth of data from leading particles and reconstructed jet.
- Recombination mechanism at low  $p_{\rm T}$
- v<sub>2</sub> mass ordering for light and strange hadrons up to p<sub>T</sub> < 2.5 GeV/c

Pb-Pb a very hot system pointing to a QGP strongly interacting liquid....

#### "Cold" QCD -

- No indications of quenching at high p<sub>T</sub> (charged hadrons, jets, open charm, heavy flavor electrons and muons). ...
- Pb-Pb-like features at low p<sub>T</sub> (baryon/meson enhancement, double ridge, v<sub>2</sub>, ...) several indications supporting final state effcts

p-Pb seems hotter than we thought .....



Enhanced production of multi-strange hadrons in high-multiplicity proton-proton collisions

ALICE Collaboration

p-p  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ p-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ Pb-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{TeV}$ 

Pb-Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{TeV}$ Ratio of the yields of strange and multistrange to the pion Compared to p-Pb and Pb –Pb results

Significant enhancement of strange to non-strange hadron production vs multiplicity in p-p.

The behaviour in pp resembles that of p-Pb

At high multiplicity the yield ratios reach values similar to the ones observed in Pb-Pb



Figure 2 |  $p_T$ -integrated yield ratios to pions ( $\pi^+ + \pi^-$ ) as a function of ( $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ ) measured in |y| < 0.5. The error bars show the statistical



LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 24 APRIL 2017 | DOI: 10.1038/NPHYS4111

OPEN

Enhanced production of multi-strange hadrons in high-multiplicity proton-proton collisions

ALICE Collaboration<sup>†</sup>

Particle yield ratios to pions normalized to the values measured in the inclusive INEL>0 pp sample: Show the evolution of the production of strange hadrons with multiplicity.

The observed multiplicity dependence enhancement follows a hierarchy determined by the hadrons strangeness rather that by mass or baryonic number of the hadron.

Such behaviour can not be reproduced by any of MC models.



Figure 4 | Particle yield ratios to pions normalized to the values measured in the inclusive INEL > 0 pp sample. The results are shown for pp and

## **Run3: Detailed characterization of QGP**

**Progress on the characterization of QGP properties:** 

- precision measurements of rare probes
- over a large kinematic range: from high to very low p<sub>T</sub>
- as function of multi-differential observables: centrality, reaction plane,...

Example: Precision measurements of spectra, correlations and flow of heavy flavors hadrons and quarkonia at low  $p_{T}$ .

Upgrade strategy focus on physics observables where ALICE detector unique features are essential: PID, low material thickness, precise vertexing and tracking down to low  $p_T$ .

### The LS2 ALICE Upgrades

#### New Inner Tracking System (ITS)

- improved pointing precision
- less material -> thinnest tracker at the LHC

#### Muon Forward Tracker (MFT)

- new Si tracker
- Improved MUON pointing precision

MUON ARM • continuous readout

electronics

#### **Time Projection Chamber (TPC)**

- new GEM technology for readout chambers
- continuous readout
- faster readout electronics

New Central Trigger Processor

Data Acquisition (DAQ)/ High Level Trigger (HLT)

- new architecture
- on line tracking & data compression
- 50kHz PbPb event rate MB trigger

• Faster readout

New Trigger Detectors (FIT)

(c) by St. Rossegger

#### Major upgrade program for run 3



### **ALICE new ITS**



•7- layer barrel geometry of MAPS (Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors)

- Inner Barrel  $\rightarrow$  3 layers , z=29 cm
- Outer Barrel  $\rightarrow$  4 layers, z=90, 150 cm New ITS

•25 G pixels, ~10m<sup>2</sup> •Pseudorapidity coverage:  $|\eta| \le 1.2$ •r coverage: 2.2 – 43 cm



r<sub>in</sub> = 3.9 cm (beam pipe wall) r<sub>out</sub> = 43 cm (track matching with TPC)

### **ITS Upgrade Features**

- 1. Improve impact parameter resolution by a factor 3
- Get closer to IP (position of first layer): 39 mm→23 mm
- Reduce x/X0 /layer :~1.14%  $\rightarrow$  ~ 0.3% (for inner layers) ~ 0.8% (for outer layers)
- Reduce pixel size:  $50\mu m \times 425\mu m \rightarrow O(30\mu m \times 30\mu m)$
- 2. Improve tracking efficiency and  $p_{\rm T}$  resolution at low  $p_{\rm T}$  .
- Increase granularity:
  - 6 layers→7 layers silicon drift and strips→ pixels
- 3. Fast readout.
- Readout Pb-Pb interactions at >100 kHz and pp interactions at ~several 10<sup>5</sup>Hz.
- (currently limited at 1kHz with full ITS)
  - 4. Fast insertion/removal for yearly maintenance
  - Possibility to replace non functioning detector modules during yearly shutdown.

