# The Belle II Pixel Vertex Detector

# Operational Experiences and Commissioning of the New Fully Populated Two Layer Detector

# Anselm Baur (DESY) on behalf of the PXD collaboration

# Sestri Levante (Italy), October 16<sup>th</sup> 2023











THEFT

# SuperKEKB Accelerator and Belle II Detector

### SuperKEKB e+e- Collider:

- Asymmetric beam energies
   e+: 4 GeV, e-: 7 GeV
- Y(4S) resonance (10.58 GeV)
- Target lumi.: 6.3×10<sup>35</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- 4 years with operation (2019-2022)

### Belle II Detector:

- Vertex detector (VXD)
- Tracking system (CDC)
- Identification of charged particles (TOP + ARICH)
- Electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL)
- $K_L$  and Muon detector (KLM)



# The Pixel Vertex Detector (PXD) Module

### Properties:

- Self-supporting "all-silicon" structure
  - Support frame ~500 μm thick
  - Monolithic active area 75 µm thick
- Low material budget (~0.21% X<sub>0</sub>)
- Pixel sizes 50 x 55-85 μm<sup>2</sup> (250 x 768 pixels)

# Rolling Shutter Readout:

- Switcher: consecutive row selection for signal digitization of columns (10 MHz)
- DCD: 8-bit AD conversion of signal
- DHP: zero suppression, data formatting
- 20 µs integrated readout time (2x beam revolution)





# The Pixel Vertex Detector (PXD)

2 Modules = 1 Ladder:

- Glued together
- In total 20 ladders

### 10 Ladders = 1 Half-Shell:

- Ladders screwed on cooling block
  - Radii: r<sub>L1</sub>=14mm, r<sub>L2</sub>=22mm
- Half-Shell mounted on beam pipe

### Power Consumption:

- ~9 W per module  $\rightarrow$  ~360 W (full detector)
- Cooling
  - 2 phase CO<sub>2</sub>: DHP/DCD (8W)
  - N<sub>2</sub> gas: sw.+sensor area (1W)

# PXD1:

• PXD1 incomplete (effectively 1 layer)



# **DEPFET** Pixel Cell

### **DEPFET** Working Principle

- DEpleted P-channel Field Effective Transistor
- Field Effective Transistor (FET) on depleted Si bulk
- Internal gate charge modulates source-drain current
- Clear-mechanism to empty internal gate

# Charge Collection

• Relevant voltages:

 $V_{\text{HV}}\text{, }V_{\text{drift}}\text{, and }V_{\text{clear-off}}$ 

### Characteristics

- High signal/noise ratio (low internal capacities)
- Internal charge integration
- Low power consumption
- Thin sensor (75  $\mu m)$
- Low material budget





# **PXD1** Efficiency

### Di-Muon Hit Efficiency:

- $\sim 99$  % in fiducial regions
- ~96 % in physics region

### Sudden Beam Losses:

- Cause: unknown
- High instantaneous radiation doses (O(10) Gy in 40  $\mu$ s)
- Can damage switchers
  - Verified at MAMI electron beam
- Improve detection and PXD power shutdown
  - Power off: switchers safe
- During LS1: focused by machine group





Lost beam hitting collimator  $\rightarrow$  high inst. radiation

#### May 2021 beam loss



# **PXD1** Performance



Anselm Baur, The Belle II Pixel Detector

# Beam Background

Continuous Injection (25 Hz):

Synchrotron radiation:
 betatron oscillations (HER)
 → full and gated vetoes

Beam Storage:

- Beam-gas: beam interaction with residual gas molecules
- Touschek: intra-bunch coulomb scat.
- Luminosity (Beam Collisions at IP):
  - Two-photon interaction
  - Radiative Bhabha

Measured and projected beam backgrounds in the Belle II experiment at the SuperKEKB collider: arXiv:2302.01566





# Radiation and Aging

Estimation Based on Module Occupancy:

- Module dependent TID  $\rightarrow \sim 2.5-6.5 \text{ kGy} (2019-2022)$
- Expected lifetime exposure of PXD is ~200 kGy (10 years)
- Radiation tolerance limited by DCD and switcher

### Detector Aging:

- Trapped oxide charges
   → DEPFET gate threshold shifts
- Steadily increasing backside currents
  - Leakage in guard ring structures

(250x512 pi

- Performance not affected
- Broadening of pedestal distribution
- DCD irradiation: slight noise increase



# Fully Populated 2 Layer Pixel Detector (PXD2)

### PXD1 Was Good, PXD2 Will Be Better:

- Modest improvement of impact parameters (L1 highest impact)
- Higher probability to select correct PXD hits in 1<sup>st</sup> PXD layer at higher background levels
  - Fraction of MC hits found in the reconstructed track

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

 Fraction of MC hits in the reconstructed track hits (how much background was picked up?)

$$\mathrm{hit} \ \mathrm{purity} = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{mc\_hits\_in\_reco\_track}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{hits,reco\_track}}}$$

