Accurate electron beam phase-space theory for ionization injection schemes

Head of the ELI-NP Simulation Group

Paolo Tomassini¹ Francesco Massimo² Domenico Doria¹ 1 ELI-NP, Magurele, LDED, Romania 2 Maison della Simulation, CE

Theory 🧖 LDED





- Deep understanding of the ionization + post-extraction dynamics in the laser field
- Analytical (and accurate) description of the 3D phase-space, also in the deep-saturation regime
- Enabling the accurate modeling of the extraction/post-extraction in fast envelope codes
- Prediction of the synthetic phase space moments of the whole electron bunch





- 1. Basics of tunnel ionization and post-ionization electron dynamics
- 2. The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder
- 4. Accurate evaluation of rms values for particle extracted in a single cycle
- 5. Saturation effects in a single and double ionization process
- 6. Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated and saturated regimes



Basics of tunnel ionization and postionization electron dynamics



We will focus **on tunnel ionization**, which is the relevant regime for both standard and advanced ionization injection schemes.

Electrons are extracted with negligible initial momentum



After electrons leave the parent ion, they quiver in the laser field. We neglect here the impact of ponderomotive and wakefield forces up to the time where electrons leave the laser pulse.





Basics of tunnel ionization and postionization electron dynamics



The instantaneous ionization probability in the adiabatic limit can be approximated as

 $W = C(2(2E_i)^{\frac{3}{2}}/E_{laser})^{2n^* - |m| - 3/2} e^{-(2(2E_i)^{\frac{3}{2}}/3E_{laser})}$ Ionization probability Ammosov, M.V.; Delone, N.B.; Krainov, V.P. 1.00 SPIE, 1986, 294 Vol. 0664, pp. 138 – 1 0.75 0.50 where atomic units are used and $n^* = Z \sqrt{U_H/U_I}$ 0.25 a, W 0.00 We can move to the usual LP units by using the notation -0.25 [P.Tomassini et al., PoP 24, 103120 (2017)] E/Emax -0.50W/W_{max} $W = C \times \rho^{\mu} e$ -0.75 a/a_{max} -1.00 $\rho = \rho_0 |\cos(\xi)| \quad \rho_0 \equiv \frac{3E_{max}}{2E_a} \left(\frac{U_H}{U_I}\right)^{3/2}$ $\frac{a_{max}}{a_c}$ $\xi = (z - v_{\phi}t)$ Laser normalized $\xi = k_0(z - v_\phi t)$ Critical amplitude $a_c \simeq 0.107 \lambda_0 \left(\frac{U_I}{U_H}\right)^{3/2}$ electric field E Laser normalized $\mu = -2n^* + |m| + 1 \,,$ amplitude a





- 1. Basics of tunnel ionization and post-ionization electron dynamics
- 2. The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder
- 4. Accurate evaluation of rms values for particle extracted in a single cycle
- 5. Saturation effects in a single and double ionization process
- 6. Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated and saturated regimes



The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder



- Opened the path for the comprehension and of the description of the *rms* values of tranverse residual momentum, longitudinal and transverse size of the electron beam, with the discussion of the effects from the transverse ponderomotive force.
- The theory of the rms residual momentum had been successfully implemented in laserenvelope codes (e.g. QFLUID [P Tomassini and A R Rossi, PPCF 58 034001 (2015)] and SMILEI [F. Massimo *et al.*, PRE 102, 3 03320 (2020)])
- In the following we will use their notation and the ones in [P.Tomassini et al., PoP 24, 103120 (2017)]

PHYSICAL REVIEW ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS

Highlights Recent Accepted Special Editions Authors Referees Sponsors Search Editorial Team

Open Access

Thermal emittance from ionization-induced trapping in plasma accelerators

C. B. Schroeder, J.-L. Vay, E. Esarey, S. S. Bulanov, C. Benedetti, L.-L. Yu, M. Chen, C. G. R. Geddes, and W. P. Leemans

Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 17, 101301 – Published 3 October 2014





