

Demonstration of LGADs and Cherenkov detectors for prompt gamma timing range verification of proton therapy

Ryan Heller¹, Justin Ellin², Michael Backfish², Joshua W. Cates¹, Woon-Seng Choong¹, Nicolaus Kratochwil², Eric Prebys², Leonor Rebolo², Sara St. James³, Gerard Ariño-Estrada^{2,4}

May 22nd, 2024, PSMR/FTMI 2024, Isola d'Elba

- 1Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
	- 2University of California, Davis
- 3University of Utah Huntsman Cancer Institute
- 4Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, Barcelona

Proton therapy range verification

- Protons potentially offer better targeting of tumor than x-ray therapy, thanks to Bragg peak.
- But, requires aim in 3D, not just 2D
-

Depth in the Body

Figure 2. Comparing the relative percent depth dose of x-rays and protons.

• In practice, treatment planned with very conservative margins, negating a lot of the potential benefit.

2

Range verification techniques

- Many viable approaches using secondary particle emission
- PET, in-beam / post-beam
	- Mature systems, but require extra acquisition time & susceptible to biological washout.
- Prompt gamma rays—immediate emission and closer correspondence to dose.
- Direct imaging (PGI):
	- Compton camera: susceptible to combinatorics at high rate & BG
	- Collimation: sacrifice sensitivity
- Prompt gamma timing (PGT)
	- Time of flight proportional to depth
	- No collimator, and only single detection per PG

<u>MUltanned, Lopana, i agantili, i riyo. Mitu. Divi. Zv i i</u> [Moteabbed, España, Paganetti, Phys. Med. Biol. 2011](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21263174/)

Prompt gamma timir • Key challenges - Pushing limits e test - High signal interval intervals in the θ intervals ρ intervals rate Backgroy $-$ Radiation $L_{\rm s}$ b start detector Our approach: $\sqrt{2-6 \text{ MeV}}$ Accepted Manuscript (Scatterer Manuscript 1972)

Accepted Manuscript 1972

Accepted Manuscript 1987

Accepted Manuscript 1987

Ticls FIGURE 4 5 1 12 \mathcal{L}^{max} can be contained to be contained in contains the possible incidence directions (and \mathcal{L}^{max} generation of the initial photon (*γ*). It is included the scatterer photon (*γ*). It is interacted the scatterer plane and th \mathbb{F} , \mathbb{F} , \mathbb{F} in a two-plane \mathbb{F} in a two-plane Compton in a Low Gain Avalanche Detector (LGAD) Pure Cherenkov emitters Start **Start Stop**

camera. The cone surface contains the contains the possible incidence directions (any of any of

15

 \overline{a}

 $\overline{1}$

 p (the proton p (the projective) slows down as it percognizes the target and interaction $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

entrance of the proton to the target (the start !ag) and the arrival of the

energy *L*a in the absorber. The line connecting both interaction points (in

generatrix) of the initial photon (*γ*). It interacts with the scatterer plane and

 $\overline{}$

.
|
|

 $\overline{1}$

 $\overline{3}$

 $\overline{1}$

Low Gain Avalanche Diodes (LGAD)

- Silicon ionization sensors optimized for timing: Low Gain Avalanche Detectors (LGADs)
- Thin depletion region (50 micron): fast & uniform signals
- Modest internal gain (x10-40): boost signal-to-noise. Analogous to APD, not SiPM
- Note for charged particles, thinness does not harm sensitivity
- Time resolution σ = 30 ps for MIPs, likely 20-25 ps for 50-200 MeV protons • Time resolution σ = 30 ps for MIPs, likely 20-25 ps for 50-200 MeV proton

2616 (OF OD) also proposed for FOF by <u>Fermazio, i erreferen</u> for charge multipliers in the charge multipliers of LG $ESTD$ (3.55) also proposed for $TSTD$ <u>Formatio, Forford at an</u> LGAD (UFSD) also proposed for PGT by [Pennazio, Ferrero et al](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6560/ac5765)

LGAD radiation hardness

- Originally developed for hadron colliders radiation and hit rate tolerance are critical.
- Study radiation hardness w/ nuclear reactor (up to 1.5×10^{15} neutrons / cm²)

• Gain layer de-activates at very large fluence, but compensate by increasing bias voltage

LGAD radiation hardness

- Originally developed for hadron colliders radiation and hit rate tolerance are critical.
- Study radiation hardness w/ nuclear reactor (up to 1.5×10^{15} neutrons / cm²)

7

-
- Replace LGAD every 1-2 years in clinical system.