• Important: physical redundancy

![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

VERTEX23 16th October

Anselm Baur, The Belle II Pixel Detector

# Commissioning of PXD2 (DESY)

### Half-Shell Test Setup at DESY:

- Power Supply + DAQ
- CO2 and N2 cooling
- Movable <sup>90</sup>Sr source holder
- Aluminum dummy beam pipe
- 1<sup>st</sup> half-shell damaged during long-term operation

### Problems Found After Investigations:

- No optimal PXD ladder gliding
- Elevated air temperatures  $\rightarrow$  expansion Al beam pipe

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

1<sup>st</sup> Half-Shell August 2022

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Commissioning of PXD2

Repair and Improvements of PXD2:

- Fully reassembled 1<sup>st</sup> half-shell
- Improved gliding mechanics

### Monitor Ladder Bending:

- Improved monitoring setup
- Careful cooling operation
- Step by step module operation
- Successive full detector operation
- Observed significant bending in 2 L2 ladders

### PXD2: Higher Power Consumption:

- PXD2 2x more modules than PXD1
- Needed adjustment of cooling

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_14.jpeg)

# Commissioning of The New Vertex Detector (VXD)

### PXD1 Extraction:

- Old VXD extracted from Belle II
- Strip Vertex Detector (SVD) reused in new VXD
- PXD1 inspection (mechanical state)
   → No visible damage after 4 years of
   operation

### New VXD:

- Extracted SVD halves installed around PXD2
- Combined standalone VXD test
- Installation in Belle II
- First data: cosmic particles

![](_page_12_Picture_10.jpeg)

QCS: Final Focus Quadrupole Magnet

CDC: Central Drift Chamber

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

# First PXD2 Cosmic Data in September 23 (B-Field Off)

### Alignment:

- Extrapolate CDC tracks
- Per module:
  - 6 rigid body alignment param.
  - 7 deformation parameters
- Ladder bowing visible
  - Up to  $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$

### Efficiency:

- Extrapolate SVD tracks
- Efficiency drops

VERTEX23 16th October

- Gaps for external cosmics
- Masked noisy pixels (esp. L2.12 bwd)
- Reaching >98 % in most regions
- Further module tuning ongoing

![](_page_13_Figure_15.jpeg)

Anselm Baur, The Belle II Pixel Detector

# Summary and Outlook

#### PXD1 (2019 - 2022):

- Single layer
- 4 years successful operation
- Overall efficiency ~96 %
- D<sup>o</sup> lifetime resolution 2x better than previous experiments
- Challenges: beam backgrounds and beam losses

#### PXD2 (Since 2023):

- Successful commissioning after some throwbacks
- All 40 modules operable
- 4 modules need further tuning
- First cosmic data  $\rightarrow$  mostly efficiency >98%
- 2 ladders show significant bending  $\rightarrow$  optimize cooling
- PXD2 operation expected at least until LS2

#### Outlook:

- Belle II experiment under commissioning
- Resume beam operation this winter

![](_page_14_Picture_17.jpeg)

# Thank You!

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Based on single beam Data/MC @ Dec 20 2021

Parameters @ Original	LER	HER
Design Optics		
Beam current [A]	3.6	2.6
N. of bunches	2500	2500
Vertical beam size [um]	24	10
$\beta_x^*/\beta_v^*$ at IP [mm]	32/0.27	25/0.30
Pressure [nTorr]	1	1

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Use data/MC 27.06.2020 bugfix2 ratios to correct the BG19c sample [taken from Sally's talk @ PXD Background Workshop, 17.08.2021]

- Updated LER & HER components using current Data/MC factors
- Small changes expected for the total extrapolation → dominanted by two-photon background

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Backup: Aging

# **Operational Challenges**

#### radiation effects and "aging"

#### threshold shift

- radiation damages oxide layer
  - causes shift of MOSFET threshold voltage
  - can be compensated by continuous adjustment of gate voltage
- investigated / expected already from X-ray radiation campaign @ 200 krad/h:

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

- integrated dose in PXD
  - rough estimate < 20 kGy until end of 2020</li>
  - more precise measurements in progress

#### increasing HV currents

- observed increased HV currents
  - some modules reached power supply limits
  - $\,\circ\,$  can not reach set voltage  $\rightarrow$  worse SNR and efficiency
- interpretation: charge-up effect at handle wafer bond oxide and avalanches at bulk
  - some annealing during beam off times
  - currents saturate at certain dose
- could be mitigated by modifying power supplies

![](_page_17_Figure_20.jpeg)

VERTEX23 16th October

DESY.

