## <u>GA</u>-assisted <u>Single-shot</u> <u>3D-charge-density</u> reconstruction of a laser wakefield kilo-ampere electron bunch via a <u>TR-EO</u> detector

Kai Huang<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Zhan Jin<sup>2,3</sup>, Nobuhiko Nakanii<sup>1,3</sup>, Tomonao Hosokai<sup>2,3</sup>, Masaki Kando<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kansai Institute for Photon Science (KPSI), National Institutes for Quantum Science and Technology (QST), Kyoto, Japan <sup>2</sup>SANKEN, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan <sup>3</sup>Laser Accelerator R&D, Innovative Light Sources Division, RIKEN SPring-8 Center, Hyogo, Japan

\*Email: huang.kai@qst.go.jp



## LAPLACIAN platform for laser electron acceleration









.



## Laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA)





#### LWFA: 100 GV/m

#### Present achievements of LWFA:

Maximum energy: **7.8 GeV** (Berlekey) Smallest energy spread: **0.4%** (rms) (SIOM) Highest repetition rate: 1 kHz (LOA) Highest charge: 0.3 nC (FWHM) (HZDR) FEL @ **27 nm** (SIOM) **100000 shots** (Hamburg U)

T. Tajima and J. Dawson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 267 (1979); W. P. Leemans et al., AAC (2018); W. T. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 124801 (2016); W. Wang et al., Nature 595, 516 (2021); D. Gunot et al., Nat. Photonics 11, 293 (2017); J. P. Couperus et al., Nat. Communications. 8, 487 (2017); A. R. Maier et al., PRX 10, 031039 (2020); Jens Osterhoff. DESY. 2017; T. Esirkepov, REMP code.

## Why the electron bunch 3D charge density Q<sub>3D</sub> is important for LWFA

High energy physics X-ray pump-probe studies Time-resolved dosimetry



Luminosity in a collider Brightness of secondary X-ray sources Peak dose rates of radiation

For the lasing process in an undulator

## **Our approach: EO sampling**

# (a) Polarization after Coulomb field Or Transition Radiation EO crystal Polarization before

**Pockels effect** 

#### **EO spatial decoding**



Temporal mapping relationship:

 $c\Delta \tau = \Delta \xi tan \theta_p$ 

#### Merits:

- Non-destructive (sometimes not)
- ② Single-shot (overcome shot to shot variation exists in LWFA)

J. Shan et al., Optics Letters 25, 426 (2000); I. Wilke et al., PRL 88, 124801 (2002); A. L. Cavalieri et al PRL. 94, 114801 (2005); S. Casalbuoni et al., PRAB 11, 072802(2008); B. Steffen et al., PRAB 12, 032802 (2009); W. Wang et al., PRAB 20, 112801 (2017); K. Huang et al., PRAB 22, 121301 (2019); K. Huang et al., Sci. Reports 8, 2938 (2018) and many others...

## Previous experiments in LWFA, detection on transition radiation (TR)

#### J. van. Tilborg et al., PRL 96, 014801 (2006)

#### A. D. Debus et al., PRL 104, 084802 (2010)



(TR were partially collected, ZnTe 200  $\mu$ m faces the first absorption valley around 4 THz, Absolute current file not discussed, TR transmitted through the crystal were not analyzed further)

## **Concept of such a TR-EO detection**, and more...



EO sampling basically measures the TR field information. Much more can be achieved with such a mesurement

1. Optical TR (OTR) can be used simultaneously to achieve the <u>transverse profile at  $\mu$ m level</u>. Such spatial resolution is difficult for phosphor screens (Lanex, DRZ...)

2. Transverse electron profile need to be measured at the source plane for <u>absolute current measurement</u>

$$E_{image} = g_{\perp}^{bunch}(x, y) * E_0$$

3. EO sampling measures the field of TR. The image of TR field has <u>spatial frequency distribution</u>. One needs to know the spatial point where EO decoding signal is analyzed.

 $E_{image}(x, y, \omega)$ 

## Our study on the LAPACIAN platform

Electron timing fluctuation outside the plasma

Electron <u>3D charge density</u> reconstruction via experimental measurement of OTR and EO signal. Numerical effort of TR imaging and EO spatial decoding. Genetic Algorithm

## Single-shot monitoring of electron bunch timing outside plasma

Experiment





Extremely small fluctuation (outside plasma) Standard deviation: 7 fs

Kai Huang et al Appl. Phys. Express 15. 036001 (2022) (Monthly Spotlight and Annual Highlight)

## Numerical effort of examining the calculation model



#### Many issues have been dealt with:

- 1. Multi-color imaging (0 > 200 THz). Huygens-Fresnel, step by step OR Fraunhofer
- 2. Multi-electron-energy. Weight of each energy component. Energy chirp can be neglected for short bunch
- 3. Temporal elongation of probe laser
- 4. Transverse TR distribution
- 5. Temporal distortion of TR in EO crystal
- 6. Formulation of the phase retardation considering the angle between the probe and the TR
- 7. Code vectorization for speeding up the GA calculation
- 8. Other issues.

K. Huang et al., arXiv:2308.11853 [physics.acc-ph], submitted to PRAB

## Summary



Electro-optic 3D snapshot of electron bunches at any position in the beam transport line Timing jitter, 3D size, absolute current, charge density

#### Next:

- 1. Shorter probe laser; Thinner EO crystal; Try new EO crystal species; Detailed EO crystal property investigation at high frequency range
- 2. Analysis on the overall 2D EO signal. Try to optimize the method for Q(x, y, z) reconstruction
- 3. Machine learning on the overall detection system

# Thank you for your attentions

This work was funded by

<u>ImPACT</u> Program of Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan)

& JST-Mirai Program「未来社会」, Grant Number JPMJMI17A1, Japan &

JSPS KAKENHI「科研費」, Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists (JP23K17152, JP21K17998) &

QST President's Strategic Grant (Exploratory Research 2020 2021 2022)