The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder



 $\Delta = \sqrt{\rho_0}$

UNSATURATED

 $\mu = -2n^* + |m| + 1$

C. B. SCHROEDER et al



They evaluated the rms extraction phase as

$$\sigma(\xi) \equiv \sigma_{\psi} = \Delta [1 - (2n^* - |m| - 1)\Delta^2]^{-1/2}$$

from which they were able to **evaluate the** *rms* **value of the residual transverse momentum** for electrons emitted in a single (half) cycle or for the entire beam

Electrons extracted in the E peak

entire beam $\langle u_x \rangle = 0 \quad \sigma(u_x) = \sigma(a_0 \sin \xi_e) \simeq a_0 \Delta [1 - \Delta^2 (1 + \mu)]$ (single peak case)

In the case of saturation, evaluation of the asymptotic *rms* momentum $\sigma(u_x) \approx a_{sat} \Delta_{sat}$ where $a_{sat} < a_0$ is the laser amplitude at the saturation point and $\sigma(r) \approx w_0 \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(a_0/a_{sat})}{3}}$

is the rms radius of the particles for a laser of waist w_0 .



FIG. 5. Fractional ionization n/n_g for (a) $a_i = 0.14$ and (b) $a_i = 0.2$. The laser field has a wavelength of 0.4 μ m and a Gaussian longitudinal envelope with length $k_i L_i = 32$.





- 1. Basics of tunnel ionization and post-ionization electron dynamics
- 2. The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder
- 4. Accurate evaluation of rms values for particle extracted in a single cycle
- 5. Saturation effects in a single and double ionization process
- 6. Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated and saturated regimes



 ρ_0

HIGH POWER LASER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING



High Power Laser Science and Engineering, (2022), Vol. 10, e15, 16 pages doi: 10.1017/hpl.2021.56

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Accurate electron beam phase-space theory for ionization-injection schemes driven by laser pulses

Paolo Tomassini^{1,2}, Francesco Massimo³, Luca Labate^{1,4}, and Leonida A. Gizzi^{1,4}

We keep all the $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)$ orders, obtaining the following evaluation of the cycle averaged ionization probability

$$< W > \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \Delta_0 W_0 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} (\mu + 5/4) \Delta_0^2 \right]$$

$$\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}C\,\rho_0^{\mu+1/2}e^{-1/\rho_0}\times\left[1-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+5/4)\rho_0\right]$$

The correct expansion of the ionization probability around their peaks up to $\mathcal{O}(\Delta^2)$ is $W(\xi) = W_0 \cdot (\cos \xi)^{\mu} \exp \left[\frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{1}{\cos \xi} - 1 \right) \right]$ $\simeq W_0 \exp\left[-\frac{\xi^2}{2\rho_0}\right] \left(1-\frac{\mu}{2}\xi^2-\frac{5}{24\rho_0}\xi^4\right)$ $\simeq W_0 \exp \left| -\frac{\xi^2}{2\sigma_{\eta_j}^2} \left(1 + \frac{5}{12} \xi^2 \right) \right|$





Case of unsaturated ionization
$$\frac{dn_e}{dt} = W(t) \left(n_{0,i} - n_e(t) \right) \simeq n_{0,i} W(t)$$

• Standard deviation of ξ (remember) $\sigma_{\xi,0}^2 \equiv \langle \xi^2 \rangle = \rho_0 \left(1 - (\mu + 5/2)\rho_0\right)$ $\sigma_{\Psi}^2 \equiv \langle \xi^2 \rangle = \rho_0 \left(1 - \mu \rho_0\right)$ [from PRAB 17(10) 101301 (2014)]

Variance of sin(ξ) with corrections up to $ho_0^2=\Delta^4$

$$\sigma_{s,0}^2 \equiv \langle \sin^2 \xi_e \rangle = \rho_0 \left(1 + s_I \cdot \rho_0 + s_{II} \cdot \rho_0^2 \right) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.23}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.23}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{0.24}^{0.24} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = \frac{1}{8} (8\mu^2 + 68\mu + 131) \mathop{\otimes}\limits_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\circ} s_I = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1) \ s_{II} = -(\mu + 5/2 + 1)$$

• Variance of the residual transverse momentum $u_x \simeq -a_0 \sin(\xi_e)$ $\sigma^2(u_x) \simeq a_0^2 \sigma^2(\sin(\xi_e)) = a_0^2 \sigma_{s,0}^2$