# Backup: PXD Calibration

- Characterized modules before installation
  - Further optimization during operation
  - Improved/automated operation, monitoring and calibration procedures
- DCD calibration
- Biasing optimization
- Pedestal optimization on DCD
  - Pedestal compression via 2-bit DAC
  - Analog Common Mode Correction (ACMC) for noise reduction
  - Low noise  $<1 ADU \simeq 200 ENC$
- Stress tests
  - Power cycling
  - Thermal cycling

![](_page_18_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_14.jpeg)

pread=258

# Backup: PXD Performance

- Homogeneous noise and signal response across the module matrix
- Stable throughout 2019-2022
- Slight increase in noise with DCD irradiation
  - Maybe more extensive DCD calibration is needed
  - Under investigation

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Backup: PXD2 Optimization of Charge Collection

### Source Scan:

- Modules individually optimized (Mass-Testing)
  - Different test sites
  - Large time span (4 years)
  - Best parameters not always found
- Repeat source scan for each half-shell

### Figure of Merit:

• Based on signal to nose ratio (SNR)

![](_page_20_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Optimal Parameters:**

- Stable plateau region
- Large HV variation between modules
  - -50 V -70 V

![](_page_20_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_15.jpeg)

# Backup: Camera Monitoring Setup

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Understanding the Mechanical Behavior

- Improved environmental/BP monitoring
- Installed dial gauges to measure BP deformation ٠
- Installed cameras
- Observed large length change of the AI BP
  - 1-2 um/K .
  - Reached extension up to 60 um

#### Using BP Length Change to Study PXD Mechanics

프 코 50

20

Source: C. Niebuhr

Sagitta s [mm]

• L1 • L2

- SCB gliding ٠
- Ladder gliding

#### Results

Ladders glide

VERTEX23 16th October

- Good at room temperature
- Worse at -10 °C
- Gave up on SCB gliding (probably contra productive)
- Some L2 ladders bent strong

![](_page_21_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_19.jpeg)

#### Anselm Baur, The Belle II Pixel Detector

# Thermal Torque Measurements

#### From the Thermal Mock-up

- Dummy Si ladder with resistors for heating
  - 8.9 W the design power consumption
  - 8 W DHP/DCD

### Thermal Impact of the Screw Torque

- Screwed Ladder to cooling block
  - \* 0.2 cNm  $\rightarrow$  1.4 cNm  $\rightarrow$  0.2 cNm
- IR camera: Temperature of DHP/DCD area
  - 4 ROIs: 3 DHP/DCD, 1 glue joint
  - Kapton: emission 0.9
- Impact observed: < 2  $^{\circ}C$

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_13.jpeg)

# Backup: Air Temperatures Inner Dry Volume

#### Anselm Baur, The Belle II Pixel Detector

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

24

Inner dry volume exceeded 30 °C

CO2: -20 °C

N2: 28 I/min

CO2: **-25** °C N2: 32 I/min

- No saturation observed  $\rightarrow$  stop
- Elevated temperatures and mechanical stress can be problematic for ladder glue joint

#### PXD2 Cooling Parameters:

**PXD1** Cooling Parameters:

~20 h permanent operation

- Air temperature saturated at 29 °C
- Paraffin (beam pipe) temperature stable at 21 °C
- Verify during VXD combined test operation

![](_page_23_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_14.jpeg)

# PXD2 Module Status

General:

• All 40 modules operable

### Unstable Switcher States in L1.4:

- Two module states: "good" or "bad"
- Problematic gate(s) or broken switcher?
- Temporary solution: reducing gate-on voltage

### Pedestal Glitches:

- 2 modules with regions of significant pedestal shifts within individual frames
- Dominant structures in L2.4 bwd,
  - L2.12 bwd  $\rightarrow$  mask these pixels

![](_page_24_Figure_11.jpeg)

# Ladder Bending Studies at DESY

Thermal dummy L2 ladder bent with gradually increasing sagitta

- $\sim$  4500 cycles at  $\Delta$  0.9 mm
- $\sim$  2500 cycles at  $\Delta$  1.1 mm
- >100 cycles at 1.8 mm
  - $\ensuremath{{-}{>}}$  ladder developed two kinks

 $\rightarrow$  Thermal dummy ladder mechanically different  $\rightarrow$  Both kinks at resistor lines Repeat with recently glued L2 dummy ladder L2 glue joint endurance test

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

After more than two months with more than 90k cycles with sagitta  ${\geq}1\text{mm}\rightarrow$  Ladder still intact

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Backup: Tracking at Belle II

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Challenges**

- increased backgrounds with instantaneous lumi
  - beam lifetime only few minutes  $\Rightarrow$  continuous "top up" injection (for 2400 bunches)
  - "Synchrotron", "Touschek intra-bunch scattering", "Bhabha", "2 photon"...
  - challenge for detector/tracking overall (challenges for PXD discussed explicitly later)
- smaller Lorentz boost (for better beam lifetime at 4 GeV > 3.5 GeV )
  - critical for time dependent measurements

#### Track reconstruction and PXD role

- (HLT) track finding seeded in CDC (pT > 100 MeV) or else SVD
- PXD hits used in offline track fit → improved vertex resolution
- Regions of Interest (ROI) filtering:
  - HLT: extrapolates tracks to ROIs on PXD for readout to reduce data rate not needed yet
- PXD layer one crucial for *impact parameter resolution*
- PXD layer two (will be) important to retain performance at higher backgrounds

Φ [pixel idx]

2.6% occupancy

5 σ SVD window