## Progress in understanding tidal disruption events ....

Key collaborators: Suvi Gezari, James Miller-Jones, Kate Alexander, Nicholas Stone, Robert Stein, Marek Kowalski, DJ Pasham, Andrew Mummery

#### Image credit: DESY

# ... using observations

#### Gravi-Gamma-Nu - Oct 4, 2023

Sjoert van Velzen, Leiden Observatory



### Some (fundamental) questions

Are (most) black holes spinning?

Is accretion physics scale invariant?

> Black hole genesis in the early universe



#### Black hole mass measurements are challenging



**BH** sphere of influence



#### **Effective radius** ~ kpc

den Bosch (2016) van

#### Black hole mass measurements are challenging



**BH** sphere of influence



#### **Effective radius** ~ kpc

den Bosch (2016) van

### Tidal disruption events (TDEs): A new tool to study black holes in *quiescent* galaxies

Artis impression Image credit: NASA, van Velzen et al. Simulation image: Guillochon et al.



#### **Fundamental Questions**

Are black holes spinning?

Is accretion physics scale invariant?

#### Black hole genesis in the early universe

# TDE rate at high black hole mass

#### Radio + X-ray monitoring of TDEs

**TDE rate in lowmass galaxies** 



### <u>Challenges</u>

Are black holes spinning?

Is accretion physics scale invariant?

#### Black hole genesis in the early universe

#### Large TDE samples

**TDE Emission mechanism** 

Emission mechanism + large samples



#### This talk: focus on thermal TDEs



7

van Velzen et al. (2016); ASASSN-14li (Holoien et al. 2016)

#### Part 1: Optical emission



8

van Velzen et al. (2016); ASASSN-14li (Holoien et al. 2016)

### Summary of optical/UV emission



#### **Information about:**

- Density in photosphere
- BH mass
- BH mass, stellar mass

Data of PS-10jh Gezari et al. (2012, 2015); van Velzen et al. (2019)



#### **Spectroscopic classification scheme established**



van Velzen et al. (2021)

#### • TDE-H

- TDE-H+He (often incl. Bowen lines)
- TDE-He
- Building on earlier work: Arcavi et al. (2014); Blagorodnova et al. (2018); Leloudas et al. (2019)
- Origin of emission lines is debated, line width due to electron scattering



### Black hole mass function

- 33 ZTF TDEs (uniform sample)
- Assumption: BH mass from velocity dispersion
- Single power-law down to:  $M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{5.5} M_{\odot}$ 
  - Not enough data to detect a low-mass turnover



### Black hole mass function

- 33 ZTF TDEs (uniform sample)
- Assumption: BH mass from velocity dispersion
- Single power-law down to:  $M_{\rm BH} \approx 10^{5.5} M_{\odot}$ 
  - Not enough data to detect a low-mass turnover



### What's new? Featureless spectra

- H+He class is most common
- New class: featureless TDEs (Hammerstein+22; Yao+23)
  - Very rare and high-mass host galaxies
- Helps to solve origin of emission lines?



Figure based on van Velzen+21; updated with latest TDEs for this talk

#### Part 2: X-ray emission of thermal TDEs



van Velzen et al. (Science, 2016); ASASSN-14li (Holoien et al. 2016)

\*however see: Steinberg & Stone (2022; arXiv:2206.10641)



#### Part 2: X-ray emission of thermal TDEs



van Velzen et al. (Science, 2016); ASASSN-14li (Holoien et al. 2016)

\*however see: Steinberg & Stone (2022; arXiv:2206.10641)



#### What's new? X-ray QPEs! Quasi Periodic Eruptions

- Serendipitously discovered in 2019 (Miniutti+19)
- Rapid X-ray flares, recurring on ~hours
- Similar host galaxies to TDEs (Wevers+21)
- To date, 6 published, 3 with a detected prior X-ray (candidate) TDE
  - Probability ~10<sup>-9</sup> for chance association of TDEs and QPEs (Quintin+23)





#### What's new? X-ray QPEs! Quasi Periodic Eruptions



#### Quintin et al. "Tormund's return" (2023; arXiv:2306.00438)

#### What's new? X-ray QPEs! Quasi Periodic Eruptions



#### Quintin et al. "Tormund's return" (2023; arXiv:2306.00438)

#### Part 3: radio emission of thermal TDEs



#### Part 3: radio emission of thermal TDEs



#### Single-zone synchrotron emission The "typical" case: outflows with 0.1c



AT2019dsg: Stein, van Velzen et al. (2021)



see Alexander, van Velzen et al. (2021) for a review



#### What's new? Late-time radio flares



### What's new? Late-time radio flares

- Late-time radio flares  $\bullet$ (Horesh+21; Cendes+22)
  - Late-time accretion?
  - State change of accretion disk!



### What's new? Late-time radio flares

- Late-time radio flares (Horesh+21; Cendes+22)
  - Late-time accretion?
  - State change of accretion disk!
- Rapid spectral changes (in AT2019azh; Goodwin+22)
  - Inhomogenous medium?
  - Jet geometry!