Cherenkov detectors 2.3 MeV 4.4 MeV 6.1 M

- Pure Cherenkov emission: instantaneous light. Ideal for timing and pileup tolerance
- Several good options: PbF₂, TIBr, TICI $\frac{1}{1}$ \mathbf{R}
	- TIBr: can operate as semiconductor detector energy detector
	- TICI: higher Cherenkov yield. Can be doped for scintillation CI: higher Cherenkov yield. Can be $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ and
	- PbF₂: slightly higher stopping power dimeters of \overline{a}
- Light yield heavily dependent on energy \overline{a} \overline{a}
	- -511 keV \rightarrow detect few photons
	- $-$ 2-6 MeV \rightarrow detect tens or hundreds $\overline{16}$ $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$
	- Set threshold to reject BG
- 3 prototypes studied: TIBr (12 mm)³, TlBr 3x3x20 mm3, TlCl (5 mm)3 19 \overline{P} (e-mail: garino@ucdavis.edu). S.S.J. is with the Huntsman Cancer Center in

<u>bietial, 2020 ILLL TIM MO</u>
Estrada et al 2019 Phys. Med. Biol. 64 175001 - ⁸ Ellin et al 2024 Phys. Med. Biol. 69 115002 Fig. 7. Detected Cherenkov photons (*µ*) in 1 cm and 4 cm thick TlBr (top), for all simulated energies. *µ* and are the gaussian fit parameters. the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, Utah, Utah, Utah, Utah, Utah, Utah, Utah, Department of Radiology at UC Davis. P. C., A. L. S. and J. S. and J. V. and J. V. and J. S. and J. V. and J. V P_{P} <u>de 2024 Priys. Med. Biol. 69 Tibou2</u>
Latel 2000 IFFF TDDMC <u>Lotidaa of al 2010 Filyo: Mod: Diol. Of Trooo P</u> Ellin et al 2024 Phys. Med. Biol. 69 115002 Rebolo et al, 2023 IEEE TRPMS Ariño-Estrada et al 2019 Phys. Med. Biol. 64 175001

\blacksquare 2.3 MeV **2.2 CTOTS** 2.3 MeV 4.4 MeV 6.1 MeV

Beam demonstration at UC Davis Crocker Cyclotron

- 67.5 MeV proton beamline used to treat ocular cancers. Operate at 225 pA
- stage
- aim to detect range shift based on ToF.

Capture waveforms with CAEN DT5742 (DRS4)

Trigger on stop detectors with DRS4 USB module

- LGAD could time single protons with $\sigma \sim 30$ ps
- This case—can't associate gamma with parent
- Instead, trigger on gamma, search for bunch timestamp. Multiple chances per event:
	- 4x bunches per window (modulo 44.4 ns)
	- 5x LGAD pixels

Time of flight analysis • Approach for time of flight depends on beam structure: **Each bunch: O(50) protons O(500 ps) width** 1 LGAD pixel, 10 events in different colors 3000 2000 1000 ∩ ⊣ υ 200 400 1000 600 800 υ DRS4 sample [~200 ps]

• ToF resolution dominated by bunch width.

44.4 ns spacing

Time-averaged current: 225 pA

Example event

- **Target position = 0 mm** LGAD signal resolves in 2 ns: ideal for high rate timing
	- Cherenkov light production instantaneous, in this case long tail due to electronics—can also resolve much faster.
	- Timestamp both LGAD and

Time of flight distributions

- Two populations in time of flight:
- "Control": prompt gammas from upstream collimator
- "Target": from PMMA phantom
- For range shift analysis, want robust marker for typical time. Fit each population with Gaussian, track position of mean - sigma

12

Statistical uncertainty, from bootstrap

• Generate random histograms using poisson fluctuations around fit, re-perform fit to extract time

-
- Take RMS of extracted times as statistical uncertainty

16.3 16.4 16.5 16.6 16.7 16.8 16.9 Gauss mean − sigma [ns] 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 Trials Original data: $\mu - \sigma = 16.706 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.018$ ns Bootstrap: $\mu - \sigma = 16.707$, RMS: 0.012 ns RMS: 12 ps Histogram of mean - sigma variations

- Statistical uncertainty 10-12 ps for best performing detector (12 mm)³ TIBr, 25 ps for others.
- For 67 MeV protons, 1 mm \sim 9.3 ps. Roughly σ = 1.3 mm statistical uncertainty on range shift.

