Noninvasive Cavity-Based Charge Diagnostic for Plasma Accelerators

S. Bohlen^{1,*}, O. Kononenko¹, J.-P. Schwinkendorf¹, D. Lipka¹, M. Meisel^{1,2}, T. Staufer², K. Põder¹, and J. Osterhoff^{1,2}

¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany ²Universität Hamburg, Fachbereich Physik, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

The charge of an electron bunch is one of the most important parameters in accelerator physics. Several techniques to measure the electron bunch charge exist. However, many conventional charge diagnostics face serious drawbacks when applied to plasma accelerators. For example, integrating current transformers (ICTs or toroids) have shown to be sensitive to the electromagnetic pulses (EMP) originating from the plasma, whereas scintillating screens are sensitive to background radiation such as betatron radiation or bremsstrahlung and only allow for a destructive measurement of the bunch charge. We show measurements of a noninvasive, cavity-based charge diagnostic (so-called DaMon), which demonstrate its high sensitivity, high dynamic range and resistance towards plasma EMP. The measurements are compared to both an ICT and a scintillator screen.

Working principle of the DaMon^[1,2]

Charge measurement via TM01 mode of a cavity

Beam passing through cavity will stimulate first monopole mode with a voltage of

$$U = U_0 \sin(\omega t) e^{-t/\tau}.$$

• The amplitude of the mode is proportional to the charge q via $U_0 = q S_1$

• S is the sensitivity defined as
$$S = \pi f \sqrt{\frac{Z}{Q_{ext}} \left(\frac{R}{Q}\right)}$$
, where f is the cavity frequency,

Experimental setup for charge measurements

Simultaneous measurement using three charge diagnostics





- *Z* is the line impedance, Q_{ext} the external quality factor and $\left(\frac{R}{Q}\right)$ is normalized shunt impedance. S is constant and can be determined for a cavity, enabling a calibrated charge measurement.
- The TM01 mode is not dependent on the position of the electron bunch inside the cavity.
- Two readout channels for a high dynamic range (seven orders of magnitude).

Comparison of DaMon, ICT and DRZ screen

Linear correlation between DaMon and DRZ screen visible



- DaMon shows linear scaling with profile screen, even at low charges.
- The ICT seems to overestimate low charges, with an uncertainty on the measurement of several pC.
- ICT and Profile screen in good agreement at high charges.
- DaMon shows only half the charge of the Profile screen, calibration of the diagnostics need to be further investigated.

- Setup installed for simultaneous charge measurements using three diagnostics.
- Collimator installed to ensure the beam could not clip inbetween diagnostics.
- DRZ screens absolutely calibrated at the Elbe accelerator at HZDR^[3,4], imaging system cross-calibrated using tritium sources.
- Electrons generated via ionization injection^[5,6].

Sensitivity at low charges

Low noise enables detection of bunches with tens of femtocoulomb



• Sensitivity to noise and background radiation measured for all three diagnostics below and at the edge of injection threshold by increasing laser energy.

Noise free measurement in EMP environment

Comparison of DaMon and ICT in vicinity of an active plasma lens



- DaMon seems unaffected by the EMP of the active plasma lens.
- ICT shows noise several orders of magnitude above electron signal.

References

- ICT: noise level of \pm 1 pC (std). Low charges drastically overestimated.
- Profile screen: Background charge level follows laser energy, indicating sensitivity to noise from plasma and laser light. Not able to resolve charges below 100 fC.
- DaMon: Constant noise level of several femtocoulomb, allowing for detection of bunches with tens of femtocoulombs^[7]. Noise level not correlated to laser energy.

Summary

A non-invasive, noise-free measurement of the charge

- The DaMon measures the charge via the TM01 mode in a cavity.
- It can be absolutely calibrated by measuring device properties only.
- It has a high dynamic range of up to seven orders of magnitude and sensitivity to low-charge bunches with tens of femtocoulomb.
- It is insensitive to plasma EMP noise and is not influenced by secondary radiation such as x-rays or plasma light.
- [1] D. Lipka et al., "Dark current monitor for the European XFEL", In: Proceedings of DIPAC2011. Hamburg, Germany: JACow, pp. 572-574, 2011.
- [2] D. Lipka et al., "Resonator for charge measurement at REGEA", Proceedings of IBIC2013. Oxford, UK: JACow, pp. 872-875, 2013.
- [3] T. Kurz et al., "Calibration and cross-laboratory implementation of scintillating screens for electron bunch charge determination", Rev. Sci. Instrum. 89, 093303, 2018
- [4] J.-P. Schwinkendorf et al., "Charge calibration of DRZ scintillation phosphor screens", JINST 14 P09025, 2019
- [5] S. Bohlen et al., "Stability of ionization-injection-based laser-plasma accelerators", Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 25, 031301, 2022
- [6] S. Bohlen et al., "In Situ Measurement of Electron Energy Evolution in a Laser-Plasma Accelerator", Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 244801, 2022
- [7] O. Kononenko, "Controlled Injection into a Laser-Driven Wakefield Accelerator", PhD thesis, Universität Hamburg, 2018
- * Contact: simon.bohlen@desy.de | d3.desy.de | EAAC 2023, Elba, Italy