### **ALICE new ITS Layout**



#### **ALICE new ITS: outer layers**





#### Module = Hybrid Integrated Circuit (HIC) Flexible Printed Circuit + 14 pixel chips





### **Pixel chip technology**

#### Monolithic Active Pixel Sensors using TowerJazz 0.18µm CMOS Imaging Process



- High resistivity (>  $1k\Omega xcm$ ) p-type epitaxial layer (20-4) substrate.
- Small n-well diode (2-3 µm diameter) ~much smaller th
- Application of moderate reverse bias voltage to substr depletion zone around NWELL collection diode.

Featu Tecl Gate ( News Business Technology Hebrew Metal

> TowerJazz will develop CERN's Image Sensor Published 22 November 2013

The global specialty foundry TowerJazz, said it was chosen to provide the sensor for the upgrade of the Inner Tracking System (ITS) of the ALICE experiment at the European Organisation for Nuclear Research (CERN) in Geneva, Switzerland.



ALICE detector on the Large Hadron Collider ring in CERN

Deep pWELL shields NWELL of PMOS transistor, allowing for full CMOS circuitry within active area.

### Pixel Chip Features, Architecture and Characterization



- PS: 5-7 Gev π<sup>-</sup>
- SPS: 120 Gev π<sup>-</sup>
- PAL (Korea): 60 MeV e<sup>-</sup>
- BTF (Frascati): 450 MeV e<sup>-</sup>
- DESY: 5.8 Gev e\*



28μm x 28μm <2μs 39mW/cm<sup>2</sup> 1.1 mm x 30mm



### **Efficiency and Resolution**



3 chips not irradiated and 3 chips irradiated neutrons  $10^{13}$  1MeV  $n_{eq}/cm^2$ 

 $\lambda$  fake <<10<sup>-5</sup> event/pixel and  $\varepsilon_{det}$ >99.5 %

Resolution ≤ 5 µm

### **HIC** assembly and interconnections



#### **Chip-to-FPC mechanical connection**

Gluing with non conductive, two components, epoxy adhesive Flip-chip bonding

Wire-bonding through the vias in the FPC (~1000 connection/HIC)



### HIC Assembly @ Bari





The machine will perform:
•placement of chips with ± 5 μm accuracy wrt external markers
•Automatic Optical Inspection: chips dimensions, chip edge integrity, chip interconnection pads cleanliness, chip positions in HIC



Meeting Referee INFN: ITS Upgrade e Calcolo – Roma, 26 Maggio 2016

### **HIC** characterization



HIC test set-u	n	VME mini crate	HIC OB 16	# good pixels	# dead pixels	Mean Threshold	< Noise >
ine test set u	P		CHIP 0	524275	13	125.43	4.73
· · ·	MOSAIC to OB-HIC		CHIP 1	522241	2047	155.93	5.54
	adaptor		CHIP 2	524284	4	129.83	5.07
$\langle \rangle$			CHIP 3	523263	1025	130.98	4.89
$\sim$	SAMTEC high-speed		CHIP 4	524286	2	158.26	5.45
Contact to cross-	SAMTEC cable FireFly cable		CHIP 5	523508	780	134.44	5.10
cable test pads based on spring			CHIP 6	524116	172	164.22	5.88
probes			CHIP 8	523262	1026	158.69	5.72
	5.		CHIP 9	524283	5	145.17	5.62
?	Etr	witch to	CHIP 10	523259	1029	158.75	5.88
Filter		ther	CHIP 11	523263	1025	131.46	5.31
	Power Supply	ast-E	CHIP 12	523711	577	135.11	5.14
	ITS databa		CHIP 13	524282	6	127.07	4.76
		Linux OS Root NewALPIE	CHIP 14	524285	3	132.77	4.93

### LNF infrastructure for Stave Assembly

- Mitutoyo Crysta Apex S 9206
- Final jig installed and ready
- Grey area for: HIC test Stave operations (PB soldering and tests)





### Half Stave assembly steps (1)



1) cold plate alignment



2) glue deposition





3) HIC module alignment (10 µm precision)

### Half Stave assembly steps (2)



#### 5) modules x7 aligned and glued



#### 7) PB alignment



#### 6) HIC to HIC connections



### Half Stave assembly steps (3)



9) Handling bar placed on the HS



11) HS aligned and placed under SF



10) HS rotated and placed on the alignment station



12) HS glued to SF

#### Half Stave 0

For each HIC the position of reference marker on the 4 corners was measured after each HS assembly We report the deviations from the nominal position along Y coordinate.

