





Nikita Z. van Gils<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Turner<sup>2</sup>, G. Zevi Della Porta<sup>2,4</sup>, F. Pannell<sup>3</sup>, V. Bencini<sup>2,5</sup>, A. Gerbershagen<sup>1</sup>, E. Gschwendtner<sup>2</sup>, P. Muggli<sup>2,4</sup>

(AWAKE Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>: PARTREC, UMCG, University of Groningen, Groningen, NL; <sup>2</sup>: CERN, Geneva, CH; <sup>3</sup>: University College London, London, UK; <sup>4</sup>: Max Planck Institute for Physics, Munich, DE; <sup>5</sup>: John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<u>Abstract</u>: We summarise and explain plans for witness particle beam injection into wakefields for the AWAKE Run 2b experiments. In AWAKE plasma wakefields are driven by a self-modulating relativistic proton bunch. For Run 2b, we use a novel rubidium vapour source that allows for a plasma density step. To demonstrate that the density step can stabilise the wakefield amplitude and to probe the longitudinal fields, we plan on injecting a 19MeV electron bunch produced by a photo-injector. We highlight the experimental challenges of this injection process and present our plans for the near future.

### AWAKE RUN 1 : (2016-2018)

- PLASMA: Uniform 10m Rb vapour + ionising laser pulse
- DRIVER: 400GeV proton bunch → self-modulates (instability SMI or seeded SSM) → micro-bunch train drives plasma electron wave resonantly → experimentally demonstrated
- WITNESS: Electron bunches injected with ~19MeV accelerated up to 2GeV energies → experimentally demonstrated





<u>Figure 2:</u> The effect of a density step on the wakefield amplitude E  $_{z, max}$  see [1]; Gap refers to the plan for Run 2c where two plasma sections are considered (modulator + accelerator)).

#### AWAKE RUN 2b: (2022-2024)

- New vapour source allows for density step (adjustable heating sections 0.25-4.25m)
  stabilise wakefield amplitude leading to higher witness energies
- More diagnostics along 15m beam line allow for better control and insight: <=> dipole & quadrupole magnets, beam screens (BTVs) & beam position monitors (BPMs) (Figure 4)
- Asymmetric entrance aperture
  more flexibility for electron injection

<u>Goals:</u>

Figure 1: Schematic of current setup (taken from ref. [1]); ionising laser and driving proton bunch are merged before the plasma source. A beam of 19MeV electrons is injected at the entrance of the plasma source.

# Measurement Goal:

CONTROLLED WITNESS INJECTION AT VARIOUS Z-LOCATIONS ALONG THE PLASMA TO PROBE AVERAGE LONGITUDINAL WAKEFIELDS



#### **ELECTRON INJECTION:**

External: only option due to high wakefield phase velocity
 Off-axis injection: due to density ramp & defocusing of electron bunch at entrance on axis

optimise wakefields by optimising density step height and z-location

→ external, off-axis electron injection to directly measure wakefield evolution along the plasma

# **Experimental Parameters:**

Driver		Plasma	
Туре	Protons from the SPS (CERN)	Туре	Rubidium vapour source
		Length	10m
Length	~6-8cm r.m.s	Densities	10 <sup>14</sup> -10 <sup>15</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup>
Energy	~400GeV	Density steps	Density steps; up to +9% at 0.25-4.25m along
Ionising laser			the plasma
Тура	Control wavelength: 780um	Additional characteristic	$\mu$ -metal around vapour source
туре	Central wavelength. 700µm	Entrance aperture	-Dimensions in Figure 6
Pulse duration	~120fs		-Centre offset from proton beam
Energy	~100mJ		trajectory (Figure 5)
Injected Witness [3]			
Туре		Electrons produced by S-band RF-photo-injector	
UV laser spot size		$\sim$ 1mm on Cs2Te cathode	
Bunch charge		100-700pC	
Normalized transverse emittance		1-6mm·mrad	
Bunch length $\sigma_z$		2-5ps	
Energy prior to booster		~5MeV	
Injector/Booster		3GHz by a 30 MW klystron, 10Hz	
Energy after 1m booster		~19MeV	



Figure 3: Sketch of possible injection scheme to probe wakefields. Depicted is the maximum wakefield amplitude  $\Phi_m$  as a function of propagation distance, z, for uniform plasma (red lines) and plasma with an optimum density step (blue lines) from [2]. Injection points of interest: with vs, without density step and with varying acceleration distances.

Figure 4: Schematic drawing of the injection region showing the trajectories of the proton and electron bunches and laser pulse. Also shown are positions of BTVs, kicker magnets and the focusing quadrupole triplet. Drawing not to scale.



Entrance aperture for laser pulse, driver & witness bunch creates plasma density ramp that can defocus electrons

- prior to capture by the wakefields ightarrow off-axis injection
- $\succ$  Witness must cross wakefields at the same z-location in both axes, no diagnostics at injection location
- $\succ$  Ideally, injection location after density step and SSM saturation, to avoid dephasing
- $\succ$  Witness beam size should be smaller than aperture, otherwise charge is lost
- Earths' magnetic field affects the trajectory of the low energy witness bunch significantly -> need to adjust injection trajectory



Figure 5: Vapour source entrance aperture; racetrack shape allows for larger injection angles than circular shape with same area (Run 1).



[1]: Gschwendtner, E.; Lotov, K.; Muggli, P.; Wing, M.; et al. The AWAKE Run 2 Programme and Beyond. *Symmetry* 2022, *14*, 1680. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14081680</u>
 [2]: Lotov, K. V. "Physics of beam self-modulation in plasma wakefield accelerators", *Physics of Plasmas* 22.10 (2015). <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.05104.pdf</u>
 [3]: Verra, L., Della Porta, G. Z., Moon, K. J., Bachmann, A. M., Gschwendtner, E., & Muggli, P. (2021). Seeding of proton bunch self-modulation by an electron bunch in plasma. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.12414*.