Range shift analysis

- Took data at 9 different target positions spanning 12 mm total
- Plot time shift vs position for both populations
- Accuracy check: slope should correspond to proton velocity at 67.5 MeV— **9.3 ps/mm**
- Control population: useful check for timing drifts & systematic effects!

Stop detector 3, 12×12×12 mm³ TIBr

Range shift analysis

15

Stop detector 1, 5×5×5 mm³ TICI Shift in time of flight [ps] of flight [ps] Control population: $dt/dz = -1.7 \pm 2.0$ ps/mm; χ^2/NDF : 2.3/7 $|100|$ 100 Target population: dt/dz = -11.0 \pm 2.4 ps/mm; χ^2 /NDF: 6.8/7 Net slope: -5.5 ± 2.6 ps/mm $50⁺$ Net slope: -9.3 ± 3.1 ps/mm 50 Shift in time 0 0 −50 −50 −10 −100 −150 −150 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 Target position [mm]

- Observe systematic drift in Control population with all detectors, at roughly 2 ps / mm
- Eventually understood as LGAD-related drift. Correct Target population based on Control slope • Ultimately all velocities consistent with 9.3 ps/ms expectation—
- - $(12 \text{ mm})^3$ TIBr: 11.2 ± 1.1 ps/mm, $(5 \text{ mm})^3$ TICI: 9.3 ± 3.1 ps/mm, $3x3x20 \text{ mm}^3$ TIBr: 5.5 ± 2.6

(12 mm)3 3x3x20 mm TlBr ³ (5 mm) TlBr 3 TlCl

Accelerator RF phase as start indicator?

• Typically, RF signal from accelerator is readily accessible. Can we use it to time the bunch,

rather than a dedicated start detector?

22.5 MHz sine wave digitized every event. Fit phase with 10 ps precision

Accelerator RF phase as start indicator?

Time [ns]

rather than a dedicated start detector?

22.5 MHz sine wave digitized every event. Fit phase with 10 ps precision Amplitude [mV] 600 500 300 200 100 -100 -200

 $\bf{0}$

 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.2 0.22

• Typically, RF signal from accelerator is readily accessible. Can we use it to time the bunch,

• No! RF phase drifts by O(ns) on timescale of minutes. Start detector is needed! (At least, at this beamline.) 17

Concluding remarks

- Achieve 1.3 mm precision with sample size of 20-30k prompt gammas
- Typical pencil beam spot: 10⁸ protons, 10⁷ PG^{*}
- Implies clinical system needs efficiency only 0.2-0.3% — very reasonable.
- Background is barely visible: SNR ~ 40
- Thanks to Pure Cherenkov emission
- Thanks to narrow time window
- Both factors could benefit PGI system w/ modest timing information, too.

Summary

-
- Detectors tolerate high rate and are relatively insensitive to background
-
- Control population at constant time extremely useful experimental tool
- Detector concepts promising for scaling towards full clinical system.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health through grant R01 EB029533 (PI Ariño-Estrada).

• Successful demonstration of prompt gamma timing system with LGADs and Cherenkov detectors

• Achieve 12 ps / 1.3 mm uncertainty (RMS) on range shift & accurately reconstruct proton velocity

- LGAD risetime (10-90%) steadily increasing: 660 ps to 670 ps
- What is happening? Exaggerated radiation damage
- Total flux: 10¹³ protons (~1000 treatment fractions)
- Setup not optimized for radiation tolerance
	- Max bias voltage: 210 V
	- Uncontrolled temperature (≥ 30 C)
- Result: defects from rad damage increase leakage current, cause few volt droop in bias, reducing Efield and drift velocity in LGAD.
- This effect not relevant in clinical operation. Would operate at -20 C & scale voltage with damage.
- LGAD will perform for \sim 10⁵ fractions, $>$ 1 year.

LGAD risetime drift

LGAD risetime vs position, 5 channels