Precise positioning along this coordinate depends on the precision of the TAB cut: TAB were all cut at 80-100µm from the sensor







Max Deviation [mm] = 0.011

TILLANE

# X

### **Performance of the new ITS**

#### Impact parameter resolution

#### Tracking efficiency (ITS standalone)





 $\sim$ 40  $\mu$ m at p<sub>T</sub> = 500 MeV/c

### **Physics Performance of the new ITS**

#### Esempio: D<sup>0</sup>→K<sup>-</sup>p<sup>+</sup>

#### Altri canali notevoli

ਵ੍ਰੇ <sup>10</sup>	<sup>8</sup> coordinate		Curren	nt, $0.1  \rm nb^{-1}$	Upgrad	$e, 10  nb^{-1}$
vertex (		Observable	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{min}}$ (GeV/c)	statistical uncertainty	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{min}}$ (GeV/c)	statistical uncertainty
μ, Υ			Heavy Flav	our		
\$ 10		D meson R <sub>AA</sub>	1	10%	0	0.3 %
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$D_s \text{ meson } R_{AA}$	4	15 %	< 2	3%
iti	E ]	D meson from B $R_{AA}$	3	30 %	2	1 %
88		$J/\psi$ from B $R_{AA}$	1.5	15% (p <sub>T</sub> -int.)	1	5%
ZLE		B <sup>+</sup> yield	not a	accessible	3	10 %
		$\Lambda_c R_{AA}$	not a	ccessible	2	15%
1(		$\Lambda_c/D^0$ ratio	not a	accessible	2	15%
	10 <sup>-1</sup> 1 10	$\Lambda_{\rm b}$ yield	not a	accessible	7	20%
	p <sub>T</sub> D (devic)	D meson $v_2 (v_2 = 0.2)$	1	10%	0	0.2%
Ê 10	د	$D_{s} meson v_2 (v_2 = 0.2)$	not a	accessible	< 2	8%
ŝ	E x coordinate + Current ITS	D from B $v_2$ ( $v_2 = 0.05$ )	not a	accessible	2	8%
at a	Upgraded ITS (hybrid MC)	$J/\psi$ from B $v_2$ ( $v_2 = 0.05$ )	not a	ccessible	1	60 %
, second	- Upgraded ITS (full MC) -	$\Lambda_{\rm c}  v_2  (v_2 = 0.15)$	not a	accessible	3	20%
ž			Dielectro	ns		
8 10	°⊨ → <sub>+</sub> → ⊣	Temperature (intermediate mass)	not a	ccessible		10 %
ou		Elliptic flow $(v_2 = 0.1)$	not a	accessible		10 %
solut		Low-mass spectral function	not a	accessible	0.3	20%
X res			Hypernuc	lei		
		$^{3}_{\Lambda}\mathrm{H}$ yield	2	18%	2	1.7%
1	10 <sup>-1</sup> 1 10					
	p <sub>T</sub> D <sup>0</sup> (GeV/c)				·	

### **Outer Barrel ITS**

Sezione/Laboratorio	Principali Responsabilità		
Bari	<ul> <li>Modulo OB: Procedura Assemblaggio, Modulo 0, Produzione</li> <li>Sistema di Test per Moduli e Stave</li> <li>Power Supply System</li> </ul>		
Cagliari	<ul> <li>Architettura del chip (Priority encoder &amp; R/O Interface)</li> <li>Sistema di test per Pixel Chip</li> </ul>		
Catania	• Test Elettrici degli FPC per l'OB (in collaborazione con Trieste)		
LNF+Roma	<ul> <li>Produzione Stave OB</li> <li>LNF Beam Test Facility</li> </ul>		
Padova	• Integrazione OB: End-wheels, Conical Structural Shell, Integrazione Half- Layer e Half-barrel		
Torino+Alessandria	<ul> <li>PLL &amp; DTU del Pixel Chip</li> <li>Progettazione del FPC</li> <li>Stave OB: Procedura di Assemblaggio, Stave 0, Produzione</li> </ul>		
Trieste	Produzione e test degli FPC per gli OB		

ALICE sta producendo risultati interessanti ed "intriganti" che stanno emergendo dalle analisi sui dati dei Run I e II (attualmente in corso).

Sono in costruzione una serie di Upgrades del rivelatore, tra cui il nuovo ITS che vede fortemente coinvolti i gruppi italiani, che entraranno in operazione per il RUNIII.

Abbiamo bisogno di tutto il supporto possibile da parte dei gruppi teorici per l'interpretazione/modellizzazione dei nuovi risultati e per quelli che dovranno arrivare....

Anche la costruzione dei gli upgrades richiede un notevole impegno... Chiunque interessato in questa 'impresa' e' ovviamente il benvenuto!

## **High Energy Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions**



#### **Physics:**

- 1) Parton distributions in nuclei
- 2) Initial conditions of the collision
- 3) a new state of matter Quark-Gluon Plasma and its properties
- 4) hadronization

## p-Pb collision geometry



the direction fo the proton is always at positive  $y = y_{cms}$  and positive  $\eta_{cms}$ 

5

## **Centrality — Introduction**

 In contrast to Pb-Pb collisions, it is not straightforward to relate experimental quantities to the collision geometry, i.e. the number of participants N<sub>part</sub> and binary collisions N<sub>coll</sub>.

 $\rightarrow$  in p-Pb collisions:  $N_{coll} = N_{part} - 1$ 

- Large biases present in the system:
  - Multiplicity fluctuations
  - Jet-veto bias
  - Geometric bias
- Most simple approach: only multiplicity classes instead of centrality, but more can be done...