#### Part 4: Multi-messenger



• 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)



- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF



- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes) lacksquare
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF
- AT2019aalc: highest IR echo flux in ZTF



- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF
- AT2019aalc: highest IR echo flux in ZTF
- All three neutrino associations:



- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF
- AT2019aalc: highest IR echo flux in ZTF
- All three neutrino associations: lacksquare
  - Detected in the radio (uncommon for AGN)



- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF
- AT2019aalc: highest IR echo flux in ZTF
- All three neutrino associations:
  - Detected in the radio (uncommon for AGN)
  - Detected in X-ray, with soft spectra (very uncommon for AGN)





- 3.6 sigma significance (based on dust echoes)
- AT2019dsg: strongest dust echo in ZTF
- AT2019aalc: highest IR echo flux in ZTF
- All three neutrino associations:
  - Detected in the radio (uncommon for AGN)
  - Detected in X-ray, with soft spectra (very uncommon for AGN)
- Connection with NGC 1068?





### Most nuclear transients are not TDEs

- Many "extreme" SMBH flares of unknown origin (e.g. Hinkle+22)
  - Fast and high amplitude (e.g., Graham+17; Frederick+20)
- (Recurring) TDEs in AGN?
  - Would require a significant TDE rate enhancement
- Link with neutrinos suggests a special state of the accretion disk?



### **Recap: summary of optical/UV emission**

Accretion

 $10^{-3}$ 



#### **Information about:**

- Density in photosphere
- BH mass
- BH mass, stellar mass

Data of PS-10jh Gezari et al. (2012, 2015); van Velzen et al. (2019)



#### Let's find all the plateaus **Classical approach: late-time UV observations**





#### Let's find all the plateaus Breakthrough with optical photometry (ZTF)



#### Let's find all the plateaus Breakthrough with optical photometry (ZTF)





#### Plateau luminosity correlates with host galaxy mass

- Strongest correlation of all lightcurve properties
- Significance:  $p = 2 \ 10^{-6} \sim 5\sigma$
- 0.30 dex scatter in massdirection
- Theory predicts plateau luminosity for a given black hole mass

43.5 ອີ ອີ ອີ ອີ  $(\log_{10}$ 42.5 luminosity 42.0 NUV 41.5 Plateau 41.0



# **Excellent agreement**



#### TDE peak luminosity correlates with plateau luminosity





#### **Extending the M-sigma relation** Using the peak luminosity





### Spin constraints



Mummery, van Velzen (2023)



#### **Summary** Progress at all wavelengths

- \* **X-ray:** new discoveries (QPEs), samples (eROSITA)
- \* Radio: unexpected late-time flares
- \* High-energy neutrinos
- \* Optical: large samples
  - ✓ Almost 100 TDEs!
  - Clear correlations with host galaxy mass
  - ✓ Could soon resolve origin of optical emission
- \* Connection to AGN flares remains unclear

<u>Questions and Requirements</u> Are black holes spinning?

Is accretion physics scale invariant?

Black hole genesis in early universe

Large TDE samples

TDE Emission mechanism

Emission mechanism + large samples



# What is next?

1000



### What is next?



#### What is next?

- More TDEs with Rubin Observatory: 10-1000 per year
- More neutrinos: KM3NET, IceCube (Gen2)
- More detections in (blind) radio surveys: VLASS, DSA-1000, ngVLA, SKA
- Optical/UV detections from space: Gaia, EUCLID, ULTRASAT, Roman
- More IR detections: ground based, JWST(!) and NEO surveyor



#### Disk model



![](_page_48_Figure_67.jpeg)

### **Disk model**

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $10^{44}$  $(zH)^{10_{43}} = 014^{10_{43}}$  $10^{-2}$  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$  $\nu L_{\nu} (erg/s), 10_{30}$  $10^{3}$  $10^{4}$ 

Mummery, van Velzen (2023)

![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_7.jpeg)

-1.5

-1.0

0.5

0.0

### Host galaxies: preference for "green valley"

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 65% of TDEs in green valley compared to 10% of normal galaxies

Hammerstein et al. 2021

#### Similar to post-starburst preference

(Arcavi et al. 2014; French et al. 2016; Law-Smith et al. 2017; Graur et al. 2017)

#### **Explaining the cosmic neutrino flux** Particle acceleration in a super-Eddington accretion disk

- Puzzling facts:
  - About 10% of HE neutrinos from TDE-like flares
  - Normal AGN outshine TDEs by 2 orders of magnitude
  - For common particle acceleration, AGN should dominate the neutrino sky

#### **Explaining the cosmic neutrino flux** Particle acceleration in a super-Eddington accretion disk

- Puzzling facts:
  - About 10% of HE neutrinos from TDE-like flares
  - Normal AGN outshine TDEs by 2 orders of magnitude
  - For common particle acceleration, AGN should dominate the neutrino sky
- Solution:
  - Super-Eddington accretion: common for TDEs, uncommon for AGN

#### **Explaining the cosmic neutrino flux** Particle acceleration in a super-Eddington accretion disk

- Puzzling facts:
  - About 10% of HE neutrinos from TDE-like flares
  - Normal AGN outshine TDEs by 2 orders of magnitude
  - For common particle acceleration, AGN should dominate the neutrino sky
- Solution:
  - Super-Eddington accretion: common for TDEs, uncommon for AGN
- Supporting evidence:
  - NGC 1068 (IceCube hotspot) is the nearest super-Eddington AGN (!)

#### AT2019fdr (TDE?): another large dust echo - Reusch et al (arXiv:2111.09390)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)