The statistical distribution of the extraction time is the same of the ionization probability

Argon, $8^+ \rightarrow 9^+$, $\lambda = 0.4 \mu m$







- 1. Basics of tunnel ionization and post-ionization electron dynamics
- 2. The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder
- 4. Accurate evaluation of rms values for particle extracted in a single cycle
- 5. Saturation effects in a single and double ionization process
- 6. Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated and saturated regimes



Saturation effects in a single ionization process









The cumulative ionization function can be factorized as







We finally got the ionisation rate for the model, valid also in the deep saturated regime:





Accurate evaluation of rms values

for particle extracted in a single cycle

Given the number of available ions at that peak $n_{0,i}$ and the normalized laser amplitude a_0 , do:

- 1. Want to extract N_e electrons, with:
- 2. Generate the random variable x with :
- 3. For any x evaluate the extraction phase:
- 4. And finally get the residual transverse momentum

5. For a whole cycle consider two consecutive peaks.

Note that the average momentum reverts for the two peaks

MODEL FOR ANY PEAK particle extraction and residual momentum

$$N_e = n_{0,i} \left(1 - e^{-\nu_s} \right)$$

$$P(x) = \left[1 - \rho_0 \left(\mu x^2 + \frac{5}{6}x^4\right)\right] e^{-x^2 - \nu_s \mathcal{G}(x)}$$

$$\xi_e = x \cdot \sqrt{2\rho_0}$$

$$u_x = -a_0 \sin(\xi_e) \quad u_z =$$









Results of the model, single cycle (two consecutive peaks)







Results of the model, average and *rms* momentum, <u>single cycle</u> We got analytical evaluation of $\langle u_x \rangle$ and $\sigma(u_x)$ (not shown here). Full results in P.Tomassini et al., HPLSE 10, e15 (2022)







If the field strength is large enough to move into saturation within a single cycle, probably a <u>two-channels</u> (or more) process is on. $Kr^{8^+ \rightarrow 10^+} Ar^{8^+ \rightarrow 10^+} N^{5^+ \rightarrow 7^+}$

The rate equation with a two-channels process reads

(0): BASE process, e.g. $Ar^{8^+ \to 9^+}$ (1): SUBSEQUENT process, e.g. $Ar^{9^+ \to 10^+}$ $\begin{cases} \frac{dn^{(0)}}{d\xi} = -n^{(0)}\nu_s^{(0)}\mathcal{G}^{(0)}\\ \frac{dn^{(1)}}{d\xi} = -n^{(1)}\nu_s^{(1)}\mathcal{G}^{(1)} + n^{(0)}\nu_s^{(0)}\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \end{cases}$

• and we solved as

$$N_{e}^{(0)} = n_{i}^{(0)}(1 - e^{-\nu_{s}^{(0)}})$$

$$N_{e}^{(1)} = n_{i}^{(1)}(1 - e^{-\nu_{s}^{(1)}}) + n_{i}^{(0)}\left(1 - e^{-\nu_{s}^{(0)}} - e^{-\nu_{s}^{(1)}}\mathcal{M}_{01}\right)$$
Known function, not shown here









Ultrashort ionization pulse example









- 1. Basics of tunnel ionization and post-ionization electron dynamics
- 2. The starting point: theory of thermal emittance by C. Schroeder
- 4. Accurate evaluation of rms values for particle extracted in a single cycle
- 5. Saturation effects in a single and double ionization process
- 6. Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated and saturated regimes



Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated regimes







Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated regimes



To evaluate G ne need to perform the integrations:

$$I(k,\rho_0) \equiv \int_0^\infty dx^2 e^{\left[-(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+k)x - \frac{1}{\rho_0}(e^{x^2}-1)\right]} = e^{-(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+k) + \frac{1}{\rho_0}} \Gamma^{up} \left[-(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+k);\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right]$$

and to observe that, for each k,

$$\langle e^{-kx^2/w_0^2} \rangle = \langle e^{-ky^2/w_0^2} \rangle = \langle e^{-k(z-ct)^2/w_z^2} \rangle$$

thus obtaining G:

