

Studies of the response stability as a function of the time and gas humidity, density and pressure

> Rita Antonietti Coimbra, Jun 7 2023

# Outline

- Studies of the response stability with data taken at
  - LNF as function of :
    - Time;
    - Pressure;
  - LNGS;
    - Run1 as function of:
      - Pressure;
      - Gas flow;
    - Run3 as function:
      - Pressure;
      - Humidity

### LNF

- Data are reconstructed using DBSCAN
- The <sup>55</sup>Fe peak in the integral distribution is fitted with exp + exp + Cruijff function and the mean defines the iron peak





- The <sup>55</sup>Fe peak of each run are normalized to the first run
- The normalised  $^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$  peak is plotted in function of the pressure and a linear fit is performed
- The light yield decreases of about 0.6% per millibar



## LNGS - Run1

- The  $^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$  source is placed 25cm far from the GEMs
- HV = 420 V
- Expoure Time = 0.3 s
- Cut suggested by Emanuele are apllied:
  - sc\_integral > 1500
  - 0.15\*sc\_length< 50
  - $sc_rms > 6$
  - sc\_tgausssigma/sc\_lgausssigma > 0.6
  - sc\_tgausssigma/sc\_lgausssigma < 1.1</p>
  - R<900
- The integral distribution is fitted with the Cruijff function
- The data are taken with different gas flows:
  - 1 l/h
  - 3 l/h
  - 10 l/h
  - 20 l/h







- The LY decreases increasing the pressure
- The number of <sup>55</sup>Fe cluster is costant
- light yield decrease of about 0.7% per millibar



10 l/h cut clu

Std Dev x 47.05

Std Dev y 0.07679

132

5649

2.124 5

-2.5

2

1.5

0.5

run

Entries

Mean x

Mean y

There are three different region with gas flow 20 l/h

20 2 l/h cut

41

5138

7793

15.18

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

41

0.9051

0.9675

0.001927

0.06373

220 / 26

1.5

0.5

9.653 ± 5.711

 $-9.592 \pm 6.309$ 

513.4

121.8/35

 $-7.806 \pm 5.74$ 

4.79e+04 ± 2.949e+04

run cut

pres 20\_2 l/h norm\_cut

Entries

Mean x

Mean y

Std Dev x

Std Dev y

Lime pressure cut [Bar]

 $\chi^2$  / ndf

p0

p1

Entries

Mean x

Mean v

Std Dev x

Std Dev y

χ<sup>2</sup> / ndf

p0

p1







- The LY decreases increasing the pressure
- The number of <sup>55</sup>Fe cluster is costant
- light yield decrease of about 0.7%, 0.9% and 0.4% per millibar

### LNGS - Run3

- Runs taken in exam: [17400 20415]
- Period: [05 May 2023 25 May 2023 ]
- HV = 440 V
- Exposure time = 0.3 s

The daily calibration data with the  ${}^{55}$ Fe source are skiped (where the source is placed every time at different distance from the GEMs)

In some of the runs the  $^{55}\mathrm{Fe}$  source is placed 25 cm far from the GEMs and the peak is fitted

The **green region** is defined after the signal of the <sup>55</sup>Fe source in order to check a "safe" region

In the **green region** I defined:

- LY -> the average between 15k and 150 k
- Sc\_int\_post -> the number of cluster with intenity between 15k and 150k





Number of cluster and LY vs run number

The number of cluster and the LY is not costant

### 13

#### Number of cluster and LY vs humidity



Increasing the humidity -> the LY and the number of cluster decreases

The **red region** is defined where there is the signal of the  ${}^{55}$ Fe source

In the **red region** I defined:

- Sc\_int -> the number of cluster before the <sup>55</sup>Fe peak between 1k - 3k in order to check the background stability
- <sup>55</sup>Fe peak -> the mean of the Cruijff function fit



15



#### Number of cluster



The number of cluster increases increasing the humidity

#### Focus on region between 1k and 3k



-> The number of cluster betwenn 1k and 3k is increased

### Watching the position of the cluster...the number of cluster increased increasing the humidity

#### Run 17400



#### Run 19650



#### <sup>55</sup>Fe peak

The cut are applied to the integral distribution and the <sup>55</sup>Fe peak is fitted by the Cruijff function

10



#### Comparison between <sup>55</sup>Fe peak and LY

The <sup>55</sup>Fe peak seems to follow the behaviour of the LY It could be a variable to check



## Conclusions

- At LNF and Run1 the light yield decreases of about 0.6% per millibar;
- From the Run1 the minimum gas flow is 3 l/h;
- In Run3 the action of the humidity is studied and it increases the backgroud;
- In Run3 the LY increases, increasing the LY, differently than the Run1;