

Limits on photon fluxes from data of the Pierre Auger Observatory and implications on super-heavy dark matter

O. Deligny for the Pierre Auger Collaboration June 20, 2024

Inflationary cosmology



- CMB: persistent post-luminescence imaging the universe at the time of recombination (~ 375,000 yr)
- CvB: persistent
 "post-luminescence" imaging the universe when it became transparent to v (~ 1 s)
- DM: requested from several gravitational anomalies, persistent "post-luminescence" from the very early universe

DM searches – Looking under the lamppost



Superheavy dark matter?



- Superheavy particles?
 - Inflationary sector: $M_{\phi} \sim [1-3] \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$
 - Sterile neutrinos
 - New degrees of freedom $N_{\rm R}$
 - BSM scale at ~ 10¹³ GeV in "vanilla" seesaw
- Instability energy scale of SM: $\Lambda \sim 10^{[10-12]}$ GeV
- Hidden/Dark sector at high scale? (ie. superheavy particles interacting feebly with SM *not* through SM gauge interactions)
 - Gravitational SM/DS interactions
 - Additional portal? e.g. axion (pseudo-scalar), Higgs (scalar), sterile neutrino (spin 1/2), vector (spin 1), etc.

Signatures in decay byproducts



• For *n* pairs of $q\overline{q}$,

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma,\nu}(x)}{dx} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\epsilon_{\pi}}{3}$$
$$\times \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \frac{x}{z} \left(1 - \frac{x}{z}\right)^{n-3} \frac{D_{h}(z)}{z}$$

- *ϵ*_π: "efficiency" of the hadronization process into pions
- *D_h(z)*: fragmentation function of a parton into a hadron (DGLAP)
- Fragmentation in the EW sector as well (soft or collinear (real) radiative corrections enhanced by large logarithmic factors at high scale)
- Expected number of (prompt) secondaries from SHDM decay $(i = \gamma, v, \overline{v}, N, \overline{N})$:

$$n_i(E; M_X \tau_X) = \frac{1}{4\pi M_X \tau_X} \frac{dN_i}{dE} \int d\mathbf{n} \, \omega_i(E, \mathbf{n}) \int_0^\infty ds \, \rho_{\rm DM}(\mathbf{x}_\odot + s\mathbf{n})$$

Exposure to UHE gamma rays and neutrinos

• Sensitivity over $\simeq 3.5$ decades in *E*



Benchmark constraints



[Pierre Auger Collab., Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) L081101]

- Best constraints on τ_X from Auger sensitivity to UHE gamma rays for $M_X \gtrsim 10^9$ GeV
- Prompt flux only
- Secondaries from ICS negligible in general
- Secondaries from synchrotron only for M_X ≥ M_{GUT}

Superheavy and metastable particles?

• Decay rate for an effective interaction term containing a monomial of dimension *n* in mass unit:



• Fine tuning between
$$\alpha_{X\Theta}$$
 and *n*...

- Difficult to justify from a theoretical perspective
- [Pierre Auger Collab., Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 042002]

Metastability by symmetry protection

- SHDM particles protected from standard decay by perturbative effects through a new quantum number
- Still, non-perturbative effects can lead to decays through "instantons" in non-commutative gauge theories
- For *B*, *L* and *X* currents not associated to gauge interactions, possibility to exchange quantum numbers through an anomaly



- Lifetime of metastable X particles: $\tau_X \simeq M_X^{-1} \exp(4\pi/\alpha_X)$ [t'Hooft, PRL 37 (1976) 8]
- [Pierre Auger Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 061001]

Metastability through sterile-neutrino portal

Extended Seesaw framework:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\nu}_{\rm L} & \overline{N}_{\rm s}^{\rm c} & \overline{N}_{\rm R}^{\rm c} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta m & m_{\rm D} \\ \delta m & m_{\rm s} & 0 \\ m_{\rm D} & 0 & M_{\rm R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\rm R}^{\rm c} \\ N_{\rm s} \\ N_{\rm R} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Mass eigenstates controlled by mixing angle $\theta_m = \delta m / m_v \ll 1$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \nu_1 &\simeq & (N_m+N_m)+\theta_m(\nu_{\rm L}+\nu_{\rm L}), \\ \nu_2 &\simeq & (\nu_{\rm L}+\nu_{\rm L})-\theta_m(N_m+N_m), \\ \nu_3 &\simeq & N_M, \end{array}$$

Additional pseudo-scalar coupled to N [Dudas et al., PRD 101 115029 (2020)]:

$$\Gamma^X_{h\nu_1\nu_2} = \frac{\alpha^2_X \theta^2_m}{192\pi^3} \left(\frac{M_X}{M_P}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_2}{v}\right)^2 M_X.$$

Metastability through sterile-neutrino portal

- End-to-end calculation of expected number of UHE gamma rays/neutrinos
- [Pierre Auger Collab., Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) L081101]





Cosmological implications

- No coupling between SM and DM sectors except gravitational
- DM production by "freeze-in" mechanism through s-channel SM+SM→DM+DM [Garny et al. PRL 116 (2016) 101302] Or φ + φ →DM+DM [Mambrini & Olive Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 11, 115009] While inflaton decays into SM particles and reheats the universe after inflation:

$$\frac{dn_X(t)}{dt} + 3H(t)n_X(t) \simeq \sum_i \overline{n}_i^2 \Gamma_i \text{ (+ infl. radiative decay)}$$

- Reheating dynamics between $t = H_{inf}^{-1}$ and $t = \Gamma_{\phi}^{-1}$ at T_{rh} [Chung et al. Phys. Rev.929 D 60, 063504 (1999), Giudice et al., Phys. Rev. D 64, 023508 (2001)]:
 - $T(a) \simeq 0.2 (\epsilon M_{\rm Pl} H_{\rm inf})^{1/2} (a^{-3/2} a^{-4})^{1/4}$
 - $H(a) = H_{inf}(a/a_{inf})^{-3/2}, a \le a_{rh}$
 - $H(a) = H_{inf} \epsilon^2 (a/a_{rh})^{-2}, a > a_{rh}$
- Reheating efficiency $\epsilon \simeq 4T_{\rm rh}(M_{\rm Pl}H_{\rm inf})^{-1/2}$ defined between 0 and 1, characterizing the duration of the reheating period ($\epsilon \simeq 1 \implies$ instantaneous reheating)

Viable regions

• Delineating viable regions in the (H_{inf}, M_X) plane for various ϵ values to match the DM relic density



- GUT mass scale viable for $\epsilon \to 1$ ($T_{\rm rh}$ relatively high) \Longrightarrow tensor/scalar ratio *r* of the primordial modes possibly detectable in the CMB
- For $\epsilon \leq 0.01$, 10^{13} GeV mass scale viable, testable for $\alpha_X \lesssim 0.09$

Conclusions

- Best constraints on τ_X from Auger photon limits (in general) for $M_X \gtrsim 10^9$ GeV
- SHDM with $\tau_X > 10^{22-23}$ yr???
- Constraints on viable theoretical frameworks:



- · Hidden sector interacting with SM via gravitons only
- SHDM coupled to ultra-light sterile neutrinos
- SUSY broken at high scale with tiny RPV [in preparation]