Spatial

$$\mathcal{G}(k,0) \equiv \langle e^{-kr^2/w_0^2} \rangle$$

 $= \frac{I(k,\rho_0) - (\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{5}{8})\rho_0 I(k+1,\rho_0)}{I(0,\rho_0) - (\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{5}{8})\rho_0 I(1,\rho_0)}$
 $\simeq 1 - k\rho_0 + k(\mu + \frac{5}{2})\rho_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_0)^3$

$$\mathcal{G}(0, \frac{k}{k}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{G}(\frac{k}{k}, 0)}$$

Temporal



Whole beam rms parameters in not saturated regime



The final evaluation of the moments for the position and the momentum reads:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{u_x,bunch,0}^2 &\equiv \langle \sigma_u^2 \rangle_{bunch} \simeq a_0^2 \rho_0 \times \left[1 - (\mu + 8)\rho_0 + (\mu^2 + 19\mu + \frac{131}{2})\rho_0^2 \right] \,. \\ \sigma_{x,bunch,0}^2 &\equiv \langle x^2 \rangle_{bunch} \simeq \frac{1}{2} w_0^2 \rho_0 \times \left[1 - (\mu + 3)\rho_0 + \frac{1}{2}(3\mu + \frac{33}{4})\rho_0^2 \right] \,. \end{split}$$

while the normalized emittance is:

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{n,x}^2 &\equiv \langle x^2 \rangle_{beam} \langle u_x^2 \rangle_{beam} - (\langle x u_x \rangle_{beam})^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_0 \, w_0 \, \rho_0 \right)^2 \mathcal{E}_n(\rho_0, \mu_0) \\ &\qquad \mathcal{E}_n(\rho_0, \mu) \simeq 1 - (\mu + 11) \rho_0 + \left(2\mu^2 + \frac{63}{2}\mu + \frac{749}{8} \right) \rho_0^2 \\ &\qquad \text{Note: we include corrections up to } \rho_0^2 = \Delta^4 \end{split}$$



Whole beam rms parameters in *saturated* regime



Saturation effects along the pulse (not single cycle)

can be evaluated by introducing the (cycle) **average** saturation function along the pulse axis:

$$\bar{\Gamma}(r,z-ct) = \int_{-\infty}^{z-ct} \langle W(r,\zeta)/c \rangle d\zeta \simeq \overline{\nu_s} e^{-\frac{r^2}{\rho_0 w_r^2}} \times \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + E\left(\frac{z-ct}{\sqrt{\rho_0 w_r^2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + E\left(\frac{z-ct}{\sqrt{\rho$$

where the (cycle averaged) saturation level is

$$\bar{\nu}_s = \sqrt{2} (k_{ADK} w_z) \rho_0^{\mu+1} e^{-1/\rho_0}$$

We got analytical estimation of the rms transverse position [not shown here] which shows an *increase of the transverse size when saturation effects are on* [as anticipated by C. Schoeder et al. PRAB 17(10) 101301 (2014)],





Whole beam rms parameters in *saturated* regime



Beam radius increase brings to a **slight reduction of the overall transverse momentum**. <u>The final beam emittance reads</u>

$$\epsilon_{n,x}^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2} (a_0 w_0 \rho_0)^2 \mathcal{E}_{n,sat}(\rho_0, \mu_0),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,sat} \simeq \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\nu}_s}{8} - \frac{5}{864}\bar{\nu}_s^2\right) \times \left[1 - (\mu + 11 + \frac{3}{8}\bar{\nu}_s)\rho_0 + \left(2\mu^2 + \frac{63}{2}\mu + \frac{749}{8} + \frac{3}{8}(\mu + 11)\bar{\nu}_s\right)\rho_0^2\right]$$

and it increases when saturation effects are on









• We developed a **very accurate** and **detailed** model for the statistics of the electrons extracted by tunnel ionization in a single laser field peak.

This model **is valid also in the deep saturation regime** and can handle up to two consecutive ionization processes e.g. Kr(8 to 10).

The model can be employed in laser-envelope for an accurate, full 3D, description of the extracted electrons

- We also built accurate analytical predictors of the synthetic phase space moments of the whole electron bunch, which are alos valid in the saturation regime.
- ELI-NP LDED is open on collaboration on this topic. Master/Ph.D students are welcome (also in co-tutoring)